

Asset Confiscation Scheme

Victorian Auditor-General's Report Tabled 4 September



The Victorian Auditor-General's Office

- **Purpose** assurance to Parliament on the accountability and performance of the Victorian public sector.
- Legislation Audit Act 1994 defines powers and responsibilities of the Auditor-General and the Victorian Auditor-General's Office.
- Mandate financial and performance audits of around 550 entities.

VAGO Victorian Auditor-General's Office

Background to the audit

Asset confiscation—tool used by the state in response to crime



- Legislation—*Confiscation Act 1997* enables the state to confiscate property
- **Offences**—indictable and more serious, profit-related offences
- Assets—only 'tainted', i.e. derived from a crime or used in a crime
- Asset confiscation activities—\$131 million confiscated between July 2007 and June 2013.

Audit objectives and scope

Audit objective

To assess how effective, efficient and economical the Asset Confiscation Scheme is in achieving the objectives of the *Confiscation Act 1997*.

Audit scope

Agencies

- Victoria Police
- Office of Public Prosecutions
- Department of Justice

Oversight committees

- Asset Confiscation Scheme Executive Management Group
- Confiscation Operations Committee.

Conclusion

- The Scheme is not operating as effectively or efficiently as it should.
- Its ability to deprive people of the proceeds of crime, and to deter and disrupt further criminal activity, is hampered by:
 - Victoria Police not adequately identifying assets related to profit motivated serious and organised crime
 - A lack of planning at the Scheme level to identify opportunities, direction and priorities.



pages vii-viii

VAGO Victorian Auditor-General's Office

Findings—Scheme operation

- Victoria Police's Criminal Proceeds Squad is not maximising opportunities for asset confiscation.
- Squad's focus is not profit-motivated, serious and organised crime.
- Squad is not making full use of investigative tools and may be missing assets.
- Office of Public Prosecutions' and Department of Justice's operations are effective and efficient.



Findings—Scheme governance

- The Scheme should be more joined up.
- Oversight body established in response to 2003 VAGO report has failed to fulfil role.
- No planning for the Scheme, variable planning across the three agencies.
- Inadequate risk management for the Scheme and Victoria Police, but more advanced in the other agencies.





Findings—Scheme performance

- Effectiveness and efficiency of the the Scheme is unknown.
- Report on Public Sector Agencies (2003)—DOJ agreed to develop a performance framework but has not done it.
- Public reporting on Scheme performance as a whole does not represent actual performance.
- Commissioned reviews have consistently identified performance issues.
- No Scheme agencies have effective performance frameworks themselves on asset confiscation.



/A(i

Victorian Auditor-General's Office



23

page



page 17



Recommendations—summary

- 25 recommendations across the three agencies and the Scheme's oversight body
 - 8 related to the Asset Confiscation Scheme Executive Management Group
 - 12 related to Victoria Police
 - 3 related to the Office of Public Prosecutions
 - 2 related to the Department of Justice.



Recommendations summary

| | Accept |
|--|--------------|
| The Asset Confiscation Scheme Executive Management Group should: | |
| develop a performance framework linked to the objectives of the Scheme | \checkmark |
| identify and document actions required to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the Scheme | \checkmark |
| develop an implementation plan for these actions | \checkmark |
| clarify and confirm the objectives of the Scheme | \checkmark |
| update the terms of reference for the Scheme's oversight bodies | \checkmark |
| clarify and confirm the Scheme governance arrangements | \checkmark |
| undertake a risk assessment for the Scheme | \checkmark |
| develop strategic and operational plans for the Scheme | \checkmark |



Recommendations summary

| | | Accept |
|-------------------------|--|--------------|
| Victoria Police should: | | |
| • | develop a performance framework to assess the performance of the Criminal Proceeds Squad | \checkmark |
| • | implement quality assurance processes around data and databases | \checkmark |
| • | develop strategic and operational plans for asset confiscation | \checkmark |
| • | undertake a risk assessment of the Criminal Proceeds Squad and its operating environment | \checkmark |
| • | review the resourcing model for the Criminal Proceeds Squad | \checkmark |
| • | refocus the Criminal Proceeds Squad's investigations to profit- motivated serious and organised crime | \checkmark |
| • | reallocate responsibility across the organisation for assisting victims of crime in identifying and restraining assets | \checkmark |

VAGO Victorian Auditor-General's Office

Recommendations summary





| | | Accept | |
|---|--|--------------|--|
| T | The Office of Public Prosecutions should: | | |
| • | develop a performance framework to assess the performance of the Proceeds of Crime directorate | \checkmark | |
| • | implement quality assurance processes around data and databases | \checkmark | |
| • | develop strategic and operational plans | \checkmark | |
| | | | |
| T | The Department of Justice should: | | |
| • | improve the current performance framework of the Asset Confiscation Operations | \checkmark | |
| • | review and update the procedures for the Asset Confiscation Operations. | \checkmark | |
| | | | |

4 September 2013 Asset Confiscation Scheme

Contact



For further information please contact:

Victorian Auditor-General's Office [p] 8601 7000 [w] www.audit.vic.gov.au/about_us/contact_us.aspx