

APPENDIX D

Assessment against DPC's data quality guideline

Figure D1 shows our assessment of DELWP's datasets—offset register and data underpinning its native vegetation calculator—against DPC's data quality guideline.

FIGURE D1: **Data quality assessment against DPC's data quality guideline**

| Criterion | Definition | VAGO assessment | |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | | Offset register | Datasets underpinning native vegetation calculator |
| Accuracy | The degree to which the data correctly portrays the situation it is designed to measure | Amber | Amber |
| Completeness | The extent to which the data is complete | Red | Red |
| Representative | The relevance of data and the extent to which it meets the purpose for which it is collected | Amber | Amber |
| Timeliness/currency | How quickly data can be made available when required | Green | Green |
| Collection | The appropriateness of the way the data is collected | Amber | Amber |
| Consistency | The extent to which the data is collected and stored in a consistent and standardised way | Amber | N/A* |
| Fit for purpose | The extent to which the data is appropriate for its intended use | Amber | Amber |

Note: The ratings for DELWP's native vegetation offset register are mainly due to its inability to minimise oversubscription risks, discrepancies and confusion on offset sites' data, and insufficient controls for user access. The ratings for datasets underpinning the native vegetation calculator stem from completeness issues for not having HDMs for 477 threatened species.

*We have not been able to confirm how DELWP collects and stores data for these datasets.

Source: VAGO, based on DELWP and DPC documentation.