# Appendix C: Audit scope and method

#### Scope of this audit

### Who we examined

We examined the following agencies:

Agency	ir key responsibilities	
DTP	<ul><li>Transport planning</li><li>Land and precinct planning</li></ul>	
DPC	<ul> <li>Leading the Victorian public service, advising government on emerging policy issues and reviewing the impact of government decisions</li> </ul>	
Development Victoria	<ul> <li>Delivering development projects across residential areas, precincts and public spaces</li> </ul>	
DE	<ul> <li>Leading the delivery of education and development services to children and young people</li> <li>Designing, building and modernising schools and kindergartens through the VSBA</li> </ul>	
The City of Melbourne and the City of Port Phillip	<ul> <li>Providing good governance for the benefit and wellbeing of the municipal community, including:</li> <li>residents</li> <li>ratepayers</li> <li>traditional landowners</li> <li>people and bodies who carry out activities in the municipal district.</li> </ul>	

## Our audit objective

To determine if responsible agencies are on track to deliver the Fishermans Bend Framework.

### What we examined

We assessed how the responsible agencies have progressed in implementing the Framework. This includes the activities they have done or are planning to do to develop the Fishermans Bend precinct.

We also looked at whether agencies are on track to achieve the Framework's intended outcomes. This included examining:

- Development Victoria's contractual arrangements with DTP to deliver the first stage of infrastructure works to support the development of the innovation precinct
- the City of Melbourne's and the City of Port Phillip's activities and involvement in consultation
- DE's work in planning for and building schools in Fishermans Bend, including how it works
  with other agencies and tiers of government to manage issues about site selection and access
  to open space.

Aspects of performance examined

Our mandate for performance audits and reviews includes the assessment of economy, effectiveness, efficiency and compliance (often referred to as the '3Es + C').

In this audit we focused on the following aspects:

Economy	Effectiveness	Efficiency	Compliance
0			0
Key:			
Primary focus			
Secondary focus			
Not assessed			

#### **Conducting this audit**

Assessing performance

To form a conclusion against our objective we used the following lines of inquiry and associated evaluation criteria.

Line of inquiry		Criteria			
1.	. The governance arrangements support		1.1	Agencies' governance structures have well defined roles and responsibilities, clear decision-making pathways and enable oversight of the Framework.	
the delivery Framework.			1.2	Agencies' processes support cross-government coordination and project prioritisation.	
2. The Framew delivered by		vork is being y agencies.	2.1	Agencies' implementation plans guide their projects for the Framework and are used to allocate the resources required.	
			2.2	Agencies monitor and report on their progress against the Framework's goals and objectives.	
			2.3	Agencies are taking timely action to deliver their projects to achieve the Framework.	
Compliance					
Compliance		We conducted our audit in accordance with the <i>Audit Act 1994</i> and ASAE 3500 Performance Engagements to obtain reasonable assurance to provide a basis for our conclusion.			
	We comp engageme			th the independence and other relevant ethical requirements related to assurance	
		We also provided a copy of the report to the Department of Premier and Cabinet and Department of Treasury and Finance.			
		Unless otherwise indicated, any individuals named in this report are not the subject of adverse comment or opinion.			
Cost and time	st and time	The full co	ost of t	he audit and preparation of this report was \$885,000.	
	The durat	ion of t	the audit was 23 months from initiation to tabling. This included pauses in the		

audit to allow for the PSAC process.