

Appendix E: Assessment of HSV datasets

Figure E1: Assessment of HSV datasets

Data quality dimensions	Supplier data	Health services accounts payable data	Health services purchase order data
Accuracy – is the data accurate, valid and commonly understood?	Adequate (2)	Needs attention (1)	Needs attention (1)
Completeness – is the data complete and are there any known gaps?	Adequate (2)	Needs attention (1)	Needs attention (1)
Representative – is the data relevant and does it include all data?	Adequate (2)	Adequate (2)	Adequate (2)
Timeliness/Currency – can the data be made available when required and is it current?	Good (3)	Adequate (2)	Adequate (2)
Collection – is the data collection method appropriate and consistent?	Good (3)	Good (3)	Good (3)
Consistency – is the data consistent with related datasets with agreed standards and formats?	Good (3)	Needs attention (1)	Needs attention (1)
Fit for purpose – is the data appropriate for its intended use?	Adequate (2)	Needs attention (1)	Needs attention (1)
Total score (out of 21)	17 (81%)	11 (52%)	11 (52%)

Note: 'Good' applies to datasets with a rating quality of 3 and identifies areas that have good data quality. 'Adequate' applies to datasets with a rating quality of 2, meaning the requirement stated by the dimension has been partially met but there is still room for improvement. 'Needs attention' means there are weaknesses that make the dataset fall short of the minimum requirements.

Source: VAGO.