# Appendix C. Agency responses to Assurance Review

To gather relevant information for this review we conducted a survey for agencies in November 2019. Agencies were required to self-attest to the accuracy and completeness of their survey response.

The survey sought information on agency governance and monitoring arrangements along with information on the status of performance audit recommendations:

- from all VAGO performance audits tabled in Parliament in 2017–18
- that were reported to us in our 2018 survey as outstanding from audits tabled in 2016–17 and 2015–16.

In total, the survey included 465 recommendations from 44 audits involving 64 agencies.

For audits that tabled in 2016–17 and 2015–16, agencies may have already implemented some recommendations. To provide a more complete picture of agency performance, in this Appendix we have included the status and response of the agency to all recommendations in each audit included in the Assurance Review survey. This includes recommendations that have been implemented in previous years. Agency responses have not been edited by VAGO.

This information is also available in dashboard form at www.audit.vic.gov.au.

# Albury Wodonga Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services revi ('urgent')	ew processes to improve le	ngth-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	space. This has meant the patients in the one space off stretcher response ti	nat the more acutely unwell e. The improved capacity wi mes. By staffing to these mo tients needs. Qualitatively a	o a fast track model of care separate from the acute patients are not competing with the lower acuity thin the acute department has assisted with Ambulance odels of care it has aligned the most appropriate better patient and staff experience. Quantitatively
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2			mmitment to improve emergency department patient gth of stay for admitted patients
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	discharge dates (EDD) a 11am. Introduction of P	cross Medical inpatient unit	tted inpatient units at AWH. Focus on Expected day of its. SCV project on planned early discharges before its both Acute sites in each ward. Identification of Long ecutive level.
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:
			Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services act	to resolve Victorian Emerge	ency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	No response			
Updated action			processes Provide governance through a governing ultiple different platforms in which we collect and	
Recommendation status	•	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (948 days to implement)	

## Alfred Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services revi ('urgent')	ew processes to improve le	ngth-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		rating Officer will be respon	triage process and length of wait for triage category 3 sible for this and we would expect improvement by the
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-17 (248 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2			mmitment to improve emergency department patient gth of stay for admitted patients
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Alfred Health has in place a whole-of-hospital program which demonstrates its whole of hospital commitment. The program, introduced 4 years ago, is known as Timely Quality Care (TQC) and focuses on improving flow in the ED and reducing overall length of stay (LOS) in the hospital.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-16 (0 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:
Pradit year —	2010 17	Addit name	Emergency Care

Recommendation #3	That health services act to resolve Victorian Emergency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	data for VEMD in compli has representation on th As part of the normal VE	iance with Department of H ne VEMD reference group a IMD audit process both our	ngs of its VEMD in a timely way. Alfred Health submits ealth and Human Services requirements. Alfred Health and contributes to discussions related to data integrity. Sandringham and Alfred datasets have been validated ported back to our Board Audit Committee.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-16 (0 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency
Recommendation #11		olicies to guide decision-ma surgery and between surgio	kers when allocating theatre resources between cal specialities
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	from the Main Ward Blo reduces waiting list time having planned admissic attended by the Surgical Surgery Access Guideling	ck operating theatres. This is, standardizes the patient ons and procedures. Govern Heads of Units (HOU) and the Admission Guidelines fo	dicated theatres for elective surgery patients separate results in fewer hospital-initiated postponements, journey and provides timely and efficient care for those ance is provided by the Theatre Reference Group (TRG) managed using the following guidelines: * Elective r Trauma Patients Guideline * Operating Suite Service - ham Operating Suite guideline
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (44 days to implement)

## **Austin Health**

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services revi ('urgent')	ew processes to improve le	ngth-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	Whole of hospital flow re	eview and model of care an	d process changes implemented within the ED

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (948 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2		•	mmitment to improve emergency department patient gth of stay for admitted patients
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	access for emergency an improve access and time	d elective surgery and revieusliness of care. A daily operation	emented and is an ongoing review. This includes Surgical ews of clinical staffing models within the hospital to ating system across the hospital has also been a sharing and issue escalation.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (948 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services act	to resolve Victorian Emerge	ency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency
Recommendation #11		olicies to guide decision-ma surgery and between surgio	kers when allocating theatre resources between cal specialities
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Hospital. The Austin site Repatriation site suppor supported greater efficience recommended times and contributor to organisation resources is undertaken	supports emergency, comp ting a stand-alone elective s ency and certainty for Electi d fewer hospital-initiated po ional theatre efficiency. Gov by the Theatre leadership g	2 sites - Austin Hospital and Heidelberg Repatriation olex elective surgery and transplant with the surgery centre. The model at the Repatriation site has every surgery with more patients being treated in clinically ostponements. This separate site model is a strong evernance and planning for the allocation of theatre group in collaboration with the relevant Surgical units and supports the allocation of appropriate resources

to support theatre activity. Austin Health is undertaking an improvement project focusing on theatre efficiency and utilisation, this will involve the review governance structures and relevant policies to support the efficient allocation of resources to support emergency and elective surgery activity.

Updated action Not provided

Recommendation status In progress Date implemented/
due for implementation

## Bairnsdale Regional Health Service

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services revi ('urgent')	ew processes to improve le	ngth-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	review and decision mak number of cases requirir Building of a HDU will be completed we expect thi for higher level care *Ho Clinical Operations Mana those that might be dela more cubicles at night if	sing and subsequent dischar ng transfer which can be de e completed at the end of th is to be fully operational by ourly rounding now occurs v ager and Improvement Advi yed in ED *Additional nursi	in ED with additional senior medical staff to assist with rge from the ED within 4hrs *BRHS has a very high layed waiting for transport options including AV. his month and medical and nursing staff recruitment is Feb 2018 decreasing the need to transfer patients out with the SMO and ED NUM to assist with flow. *The isor are working up a plan to enable early recognition of ng staff have been recruited with the ability to open IU type patients will occur outside of the SSU by early nts
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-19 (917 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:

Audit year

2016–17

Audit name

Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:

Emergency Care

Recommendation #2

That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient

flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients

Accept recommendation Yes If no, explain

Planned action at tabling No response

\*A patient flow and access project is well underway which includes a regular rounding, review of delays to care and progress in the ED, staffing levels, increased capacity for ED and SSU type patients, a patient access and flow board has been mounted in the bed coordinators office to allow a 'pt status at a glance' approach to flow through the ED \*Patient Services and ED staff have had additional training in patient flow and improving access to care \*New Demand Escalation policy and procedures have been developed and

**Updated action** 

	implemented, these have been tested, reviewed and revised with good results *Additional patient services coordinators have been appointed and round the ED frequently			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-19 (1040 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #3	That health services act to resolve Victorian Emergency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	No response			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-18 (705 days to implement)	

## **Ballarat Health Services**

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services revi ('urgent')	ew processes to improve le	ngth-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	support from SCV has de beds from ED, and there	elivered earlier discharges fr fore earlier access to those	ries for seen on time performance. A project with rom the multiday beds, thereby enabling earlier flow to waiting. BHS has also implemented a revised transit rom beds and ED, delivering improved access averages.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-19 (797 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2	That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		

Updated action	Implementation of the Daily Operating System (DOS)			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-18 (613 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #3	That health services act	to resolve Victorian Emerge	ency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	No response			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2016—17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #17			gic plans to ensure they are in line with Digitising nology will enable person-centred health and wellbeing	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Strategic Plan and will en technology will enable p Ballarat Health Services	nsure alignment with 'Digiti erson-centred health and w Board and the ICT Governa	and we are in the process of updating our current ICT sing Health: How information and communication vellbeing within Victoria' published November 2016. The nee Committee will continue to have oversight and are o ensure the updated strategic plan Is delivered in the	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-19 (830 days to implement)	

## Barwon Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services revi ('urgent')	ew processes to improve le	ngth-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	From Feb 2019 we will have some augmentation of staff, and further by Feb 2020. Advanced ED model implemented as ongoing service redesign is occurring.			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jui-21	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #2			mmitment to improve emergency department patient gth of stay for admitted patients	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Weekly meeting to progress initiative chaired by COO Daily Operating Meeting sets a health service plan for each weekday and raises issues to address. Long stay and bed management meetings. There has been a detailed redesign of the acute bed plan for 2018/19.			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #3	That health services act	to resolve Victorian Emerge	ency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	FirstNET - CERNER will be requirements to be met.	•	which should (as we understand) allow all VEMD	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (856 days to implement)	

## **Bass Coast Shire Council**

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #6	Regularly review alignme continuity of longer term		elopment strategies and council plans to improve the
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling  Updated action	Council already has clear alignment and regular reporting to Council in relation to key strategic documents such as the Phillip Island and San Remo Visitor Economy Strategy 2035, Growing Tourism. Council sets clear Economic Development performance measures through its Council Plan, 2017 - 2021, and will continue to participate in work being undertaken to develop common Economic Development performance indicators. Council will ensure there is clear monitoring and reporting of Council's Economic Development outcomes and that actions are clearly linked to intended outcomes.  Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/	Not provided	
		due for implementation	·	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
Recommendation #7	Develop comprehensive and benchmarks	performance measures for	economic development with clearly articulated targets	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Council already has clear alignment and regular reporting to Council in relation to key strategic documents such as the Phillip Island and San Remo Visitor Economy Strategy 2035, Growing Tourism. Council sets clear Economic Development performance measures through its Council Plan, 2017 - 2021, and will continue to participate in work being undertaken to develop common Economic Development performance indicators. Council will ensure there is clear monitoring and reporting of Council's Economic Development outcomes and that actions are clearly linked to intended outcomes.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	
Audit year	2047 40	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
Addit year	2017—18	Addit name	Eocal Government and Economic Development	
Recommendation #8	Monitor and report on e	conomic development outc	comes and clearly link actions to intended outcomes	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Council already has clear alignment and regular reporting to Council in relation to key strategic documents such as the Phillip Island and San Remo Visitor Economy Strategy 2035, Growing Tourism. Council sets clear Economic Development performance measures through its Council Plan, 2017 - 2021, and will continue to participate in work being undertaken to develop common Economic Development performance indicators. Council will ensure there is clear monitoring and reporting of Council's Economic Development outcomes and that actions are clearly linked to intended outcomes.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	

# Bendigo Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services review processes to improve length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3 ('urgent')		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	location. Medical and nu total number of non-adr medical workforce to wa proposed - waiting room initiated from waiting ro a cubicle. Nurse initiated investigations = early dis	ursing workforce have been mitted patient cohort imprositing room - early senior den nurse to commence in Decom - decreasing LOS as result pathology at triage packag position. Telephone handow Process reviewed post 6 mo	of all ED presentations now streamed through this increased to deal with this change in model. LOS for ved since implementation. Front loading of senior ecision making. Alternative nursing staff allocation model tember 2018. Pathology and radiology investigations alts available for medical review once patients make it to be developed and launched in July 2018 - Early wer and un-nursed patient transfer process trialled to both pilot - to be rolled out organisation wide. Focus on
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (1070 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2			mmitment to improve emergency department patient gth of stay for admitted patients
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services act	to resolve Victorian Emerge	ency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		

	Health Information Systems & Standards Committee oversees the implementation of action plans, auditing and governance compliance reporting. Clerical Staff have been realigned into one structure under Health Information Services to improve governance and compliance auditing reporting.		
Recommendation status	•	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (978 days to implement)

## Cardinia Shire Council

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #1			licies and associated resources against the International odate them as necessary, and promote their use	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The state of the s	procedures, handbook etc.)	as decided to review all of our engagement to address some of the areas identified within the audit	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-19 (601 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #2	That all councils build monitoring, reporting and evaluation activities into their public participation activities			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Based upon the draft report received in March it was decided to review all of our engagement documentation (policy, procedures, handbook etc.) to address some of the areas identified within the audit before the recommendations were publicised.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-19 (601 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #3	That all councils develop	and document comprehen	sive public participation plans and their outcomes	

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		procedures, handbook etc.)	is decided to review all of our engagement to address some of the areas identified within the audit
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	•	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-19 (601 days to implement)

# Central Gippsland Health Service

Audit year	2016—17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services review processes to improve length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3 ('urgent')		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	times for patients in tria		cient flow improvement plans to improve length-of-stay ome part of the clinical governance reporting and is the Board of Management.
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2			mmitment to improve emergency department patient gth of stay for admitted patients
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action		rting framework, including	nent has and continues to occur. KPIs embedded into ward level, senior executive and Board of Management.
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care

Recommendation #3	That health services act to resolve Victorian Emergency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Clinical governance and oversight to the key performance indicators in organisational performance scorecards, that are managed and monitored by ED staff, as well as senior staff and Board of Management. This is also reviewed at the daily operating system Tier 3 meetings now instigated at the Health Service.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (1131 days to implement)

# City of Ballarat

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #1	That all councils assess their public participation policies and associated resources against the International Association for Public Participation (IAP2) model, update them as necessary, and promote their use throughout the council			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	The plan of action includ resources plus new train	· •	ew Community Engagement Framework, upgraded staff	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (660 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #2	That all councils build mo activities	onitoring, reporting and eva	aluation activities into their public participation	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	2. The plan of action included the development of a new Community Engagement Framework, upgraded staff resources plus new training for staff members.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (660 days to implement)	

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector
Recommendation #3	That all councils develop	and document comprehen	sive public participation plans and their outcomes
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	The plan of action includ resources plus new train	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ew Community Engagement Framework, upgraded staff
Recommendation status	•	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (660 days to implement)

# City of Greater Bendigo

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Local Government Service Delivery: Recreational Facilities
Recommendation #1		aquatic recreation centre r e achievement of council o	monitoring, reporting and evaluation activities so that bjectives and outcomes.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	·	nitoring is a requirement of e contractor including annu	the service contract. This is measured in the City's ual customer feedback.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (1195 days to implement)

# City West Water Corporation

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2
Recommendation #2		•	orting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	CWW agrees with the re	commendation on project s	status reporting
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-16 (0 days to implement)

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2
Recommendation #3	That agencies and entition throughout the life of the	·	e appropriate governance arrangements in place
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-16 (0 days to implement)
Audit year	2045 46	Audit name	Digital Dashboard: Status Poviow of ICT Projects and
Addit year	2015–16	Addit hame	Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2
Recommendation #4	preparing a documented updated throughout the according to planned tin	d benefits-management plaelife of the project conduc	focus on the realisation of expected benefits by: n that is comprehensive, measurable and regularly ting benefits and post-implementation reviews arnings in corporate project planning frameworks and podies.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	Implementation Review Aug 2019 to create a mo reviews capture lessons	(PIR). The framework was one holistic view of benefits learned and are available of ast experiences and improve	vo key deliverables; Benefit Realisations and the Post established in March 2019 and was further refined in across all ICT-enabled project. Post implementation in the PMO shared site which allows new project teams be from them. All ICT projects are required to utilise the
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (1087 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2
Recommendation #5			ce documentation in the planning, management and GO's July 2008 better practice guide Investing Smarter in
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CWW agrees that VAGO's July 2008 Investing Smarter in Public Sector ICT better practice guide continues to sound and relevant, and should be utilised by all agencies in the implementation of ICT projects and programs.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status		Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	

## Coliban Region Water Corporation

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Managing and Reporting on the Performance and Cost of Capital Projects
Recommendation #2	addressed the requireme		tent approach to verify that they have adequately reasury and Finance's Investment Lifecycle and High capital projects.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	plan in the VAGO survey	that closed on 9 October 2	st reported and attested on the status of this action 017. The action plan included the development and ork that was in line with DTF's investment lifecycle.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (727 days to implement)

# Corangamite Shire Council

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
Recommendation #6	Regularly review alignment between economic development strategies and council plans to improve the continuity of longer term initiatives			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Economic Development Strategy is currently reviewed at the time the Council Plan and Annual Action Plan are prepared.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-19 (603 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	

Recommendation #7	Develop comprehensive performance measures for economic development with clearly articulated targets and benchmarks			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	It is noted that development of comprehensive performance measures for economic development is a sector wide issue and should be progressed in conjunction with Local Government Victoria and peak local government bodies, including through further refinement of the Local Government Performance Reporting Framework indicators relating to economic development.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
6 military man		Audit name	Level Covers and and Food and Dovelon month	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
Recommendation #8	Monitor and report on e	conomic development out	comes and clearly link actions to intended outcomes	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Economic development outcomes are considered at the time of reporting on progress against Council Plan actions, and in the preparation of Council's Annual Report.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-19 (603 days to implement)	

# **Department of Education and Training**

Audit year	2016—17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records
Recommendation #8	monitor and report to th standards-a risk-based pl	e agency head on complian hased approach should be lould be linked to the agend	records management compliance programs that nce with each of the Public Record Office Victoria used to manage the programs' size, complexity and cy's continuous improvement activities to drive agency-
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	compliance. 1.2 Roll out or regional area in corpo improvements to addres strategy for DET 1.3.2 Crerecognition of senior accorprogram of work. 1.3.4 Dexpanded records manage compliance. 1.3.6 Review	the compliance measuring rate DET. 1.3 Taking a risk-less non-compliance with the eate a new endorsed record ountability for records man besign and implement a diggement function, provided we records management train	eps through the PROV standards and measures tool to create a baseline assessment of each business based approach, create (and prioritise) business standards 1.3.1 Develop a records management ds management policy for DET including explicit magement 1.3.3 Establish a digitisation policy and ditisation program of work 1.3.5 Design and plan an with the authority and resourcing required to improve ning. 1.3.7 Explore and remediate business processes to be staff work. 1.3.8 Investigate and review the current

	state of records management in schools. 1.3.9 Implement a refreshed records management approach for schools. 1.3.10 Review archiving and disposal processes 1.3.11 Modernise the DET mailroom and reform capture processes 1.3.12 Create a communications and change strategy to support selected model and associated initiatives. 1.3.13 Identify capability gaps for current and new records management staff and address these gaps. 1.4 Implement improvements in compliance and a records management compliance program that monitors and reports on compliance with each of the PROV standards.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-22	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records	
Recommendation #9		blish processes to ensure th c Record Office Victoria's st	nat third-party providers are managing their records andards	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	· ·	ents for systems procureme	acts contain standard clauses. 2.2 Create compliant ent. 2.3 Review significant existing contracts for	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing School Infrastructure	
Recommendation #1	That DET complete the d currently underway, as d		ry, accountability and portfolio efficiency reforms	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DET will complete the im	nplementation of suite of re	forms currently underway	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-21	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing School Infrastructure	
Recommendation #2	That DET clarify governa responsibilities of the De	epartment of Education and	ying the asset planning and management roles and Training, school councils and principals, their be held accountable for, and hold them accountable	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling  Updated action  Recommendation status	DET will conduct a review of all defined roles and responsibilities of DET, school councils and principals to strengthen the accountability of responsible parties at all stages of the asset lifecycle. These roles and responsibilities will be clearly outlined in the relevant policy and procedural documentation for that issue, and DET will explore options to hold parties to account for these responsibilities. DET will also create an overarching document that summarises all roles and responsibilities of school councils, principals and DET and how parties will be held accountable, with this being published on the Policy Portal and socialised amongst relevant parties.  Not provided  Complete  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Sep-19 (843 days to implement)			
		,		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing School Infrastructure	
Recommendation #3	That DET stablish a monitoring and evaluation framework for the asset strategy based on a complete set of performance measures that are specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and timely, that inform the goal of the asset strategies, and that are routinely monitored and reported on			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	As part of the Asset Strategy refresh, DET will strengthen the Asset Strategy and establish measures for all performance indicators identified within it. DET's Asset Strategy Steering Committee will monitor and evaluate these measures			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (386 days to implement)	
		A 19		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing School Infrastructure	
Recommendation #4		cy of its provision planning ad its reliability over time	forecasting over short-, medium- and long-term	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DET will undertake an analysis of the accuracy of its provision planning model over short, medium and long- term projections to understand reliability over time			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (386 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing School Infrastructure	

Recommendation #5	That DET establish guidelines to inform its decisions for managing changing enrolments in established areas-considering school locations, purchasing of land ahead of when it is required, access issues for students, and size of school land		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	consistently and transpa	arently plan for schools in es	changing enrolments in established areas to more stablished areas DET will expand the scope of its and issues identified by VAGO in finalising guidelines
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (386 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing School Infrastructure
Recommendation #6			rs, particularly in growth areas, to strategically plan es before new schools are funded through state
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DET will continue to consult and expand its work with local councils and other relevant partners particularly in growth areas, in exploring opportunities to strategically plan and design multi -use, shared and co-located facilities before new schools are funded through state budgets		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (386 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing School Infrastructure
Recommendation #7	That DET develop an inv	considering the appropriate	nment school assets to optimise maintenance over e mix of allocations for proactive and reactive enance funding on the life cycle of school assets
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	of maintenance funding	, including the mix of preventions and the strategory.	ment school assets. This will consider optimal levels ntative and corrective maintenance over the entire gy to advise Government on long term investment
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth	
Recommendation #11	Accept responsibility for overseeing the adequacy of statewide kindergarten service delivery by taking a more active role in estimating demand for and supply of services, including the long-term availability of kindergarten infrastructure, to ensure that government objectives are achieved			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The introduction of the new Early Years Compact formalises the partnership with local government and DHHS for a more integrated and strategic planning approach to the delivery of services for children and families. It includes a strategic priority to strengthen place-based governance and planning to respond to the needs of local communities and Victoria's changing population. As part of the implementation of the Early Childhood Reform Plan and the Early Years Compact, DET will take a more active oversight role in estimating demand and supply for state-wide kindergarten service delivery and for the long-term provision of kindergarten infrastructure, including working with local government to better identify local needs. DET will undertake a more active, tailored approach to meeting infrastructure needs in growth areas with acute demand pressures in partnership with local government, other service providers and philanthropic organisations.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (282 days to implement)	
A codd on a co		Audhanan	Internal Aud't Desferre	
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #2			rmance indicators to ensure they reflect a balanced , measures and reporting frequency with the audit	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	recommended performa submitted to the Depart	ance indicators and targets, ment's Audit and Risk Comr and measures will be impler	cators for the next audit year. A proposal of that reflect a balanced scorecard approach, will be mittee for consideration and endorsement. 2. The mented and reported to the Department's Audit and	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (53 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #8		uacy of risk coverage to prov	oping to include all sources of assurance and an vide the audit committee with a comprehensive view	

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Department will engage with relevant stakeholders to develop an improved assurance map that provides a department wide view of assurance coverage of strategic risks, and includes various sources of assurance. The Department will present the assurance map to the Audit and Risk Committee, and implement mechanisms to keep the assurance map up to date.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (296 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #12		ent holding costs associated	refits of the sale of surplus land, including an accurate di with retaining surplus land and an assessment of the	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Victorian Government Landholding Policy requires agencies to only hold land where it contributes directly to current or future service delivery outcomes of the agency. As such, once a site is declared surplus (and deemed not required for future service delivery) it should no longer be held. The Department understands that central agencies will develop a common approach in relation to this recommendation to ensure a consistent methodology across all agencies. The Department will work to ensure that it can meet the requirements of any new framework developed. The Department will update internal systems to enable the capture of transactional (e.g. legal, valuation), holding costs (e.g. mowing, security) and non-financial benefits (e.g. community use) on a site-by-site basis to enable such analysis once changes to policy have been actioned.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #13	Ensure that sites are offered to other government agencies through consistent application of the first right of refusal process			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	declared surplus, and wi		h the First Right of Refusal process, once sites are nges to the process as instructed by Land Use Victoria, er.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (85 days to implement)	

## Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning

**Audit year Audit name** Monitoring Victoria's Water Resources 2015-16 **Recommendation #1** 

That the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, in conjunction with Melbourne Water and the Environment Protection Authority Victoria, lead action to improve the governance of long-term water quality monitoring programs across the Port Phillip and Western Port catchment region by: establishing a cross-agency committee to coordinate and oversee long-term water quality monitoring programs for the region - developing an overarching monitoring, evaluation and reporting framework for long-term water quality monitoring in the Port Phillip and Western Port region - developing agreements that facilitate the effective and efficient sharing of data - reviewing the quality assurance processes supporting data collection, collation and analysis to ensure consistency with better practice principles exploring the feasibility and options of developing a publicly accessible report card system that coordinates the publishing of all relevant physico-chemical, biological and recreational water quality monitoring results and analysis across the region.

Accept recommendation If no, explain

Planned action at tabling DELWP will implement appropriate systems and processes to improve the coordination, governance and oversight of the network of long-term water quality programs across the Port Phillip and Westernport region. DELWP will: - Clarify roles and responsibilities of each agency. - Implement and track progress on actions arising from this action plan and VAGO audit and any other relevant reviews. - Establish appropriate governance processes and systems to guide and inform program delivery, including the term water quality monitoring in the Port Phillip and Western Port region establishment of a cross agency committee to coordinate and oversee long term water quality monitoring programs for - Develop an appropriate range of reporting tools to support active management, coordination and oversight of water quality monitoring programs in the Port Phillip and Westernport region. DELWP, Melbourne Water and EPA will develop an overarching monitoring and evaluation framework for the Port Phillip Westernport region that: - Sets an explicit purpose and aims for water quality monitoring programs - Highlights the strategic links between long-term water quality monitoring programs in the region and the government's key state policy priorities and objectives. - Clearly maps water quality monitoring programs and use of data for reporting in the region - Clarifies roles and responsibilities for long-term monitoring programs and the reporting of data and outcomes - Incorporates the regular review and evaluation of water quality monitoring programs against overarching purpose and program aims. (June 2017) DELWP, Melbourne Water and EPA will develop an overarching monitoring, evaluation and reporting framework for long term water quality monitoring in the Port Phillip and Westernport region. (Post SEPP Review) DELWP, Melbourne Water and EPA will develop an agreement that supports the formalisation and documentation of data collation, data flow and data use and includes standard protocols regarding data sharing, collection, use and accessibility. DELWP, Melbourne Water and EPA will review the quality systems and quality assurance programs supporting data collection, collation and analysis in order to develop: - a set of core QA/QC principles for all programs - consistent data management protocols that will improve data use, timeliness and accessibility. DELWP, Melbourne Water and EPA, within the broader agency reporting framework, will investigate expanding the Yarra and Bay Report Card, including to the Westernport region, taking into account the current and future work under State of the Bays, Catchment Condition and Management Report and State of the Environment.

**Updated action** 

The agreement of the Interagency Oversight Committee made up of DELWP, EPA, and Melbourne Water. The Interagency Director level Implementation Oversight Committee with membership from DELWP, the EPA and Melbourne Water agreed the Overarching Framework for Long Term Water Quality Monitoring in Port Phillip and Westernport. The 2016-17 Report Card was expanded to cover Westernport as well as Gippsland Lakes. The 2017-18 report card will be similarly expanded.

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun 17 (737 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Monitoring Victoria's Water Resources
Recommendation #2	audit, subsequent 2015	review and the joint agency	and Planning implements all actions from its internal y action plan, where it is identified as the lead agency, e tracked by and reported to its internal risk and audit
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	review, and all DELWP le		is internal audit, actions from the subsequent 2015 cy action plan. Progress against these actions will be and audit committee.
Updated action	government targets / ob and responsible organisa development of the new (Waters) Monitoring and	pjectives requiring water que ations. The development of a State Environment Protect d Evaluation Framework. Ac	tity performance framework that sets out: ality and quantity data to be collected, required data, this framework was delayed for the review and tion Policy (Waters) - just released, and the SEPP dditionally, it has strong links to the requirement for -Darling Basin Compliance Compact.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-19 (982 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #1	measures that report pe	rformance against the obje	bs, Transport and Resources: develops Budget Paper ectives of the Advanced Metering Infrastructure urred and benefits achieved
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	review its departmental Department expects to i current round of funding against the current AMI costs incurred. A meteric Regulator, and the Depa distribution businesses. particularly given that the Department will:[lb]- defor the Advanced Meteric include relevant details in the second s	budget measures and publimplement revised reporting lapses on 30 June 2016 an Program. [lb]The Department of cost monitoring function rement has no specific pow The Department questions are rollout is now complete a velop and agree measures the Infrastructure program in the 2015-16 Department in the noting the limitations in	dation, the Department has commenced a process to ic reporting.[lb]Subject to Ministerial support, the g against budget measures from 2016, noting that the ad Government is not required to continue to report ent does not accept that it should report publicly on a is already the responsibility of the Australian Energy eres to obtain detailed cost information from the why (and how) it should duplicate this function, and costs have already been incurred. The that better report performance against the objectives in time for the 2016-17 budget papers (May 2016);[lb]-al Business (September 2015); and[lb]- publicly report in attributing benefits to the Advanced Metering
Updated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (259 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #2	education to focus on th		bs, Transport and Resources: improves its consumer rt meters to reduce energy consumption, and to take to reduce bills
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	broadly complements and funding.[lb]However, the government should focutechnology.[lb]Therefore help customers identify has recently run a six-we (My Power Planner), and	nd supports actions that are e Department's view is that is on customer outcomes ra e, the Department is contin the best electricity tariff off eek advertising campaign pa d is considering a further ma	ng. The Department notes that this recommendation already in progress, or proposed subject to awareness and educational programs undertaken by other than on the linkage to a particular uing to focus on ensuring that its communications fers available to them. For example, the Department romoting Government's energy price comparator tool ajor promotional campaign, subject to funding.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (259 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #3	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources, works with distributors and retailers to identify and implement clear systems and processes for monitoring the changes in energy consumption and peak demand		
Accept recommendation	Not provided	If no, explain	AEMO runs the electricity system on a day to day basis, manages the market for buying and selling energy, and monitors energy flow around the system. AEMO's primary responsibility is to balance the demand and supply of electricity. AEMO also conducts forecasts of expected electricity demand in order to operate the national electricity market, and, as outlined above, communicates findings through numerous reports. The DNSPs are responsible for, among other matters, installing and maintaining electricity meters and collecting meter data, which includes consumption data. DNSPs also monitor changes in energy consumption and peak demand in order to manage the distribution system and regularly report to AEMO. The Victorian Government does not have an active role in monitoring changes in energy consumption and peak demand. The role for DELWP and the Victorian government lies in providing clear policy direction to ensure a safe,

reliable and affordable energy system for Victorians. There is currently no evidence to support modification to the roles and responsibilities of granular smart meter data collection and monitoring. The current reporting and monitoring of energy consumption and peak demand performed by AEMO and the DNSPs has and will continue to inform DELWP's work program relating to many areas including demand management and DER (including solar). The work program related to this recommendation has continued to shift since 2015, particularly as the use (and ownership) of data and electricity demand (both peak and minimum) has changed over time with an increase in the uptake of DER (i.e. solar, storage and electric vehicles). With the transition of Victoria's energy system to widespread uptake of DER, the roll out of smart meters has positioned Victoria well to manage voltage fluctuations across the distribution network. DELWP has worked closely with key stakeholders, including AEMO and the DNSPs, and will continue to do so as the energy system transitions to ensure the policy settings are effective and deliver benefits to consumers. With the introduction of the Solar Homes program, DELWP's policy capacity in distributed energy resources has been expanded and combined with policy work on metering, including the finalisation of the AMI Benefits Realisation project (by end of 2019). The finalisation of this project is a key milestone in this policy area. As AMI policy becomes more integrated into DER policy development, the focus of this work will significantly diverge from the original intent of this recommendation. While this piece of work will consider matters relating to smart meter data collection along with demand management, it doesn't consider the need for DELWP to play an active role in monitoring this data as outlined in this recommendation as this role is already being performed.

Planned action at tabling Accept in principle, subject to consideration of appropriate approaches and consultation with distributors and retailers. The Department notes that monitoring the smart meter benefits realised requires the ability to accurately attribute electricity consumption patterns to the AMI Program. This attribution is complicated by the different influences and factors that impact on network investment, including demand, as well other factors such as technology. It also relies on the ability to distinguish between demand reductions by customers with smart meters (whose energy consumption is less than 160 MWh per annum) and demand reductions by other consumers (larger commercial and industrial customers).[lb]Therefore, any approach that the Department can implement is likely to differ markedly from the approach advocated in this recommendation (which suggests highly granular monitoring).

**Updated action** 

Not provided

Recommendation status	Not provided	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #4	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources: works with distributors and retailers to develop and implement systems and processes to more effectively measure and track network benefits to enable these to be passed on to consumers		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Accept in principle, subject to consideration of appropriate approaches and distributors and retailers to develop consultation with distributors. The Department notes that the monitoring of benefits realised as a consequence of the AMI Program will require an understanding of network operation and changes in efficiency of networks due to the AMI Program, independently of other changes in efficiency attributable to the incentive based regulatory framework and good business practice (amongst other matters).[lb]The revenue determination process undertaken by the Australian Energy Regulator (AER) allows efficiencies in aggregate to be identified through the distributors' audited costs which are a part of their submissions to the AER.[lb]Whilst the Department is able to work with distribution businesses on tracking network benefits, the Department does not accept that it should separately establish its own function for monitoring changes in network efficiency given the role of the AER and the existing well established regulatory framework governing networks. Complements and supports actions that are already in that are already in progress		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (259 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #5	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources: effectively influences the Australian Energy Regulator's:[lb]- decisions related to the passing on of network efficiency benefits to consumers in the 2016-2020 distribution price review[lb]- annual process for assessing whether excess costs are efficient and prudent and should be passed on to consumers.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Accept, noting that progress has been made to achieve this outcome. The Department has already made commitments to these actions, which largely reflect a recommendation made in the 2009 audit.[lb]The Department has made submissions to the Australian Energy Regulator in relation to its consideration of distribution network business expenditure for 2016-20, on 30 August 2014 and 13 July 2015.[lb]It is also noted that the Government has recently made changes to the AMI Order in Council to further strengthen the economic prudency tests applied by the AER in assessing distribution expenditure on smart meters and to improve the process under which the AER reaches determinations on these costs. In addition, a legislative amendment is proposed to allow the Minister and consumer groups the right to intervene in AMI charges appeal proceedings.		
Updated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (259 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #6			bs, Transport and Resources: works with relevant freform on consumer groups, particularly vulnerable
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	has already commission impacts of new cost-refl household survey data.[ vulnerable consumers of better or worse off as a vulnerable customer imp introduction of demand	ed and completed independ ective network prices using lb]This analysis indicates the r households with solar PV a result of the reform. The De pacts in regard to tariff refo based cost reflective tariffs	been made to achieve this outcome. The Department dent analysis that assesses potential consumer actual half-hourly household metering data and at no particular consumer segment, such as and/or air conditioning, would be inappropriately epartment is undertaking further work to help identify rm.[lb]This analysis also suggests that effective should help to reduce peak usage and avoid the need with the objective of the AMI Program.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (259 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #7	persistent customer eng to make informed decisi to assist in reducing the	agement program in relations to realise the potential	bs, Transport and Resources develops a strong and on to network tariff reform that: • enables consumers benefits of more cost-reflective network tariffs and cture during peak periods • educates vulnerable fair disadvantage
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Accept recommendation subject to Government approval and funding. The Department considers that an effective and persistent customer engagement program first requires a clear understanding of the roles and responsibilities of all key participants in the reform process including distribution businesses, energy retailers, the Victorian Government and consumer groups. The Department will consult with key stakeholders to develop a communications and customer engagement strategy that outlines the role and responsibility of each stakeholder taking into account actual transition pathways and timelines. The development of this strategy will allow the Victorian Government to focus on the types of information and customer engagement tools that government could use to support Victorian consumers realise potential benefits, including specific support and education for vulnerable Victorians. The Department notes different types of consumer engagement measures might be required beyond the introduction of cost reflective network tariffs in the initial regulatory period of 2016-2020 as messages are likely to change in response to the long term market evolution of cost reflective tariffs and introduction of other customer demand response mechanisms and technologies. These measures are subject to the		

	Government's decision to implement network tariff reform and to obtain funding to undertake a customer engagement response.		
Updated action	Cost-reflective pricing has been introduced in Victoria and a range of programs implemented to support consumers find the best retail offer: - cost reflective pricing for small customers on an opt-in basis from 1/01/17 to 31/12/20 - Opt-out arrangements have also been introduced for medium sized consumers from 1/01/18 to 31/12/20 Victorian Energy Compare website through the \$11.8m Energy Affordability: Putting Energy Consumers First program, and the \$48m Power Saving Bonus program (1.5m visits, positive user experience in surveys) - comprehensive stakeholder engagement and consumer awareness campaign - the median savings for users who switch to the best offer Victorian Energy Compare website is \$330 in the first year alone \$1.32m program for additional communications to support vulnerable consumers that may not be reached by mainstream information campaigns, including frontline delivery services, financial counsellors and emergency relief workers for households undergoing bill stress.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (1354 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #8	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources: identifies and implements actions to protect Victorian consumers from additional costs associated with the pending rollout of new competitive metering processes, and ensures that essential Advanced Metering Infrastructure program benefits are preserved		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	In progress and subject to Government approval and funding		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (259 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Realising the benefit of Smart Meters
Recommendation #9	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources: in conjunction with industry and the Essential Services Commission, considers options to improve the information available to consumers on electricity bills.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Recommendation noted. The Department notes that this recommendation is related to customer engagement and could be considered an option to consider within the broader recommendation no. 3 regarding customer engagement.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (259 days to implement)

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Environmental Effects Statement Process
Recommendation #1	That DELWP review its position on whether legislative review of the Environment Effects Act 1978 and further reform of the Environmental Effects Statement process is required to meet the current needs of proponents and stakeholders		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will review whether reform of the Environmental Effects Act 1978 and/or Ministerial Guidelines on the EES process is required to meet the current needs of proponents and stakeholders.		
Updated action	DELWP has been reviewing EES processes and practices continuously (on a project-by-project basis) since the VAGO audit. This has informed an accumulated understanding of how practices need to adapt and/or revision of the Act and Guidelines may be warranted. This helps inform continuous improvement of the EES process. More recently a stakeholder survey was developed and circulated to a range of stakeholders, including proponents. Results of the survey responses were analysed. This helped DELWP form a position that there is a need for revision of the Environment Effects Act 1978 and/or Ministerial Guidelines, in order to meet the current needs of proponents and stakeholders.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-19 (862 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Environmental Effects Statement Process
Recommendation #2	That DELWP systematically review its internal Environmental Effects Statement guidance documents in its quality management system and update them as required		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	The department has re-established the EES Continuous Improvement Group (CIG) which meets every two months. The CIG has completed an initial review and will update the QMS content (guidance documents and templates) in late 2018. The CIG has developed a review schedule to guide future review and update of QMS documents and templates, which will be done in tranches. The QMS already includes guidance on the required approach to systematic review of its content. The CIG has met four times since March 2018 to review the QMS content/gaps. It has undertaken an initial tranche of reviews of core existing QMS documents and templates. The complete review schedule/ frequency for all of remaining sections of QMS document is still being developed (by end of November 2018). Review of the content of the QMS is an ongoing process/activity.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (831 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Environmental Effects Statement Process

Recommendation #3	That DELWP develop and implement a risk-based system to monitor and document compliance with conditions imposed in lieu of a full Environmental Effects Statement, to identify circumstances when an Environmental Effects Statement becomes necessary		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will commence implementation of program of monitoring compliance with previous No EES conditions yet to be complied with. (Ongoing) DELWP will develop internal guidance to be incorporated into the QMS on process for consistently monitoring and reporting compliance of conditions set in No EES decisions made under section 8B(3)(b) of the Act. The system for documenting progress and compliance with conditions imposed under each decision would include proponent and/or statutory decision-maker reporting of compliance with conditions.		
Updated action	In 2017/18 a project commenced to develop the on-line Smart Planning System for Impact Assessment. The online system will include functionality to track, document and report on progress and compliance with Minister's 'No EES' conditions. The build of the new system is now scheduled for 2019. Monitoring or audit of all previous 'No EES' conditions will commence in late 2019 once the new on-line system is in place (expected in second half of 2019). However, the department is already tracking compliance with a range of current 'No EES' conditions in preparation for the system.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (984 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Environmental Effects Statement Process
Recommendation #4	That DELWP investigate, identify and implement an appropriate process for statutory decision-makers to report to the department their response to recommendations in the Minister's Assessment in their final decisions		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will develop internal guidance to be incorporated into the QMS to improve wording of recommendations in future Minister's Assessments, such that they include clear direction and onus for decision-makers to report to the department on implementation. DELWP will review whether revision of the Ministerial Guidelines is necessary to ensure the decision-makers report to the department on responses to and implementation of recommendations in Minister's Assessments.		
Updated action	The QMS now includes improved wording of recommendations in the template for Minister's Assessments, letters to decision-makers and to proponents, such that they include clear direction and onus to report to the department on implementation. Following analysis of a recent stakeholder survey, including proponents, DELWP formed a position that there is a need for revision of the Environment Effects Act 1978 and/or Ministerial Guidelines, in order to meet the current needs of proponents and stakeholders.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-19 (862 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Environmental Effects
			Statement Process

Recommendation #5	That DELWP publish a guide on the inputs and level of detail required from proponents at the scoping stage of the Environmental Effects Statement process		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will prepare and publish an advisory note on the information required from proponent to inform their preparation of inputs to the EES scoping stage.		
Updated action	The department has completed two advisory notes and has already used these to advise proponents for recent EESs (over the course of 2017 and 2018). The advisory notes are now published on planning's EES information web-page.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-17 (40 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Environmental Effects Statement Process
Recommendation #6	That DELWP develop scoping requirements for each Environmental Effects Statement that achieve a balance between: · focusing on key potentially significant environmental effects · providing sufficient information on other environmental issues and effects to inform the efficient coordination of decisions for interfacing statutory processes.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will develop scoping requirements for future EESs to achieve a balance between focusing on key potential effects and providing sufficient information on other environmental issues and effects relevant to interfacing statutory decisions/ processes.		
Updated action	While this is an ongoing action (as published in the audit report action plan), it has been completed. The department has developed EES scoping requirements in accordance with this action since the VAGO audit. This is evidenced by a number of scoping requirements approved by the Minister and published on the DELWP website since the audit (e.g. Edithvale Bonbeach EES, Golden Plains EES, Mordialloc Bypass EES, Fingerboards Mine EES and North East Link EES).		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (436 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Environmental Effects Statement Process
Recommendation #7	That DELWP establish a process and seek agreement with relevant agencies participating in technical reference groups for securing individual reference group members with subject matter and procedural expertise, and the appropriate level of delegation to represent their organisation		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will develop a process and protocols for agencies participating in TRGs, to facilitate appropriate nominations and level of involvement in the EES process. DELWP will seek agreement on the process and protocols with relevant agencies regularly participating in technical reference groups.		

Updated action	DELWP has developed a process and protocols for agencies participating in TRGs and this agreed action is complete. For EES TRGs established since VAGO audit report, IAU has put in place new TRG protocols. Also, all correspondence seeking nominations from agencies now clearly sets out expectations for TRG members involvement in the EES process. There is also a revision of the TRG guidance/process within QMS being completed to reflect this. The agreed action to seek agreement on the process and protocols with relevant agencies regularly participating in TRGs and new TRG invitation letters and terms of reference are now in use.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-17 (132 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Environmental Effects Statement Process
Recommendation #8	That DELWP outline its reasoning when providing advice to the Minister for Planning on inquiry options for the public review stage of the Environmental Effects Statement process		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will develop internal process guidance for inclusion in the QMS on providing the Minister with reasoning in support of any recommendation on the form/type of Inquiry to use at the public review stage of each EES process. Amend briefing templates in QMS to encompass the reasoning and recommendation on the form of Inquiry for public review stage for each EES process.		
Updated action	During 2017 and 2018 the department has included content in briefings to ensure formal provision of advice to the Minister (together with reasoning) on recommendation for the form/type of Inquiry to use at the public review stage. DELWP developed some new internal guidance in the QMS to ensure good practice is undertaken and documented for the provision of advice to the Minister regarding the form/type of Inquiry to use for each EES process. This has included amending templates in the QMS and using recent experience to ensure formal provision of advice/ recommendation to the Minister is sound and well-reasoned.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (831 days to implement)

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Planning System for land Use and Development
Recommendation #1	and clarifying the conter audit - developing a busi	nt of the Victoria Planning P	proves the planning system by: · updating, simplifying rovisions in line with the weaknesses identified in this of the Smart Planning Program, to successfully roll out ed
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	many of the planning system issues identified In the report will be addressed as part of the Victorian Government's Smart Planning Program, which aims to simplify and modernise Victoria's planning system over the next two years. Phase 1 'Improve' and Phase 2 'Reform' of the program is expected to be completed by mid 2018 and will directly deliver a range of outcomes associated with recommendations 1, 3 and 4 of the report. A number of Initiatives are currently underway which include: - Victorian		

Planning Portal- A new portal has recently been delivered (www.planning vic.gov.au) providing a gateway to planning information, services and tools. User needs analysis is progressing with additional functionality to be built between mid-2017 and mid-2018. - Interactive Planning Maps - An interactive platform available through the planning portal is being developed to replace static electronic maps by mid-2017. - Permits Online - In October 2016, a pilot online lodgement system was launched for permit applications where the Minister is the responsible authority. This system will be extended to include Environment Effects Statements and heritage permit applications in early 2018. The system provides greater transparency In process, improved efficiencies and the ability to monitor and track quality over time. - Planning Scheme Information Management system (PSIMS) - PSIMS is a digital platform to provide an online, interactive environment for all planning schemes. Migration of existing planning scheme information is planned for mid 2017. The system will be extended to provide local government functionality commencing early 2018. - Updating the State Planning Policy Framework - The first stage will soon be finalised which will include incorporation of updated policies including a refreshed Plan Melbourne. The second stage will focus on development of an integrated framework model which can be tailored to local schemes - this will commence in mid-2017. - Smarter Rules - An initial extension to VicSmart was approved in late March 2017, with additional changes planned for mid-2017. This will allow for additional permit classes to be fast tracked through the permit approvals process providing clearer rules and more efficient processes. - Reforming the Rules - The department will soon commence a review of zones, overlays and other planning controls found within the Victoria Planning Provisions. This review will look to refining content through efficiencies and removing redundant and duplicate content in existing controls. Final advice to the Minister for Planning is proposed in mld-2018.

#### **Updated action**

This recommendation and DELWP agreed actions were achieved through the first two stages of the Smart Planning Program which was completed on 30 June 2018. The State Budget provided initial funding of \$25.5 million in the 2016-17 Budget to begin the program of Smart Planning reforms and further funding was provided in the 2017-18 Budget with \$3 million over three years to boost the extension to the VicSmart expedited planning approval system. Through the approved Program, the planning system has been improved through significant reforms with the major VC Amendment (VC148) gazetted in July 2018. VC148 added clarity to schemes by simplifying and improving their structure, function and operation and removing unnecessary regulation and represents the largest change to the VPPs since their introduction. The VPPs have been reduced by over 8,000 pages and are now digital. A new planning policy framework has been introduced to reduce duplication between state and local policy and planning schemes have been simplified. A business case for the SPP Stage Three (Transform) has been completed. Partial funding was approved by Government in the 2018-19 Budget to roll out the PPF reforms to local government.

Recommendation status

Complete Date implemented/
due for implementation

Jul-18 (466 days to implement)

**Audit year** 

2016-17

Audit name

Managing Victoria's Planning System for land Use and Development

Recommendation #3

That DELWP work with councils to improve the way it and councils apply the requirements of the Victoria Planning Provisions, through: · improving the capacity of departmental and council planners to apply the planning scheme and assess planning proposals comprehensively against the requirements of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 and the Victoria Planning Provisions · developing and implementing training materials to educate planners to apply a performance-based approach to the application of the planning system and assessments · requiring assessments to include an overall conclusion that integrates the decision-making considerations, weighing up the positive and negative attributes and the overall acceptability of the proposed land use or development in proportion to its scale, complexity and risk

Accept recommendation

Yes

If no, explain

### Planned action at tabling

...many of the planning system issues identified in the report will be addressed as part of the Victorian Government's Smart Planning Program, which aims to simplify and modernise Victoria's planning system over the next two years. Phase 1 'Improve' and Phase 2 'Reform' of the program is expected to be completed by mid 2018 and will directly deliver a range of outcomes associated with recommendations 1, 3 and 4 of the report. A number of Initiatives are currently underway which include: - Victorian Planning Portal- A new portal has recently been delivered (www.planning vic.gov.au) providing a gateway to planning information, services and tools. User needs analysis is progressing with additional functionality to be built between mid-2017 and mid-2018. - Interactive Planning Maps - An interactive platform available through the planning portal is being developed to replace static electronic maps by mid-2017. - Permits Online - In October 2016, a pilot online lodgement system was launched for permit applications where the Minister is the responsible authority. This system will be extended to include Environment Effects Statements and heritage permit applications in early 2018. The system provides greater transparency In process, improved efficiencies and the ability to monitor and track quality over time. - Planning Scheme Information Management system (PSIMS) - PSIMS is a digital platform to provide an online, interactive environment for all planning schemes. Migration of existing planning scheme information is planned for mid 2017. The system will be extended to provide local government functionality commencing early 2018. - Updating the State Planning Policy Framework - The first stage will soon be finalised which will include incorporation of updated policies including a refreshed Plan Melbourne. The second stage will focus on development of an integrated framework model which can be tailored to local schemes - this will commence in mid-2017. - Smarter Rules - An initial extension to VicSmart was approved in late March 2017, with additional changes planned for mid-2017. This will allow for additional permit classes to be fast tracked through the permit approvals process providing clearer rules and more efficient processes. - Reforming the Rules - The department will soon commence a review of zones, overlays and other planning controls found within the Victoria Planning Provisions. This review will look to refining content through efficiencies and removing redundant and duplicate content in existing controls. Final advice to the Minister for Planning is proposed in mld-2018.

## **Updated action**

Rec 3a: The department has provided an extensive range of education materials through the new online planning portal (implemented March 2017) including material regarding the operation of the VPPs as a whole and information relating to specific provisions found within it. DELWP has worked and will continue to work with PIA to improve the quality and quantity of PLANET courses delivered through its CPD program to Victorian Planners. Rec 3b: The department continues to provide technical support, information sessions and other forms of assistance associated with the operation of the Victoria Planning Provisions and State planning policy matters. The department from time to time undertakes targeted training and development to build planning practitioners capacity for complex state-wide amendments. The following are the most recent examples (last 12 months): - Implementation of the Bushfire Management Overlay - Smart Planning Program - Apartment Guidelines - Native Vegetation Ad Biodiversity Reforms - Solar Panels / Solar Farms Guidelines - Urban Stormwater Reforms - Infrastructure Contributions Planning Rec 3c: The department undertakes regular reviews of the Victoria Planning Provisions through the Internal Amendment Governance System. Coupled with the new Amendment Tracking System, the new approach to assessing amendments provides for better integration and assessment of a range of material quickly to help assess an amendments policy appropriateness at a state, regional and local level, ensure content is consistent with established statutory rules confirming the appropriateness of the strategic directions and/or conclusions of a given amendment for approval by the Minister or his delegate.

**Recommendation status** 

Complete Date implemented/
due for implementation

Jul-18 (466 days to implement)

**Audit** year

2016-17

**Audit name** 

Managing Victoria's Planning System for land Use and Development

Recommendation #4

That DELWP introduce a risk-based approach to development assessment processes and guidance materials, by: · developing clear, simple assessment pathways that ensure applications are progressed in a transparent way in proportion to the potential risk, impact and cost, and in accordance with

community expectations  $\cdot$  reviewing efficiency indicators to support the application of a risk-based approach.

**Accept recommendation** 

Yρ

If no, explain

Planned action at tabling

...many of the planning system issues identified in the report will be addressed as part of the Victorian Government's Smart Planning Program, which aims to simplify and modernise Victoria's planning system over the next two years. Phase 1 'Improve' and Phase 2 'Reform' of the program is expected to be completed by mid 2018 and will directly deliver a range of outcomes associated with recommendations 1, 3 and 4 of the report. A number of Initiatives are currently underway which include: - Victorian Planning Portal- A new portal has recently been delivered (www.planning vic.gov.au) providing a gateway to planning information, services and tools. User needs analysis is progressing with additional functionality to be built between mid-2017 and mid-2018. - Interactive Planning Maps - An interactive platform available through the planning portal is being developed to replace static electronic maps by mid-2017. - Permits Online - In October 2016, a pilot online lodgement system was launched for permit applications where the Minister is the responsible authority. This system will be extended to include Environment Effects Statements and heritage permit applications in early 2018. The system provides greater transparency In process, improved efficiencies and the ability to monitor and track quality over time. - Planning Scheme Information Management system (PSIMS) - PSIMS is a digital platform to provide an online, interactive environment for all planning schemes. Migration of existing planning scheme information is planned for mid 2017. The system will be extended to provide local government functionality commencing early 2018. - Updating the State Planning Policy Framework - The first stage will soon be finalised which will include incorporation of updated policies including a refreshed Plan Melbourne. The second stage will focus on development of an integrated framework model which can be tailored to local schemes - this will commence in mid-2017. - Smarter Rules - An initial extension to VicSmart was approved in late March 2017, with additional changes planned for mid-2017. This will allow for additional permit classes to be fast tracked through the permit approvals process providing clearer rules and more efficient processes. - Reforming the Rules - The department will soon commence a review of zones, overlays and other planning controls found within the Victoria Planning Provisions. This review will look to refining content through efficiencies and removing redundant and duplicate content in existing controls. Final advice to the Minister for Planning is proposed in mid-2018.

Updated action

The recommendations have been implemented through: · Smarter Rules - The extension of the VicSmart process for low risk planning permit applications, which increased the proportion of eligible applications from 7% to 15%. · An updated Ministerial Direction on Form and Content and a new Victorian Planning Practitioner's guide, which ensure that changes to Local Planning Policy Frameworks are only done if necessary, are proportional to the intended outcome, apply the VPP in a proper manner and will only be approved if it is clear in achieving the intended land use planning outcome. Developing code assessment pathways for permit types and decisions through Stage 3 of the Smart Planning Program (Transform). Development of a new internal Planning Scheme Amendment triage assessment process to deliver a transparent, efficient approach to monitoring and maintaining quality assurance aspects of the planning system. The process applies a triage approach based on a risk assessment of each amendment through a three tiered governance approach. DELWP is monitoring the effectiveness and efficiency of the application of these reforms through several different ways: · All Planning Scheme Amendments are now proceeding via the new digitised Amendment Tracking Systems (ATS) which includes compliance with Ministerial Direction on Form and Content of Planning Schemes. Monitoring the increased take up of the VicSmart process and increasing quality of submitted amendments through the following Budget Paper 3 measures: · Planning Scheme Amendments that are correct upon submission · Proportion of planning applications that proceed through the VicSmart process within 10 days · Further performance indicators for planning scheme amendments are being developed as part of the assessment pathway process, for completion by June 2019.

**Recommendation status** 

Complete

Date implemented/ due for implementation Jul-18 (466 days to implement)

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Planning System for land Use and Development		
Recommendation #5	That DELWP strengthen accountability requirements for decisions by applying better-practice principles for discretionary decision-making and transparent public reporting, including publishing reasons for all planning decisions, and publishing advisory committee reports within three months of the committee handing its report to the Minister for Planning				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	Phase 3 'Transform' of the program will assist with delivering outcomes associated with recommendations 2, 5 and 6 of the report. The department is currently scoping a business case for endorsement by government to be submitted by end of 2017 as part of its 2018-19 budget program. If successful this phase will undertake a review of the following, but not limited to: - the future role, function and operation of the Victoria Planning Provisions and the Planning and Environment Act 1987 to determine how best to adapt the system to a changing urban and geopolitical landscape - options for how continuous improvement of the system can be achieved in the most effective, transparent and cost effective manner possible - opportunities to further streamline existing regulatory processes, improve articulation of roles and responsibilities within the system as they relate to the management of planning schemes; - the ability for, and, capacity and transparency of decision-making by improving processes and addressing inefficiencies - opportunities for more effective monitoring and review framework aimed at measuring the performance of the planning system over time. A collaborative engagement approach is being undertaken to ensure the program delivers solutions that meet the needs and expectations of the community, government and industry. Stakeholders will have the chance to have their say, and help inform decision making throughout the program through online platforms such as Engage Victoria and face-to-face consultations. A high-level advisory group and various reference groups have been established to guide progress of the program.				
Updated action	matter for the Ministe request the appropriat	r for Planning, the departmer te permission to: a) enable th	ns and advisory committee reports is a discretionary of has developed administrative processes that e public release of reasons for planning decisions, and months of it being provided to the Minister.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (254 days to implement)		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Planning System for land Use and Development		
Recommendation #6	That DELWP work with councils to complete the performance measurement framework for the planning system so that it provides the relevant information and data at the state and local levels to assess the effectiveness of the planning system, measure the achievement of planning policies and support continuous improvement of the planning system through monitoring the effectiveness of reforms				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	recommendations 2, 5 endorsement by gover successful this phase w function and operation determine how best to	and 6 of the report. The deport ment to be submitted by entitled by entitled by entitled by entitled and the vill undertake a review of the province adapt the system to a change of the control of the c	delivering outcomes associated with artment is currently scoping a business case for ad of 2017 as part of its 2018-19 budget program. If following, but not limited to: - the future role, visions and the Planning and Environment Act 1987 to ging urban and geopolitical landscape - options for achieved in the most effective, transparent and cost		

effective manner possible - opportunities to further streamline existing regulatory processes, improve articulation of roles and responsibilities within the system as they relate to the management of planning schemes; - the ability for, and, capacity and transparency of decision-making by improving processes and addressing inefficiencies - opportunities for more effective monitoring and review framework aimed at measuring the performance of the planning system over time. A collaborative engagement approach is being undertaken to ensure the program delivers solutions that meet the needs and expectations of the community, government and industry. Stakeholders will have the chance to have their say, and help inform decision making throughout the program through online platforms such as Engage Victoria and face-to-face consultations. A high-level advisory group and various reference groups have been established to guide progress of the program.

## **Updated action**

DELWP has investigated options for this agreed action through the implementation of a business case for the Transform stage of Smart Planning Program (SPP) for approval by Minister for Planning and endorsement by government. The agreed management action of considering options as part of SPP Stage Three (Transform) business case has been completed. Included in the Reforming Local Government Planning - Removing the Barriers is a work package to deliver a performance monitoring system enabling government to evaluate the performance of the planning system using accurate data. The business case for the SPP Stage Three was developed and partial funding of the full business case was approved for three years from 2018-19.

Recommendation status

Complete

Date implemented/ due for implementation Dec-17 (254 days to implement)

Audit year

2016-17

Audit name

Managing Victoria's planning system for land use and development

## Recommendation #2

That DELWP strengthen its approach to overseeing and continuously improving the planning system, by: incorporating a requirement in the revised Victoria Planning Provisions for its regular review · facilitating the development of a technical committee to undertake regular reviews of the Victoria Planning Provisions and its content  $\cdot$  reviewing the roles, responsibilities and guidance for undertaking and implementing local planning scheme reviews in a timely manner based on risk · strengthening the planning scheme amendment process by providing a robust check of the strategic justification of amendments and the legal basis for the chosen planning provisions at the authorisation stage · working with councils to ensure that existing planning controls for natural hazards, such as flooding, fire and erosion, are applied in all areas where they need to be to appropriately manage the risks

Accept recommendation Yes

If no, explain

Planned action at tabling Not provided

**Updated action** 

DELWP agreed actions: Rec 2a: · To be considered as part of the 'Reform' phase of the Smart Planning Program to determine options and a preferred approach. Any changes or establishment of ongoing review will require the Minister for Planning's endorsement and further budget allocation to support implementation. Rec 2b: \* The department will continue to monitor, review and update the application of Bushfire Prone Areas and Bushfire Management Overlays maintaining bushfire mapping through both the planning and building systems. Rec 2c: · Subject to the 'Transform' phase of the Smart Planning Program outcomes which requires Minister for Planning endorsement and budget allocation. · Proposed changes may require policy endorsement of the Minister for Planning and may require legislative review process. Rec 2d: · Subject to outputs from the development from the Planning Scheme Information Management System (PSIMS) platform developed through the 'Reform' phase of Smart Planning Program. · Department to investigate opportunities to reprioritise and refine existing processes to provide emphasis at the authorisation stage of the amendment process to improve efficiency. Implementation may be subject to Minister for Planning endorsement and further budget allocation. Rec

	2e: • The department will continue to monitor, review and update the application of Bushfire Prone Area			
	and Bushfire Managen building system.	nent Overlay maintaining bus	hfire mapping through both the planning and the	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-19 (740 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #4	That DELWP analyse p studies, guidance and		romulgate better practice public participation case	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	·	practice guidance and a web ew of the Local Government	based repository of best practice examples after the Act 1989.	
Updated action	In responding to the AG's recommendations, it was anticipated that a new Local Gov Act containing community engagement practice prescriptions would have been passed by Parliament. The new Act would have formed the basis for developing best practice guidance. The Local Gov Bill being considered by Parliament has lapsed. Subject to subsequent gov decisions on reforming the Local Government Act 1989, guidance in line with any future Act will be developed. Should reforms not proceed, best practice guidance to support actions by councils will be developed. 2: Analysis of Community Satisfaction Survey results is completed each year by LGV following the release of the State-wide report. 3: The Local Gov Performance Reporting Framework was reviewed and changes supported by the LGPRF Steering Committee. A LGPRF Strategic Directions Paper which proposes to maintain the existing 'satisfaction with community consultation and engagement' indicator, was subsequently endorsed by the Min for LG.			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-21	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation in Government Decision-Making	
Recommendation #3	That DELWP develop a comprehensive public participation policy or framework that establishes: · an operating model for public participation in each agency, including the required resources, governance and oversight arrangements · the mandate, priorities, responsibilities and mechanisms for collaboration for public participation			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	1. Formation of a cross-department working group that will review existing process, policies and documentation to understand the needs to support public participation at DELWP. 2. Research public participation frameworks and policies that represent good practice. 3. Work collaboratively with Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC) to ensure DELWP's policy direction supports and delivers against the overarching DPC framework. 4. Participatory process across DELWP to develop a public participation framework or policy. 5. Approve and publish the framework or policy.			
Updated action	The group oversaw tw	VAGO Public Participation Working Group (cross-department working group) formed November 2017.  The group oversaw two reviews, an Internal Practice Review and a Leading Practice Review. DELWP and DPC have collaborated to ensure DELWP's framework aligns with the Whole of Government Public		

Engagement Vision. Co-design process was used to develop the Framework including an internal Engage Victoria site on the draft framework. Following the development of the framework a draft operating model was completed. Senior Executive Team Committee Customer and Stakeholder Sub-committee was established in September 2018 to provide governance and oversight. The draft framework and operating model will go to DELWP's Senior Executive Team before 31/12/18. Recommendation status Complete Date implemented/ Feb-19 (632 days to implement) due for implementation **Audit name Audit year** 2016-17 Public Participation in Government Decision-Making Recommendation #4 That DELWP provide their staff with guidance about governance and oversight of public participation activities, information on capability development, access to public participation expertise and monitoring, and evaluation mechanisms If no, explain Accept recommendation Yes Planned action at tabling 1. Formation of a cross-department working group that will review existing process, policies and documentation to understand the needs to support public participation at DELWP. 2. Prepare options paper to support guidance in undertaking public participation with community stakeholders, and understand what systems would best support the Department. 3. Develop and implement the preferred option providing the appropriate level of guidance and systems. **Updated action** Two reviews have been completed, an Internal Practice Review and a Leading Practice Review. During the co-design process of the framework, training, mentoring and networks were identified as a key area of focus as well as guidance and tools to support planning, delivery and evaluation. A Guidance and Options paper have been completed and identify priority areas for implementation. The Senior Executive Team Committee Customer and Stakeholder Sub-committee was established in September 2018 to provide governance and oversight. Community Partnerships and Engagement Support Unit in Forest, Fire and Regions Group have adapted its service model to increase support and advice across the department and work with other engagement experts. Date implemented/ Recommendation status Complete Apr-19 (691 days to implement) due for implementation Public Participation in Government Decision-Making **Audit year** Audit name 2016-17 **Recommendation #5** That DELWP establish a single source of information to allow for monitoring and reporting of public participation activities across the whole agency Accept recommendation Yes If no, explain Planned action at tabling 1. This recommendation will be undertaken in conjunction with the actions responding to recommendation 4. 2. Undertake needs analysis across the department 3. If an information management system is identified through the needs analysis process it will be operational by December 2018 **Updated action** A single source of information has been delivered with the use of Engage Victoria (EV). EV provides DELWP with a central point to monitor engagement activities and ensure that good engagement process, eg feedback reports, occurs. From Nov 16 - Nov 18, DELWP contributed to approximately one third of all activities across the Victorian Government. EV enables DELWP to monitor and report on activity. The EV reporting includes (figures are from November 2016 – November 2018): \* Visitation ie. 17,532

contributors and 23,072 unique contributions \* Conversion of visitors to active participants i.e. 13.3% 2 actions or more performed \* Type of participation i.e. 96.6% contributed through a survey or form process \* Total number of projects to date, active projects at the point of time of report i.e. 63 total, 4 open, 45 active and 14 closed \* Participation and visitation levels across individual projects. A dashboard has been developed to provide quarterly reporting to the newly-formed Customer & Stakeholder Committee. It incorporates EV data, reporting on the implementation and effectiveness of the Engagement Framework and spatial data. Further actions that DELWP has delivered in support of Recommendation 5 are: \* A DELWP-wide review of engagement needs was completed in Jan 18. \* In Feb 18, DELWP's Senior Executive approved a pilot to test a Relationship Management System (RMS). The pilot will be completed in Dec 18, with the preferred system operational by June 19. \* A desktop analysis and feedback from other government departments on RMSs was completed between Feb – Nov 18. \* A Customer and Stakeholder Committee Subcommittee of DELWP's Senior Executive Team was established in September 2018 to drive improvements in our stakeholder engagement. \* Development of an online portal, a single source of information for staff, is underway and will include engagement guidance and resources. Date implemented/ **Recommendation status** Complete Dec-18 (570 days to implement) due for implementation **Audit year** Audit name Public Participation in Government Decision-Making 2016-17 Recommendation #6 That DELWP evaluate and review the effectiveness of their public participation training program If no, explain Accept recommendation Yes Planned action at tabling 1. A cross-department working group supported by workforce capability will review existing and future training needs to understand the capability and capacity requirements of DELWP 2. Develop a capability and capacity framework that explores effective and innovative ways of supporting engagement practice. **Updated** action A single source of information has been delivered with the use of Engage Victoria (EV). EV provides DELWP with a central point to monitor engagement activities and ensure that good engagement process, eg feedback reports, occurs. From Nov 16 - Nov 18, DELWP contributed to approximately one third of all activities across the Victorian Government. EV enables DELWP to monitor and report on activity. See attachment A for further information on reporting. Recommendation status Complete Date implemented/ Jun-18 (387 days to implement) due for implementation **Audit year Audit name** Public Participation in Government Decision-Making 2016-17 Recommendation #7 That DELWP clarify and be consistent in all messaging regarding the purpose of public participation If no, explain Accept recommendation Yes Planned action at tabling This recommendation will be undertaken in conjunction with the actions responding to recommendations 3, 4, and 6. **Updated action** The EV reporting includes (figures are from November 2016 – November 2018):

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-19 (632 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation in Government Decision-Making	
Recommendation #8	That DELWP provide for	eedback to stakeholders abou	It how their input has been used	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	This recommendation recommendations 3, 4	•	ction with the actions responding to	
Updated action	* Visitation ie. 17,532	contributors and 23,072 uniq	ue contributions	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-19 (632 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation in Government Decision-Making	
Recommendation #9	That DELWP where there are externally imposed time constraints, plan realistic public participation activities to suit the time available			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	recommendations 3, 4		unction with the actions responding to program that promotes understanding of public ractice engagements.	
Updated action	* Conversion of visitor	s to active participants i.e. 13	.3% 2 actions or more performed	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (782 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth	
Recommendation #1	In collaboration with key state and local government agencies, develop and advise government on mechanisms that will support them to: · participate effectively in the precinct structure planning process; · integrate precinct structure planning proposals into their planning and delivery processes			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	responsibilities of ager develop proposals for	ncies during each stage of the	vernment agencies will: - clarify the roles and PSP process and seek government approval - service delivery to support the implementation or ecommendation 7)	
Updated action	Not provided			

Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth		
Recommendation #2	Develop guidelines tha communities	t clarify the concept of 'timel	y' provision of services and infrastructure for new		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	DELWP, in conjunction with the VPA and councils, will clarify the concept of 'timely' provision of services and infrastructure for new communities and seek government approval of this. This will inform DELWP's review and update to the Precinct Structure Planning guidelines.				
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth		
Recommendation #3	That DELWP, in conjunction with the Victorian Planning Authority and Department of Health and Human Services, monitor the effectiveness of the precinct structure planning process for health precincts				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	DELWP In conjunction with the VPA and the DHHS will: - review the effectiveness of the structure planning processes for health precincts and update the PSP guidelines to include planning for health precincts - review planning provisions for health precincts to support their continued effective operation and expansion (as outlined in Action 6 of the Plan Melbourne 2017-2050 Implementation Plan). The outcomes of these reviews will be considered as part of Plan Melbourne's overall monitoring and reporting framework.				
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-23		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth		
Recommendation #4	Assess the implementation outcomes of existing precinct structure plans to continuously improve the process				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will work with the VPA, councils, Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources, DHHS and the Department of Education and Training to: - undertake an independent assessment of the outcomes of the existing precinct structure plans in consultation with growth area councils, communities and the development industry. The learnings from this process will inform				

	updates to the PSP guidelines establish an ongoing monitoring, evaluation and reporting framework for PSPs . The outcomes of this work will be considered as part of Plan Melbourne's overall monitoring and reporting framework.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Follow-up of selected 2012-13 and 2013-14 Performance Audits: Managing Victoria's Native Forest Timber Resources	
Recommendation #2		ensive forest management zo ining and management	I ning review as a priority action to better inform its	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The recent agreement between the Victorian and Australian governments to a two-year process to modernise the state's Regional Forest Agreements (RFAs) provides a timely basis to consider the zoning scheme as part of the Comprehensive, Adequate and Representative reserve system which forms a cornerstone of these agreements.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-21	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Follow-up of selected 2012-13 and 2013-14 Performance Audits: Managing Victoria's Native Forest Timber Resources	
Recommendation #3		and development of a clear g nomic Development, Jobs, Tr	coal for state forest management in collaboration with ransport and Resources	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP also welcome's the report's recommendation that it lead the coordination and development of a clear goal for state forest management in collaboration with DEDJTR. As with the first recommendation, DELWP considers the best way to provide a basis for leading work to set forest management goals is through the RFA modernisation process. This process will include updating scientific assessments of forest values and engaging with the community.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	

Recommendation #1	recovery working grou disaster recovery tests between agencies; · ide	p to: · provide advice and tec and exercises; · coordinate d entify, develop, implement ar funding requests to ensure cr	d professionals to form a collaborative disaster hnical support; · share lessons learnt based on isaster recovery requirements for resources shared and manage initiatives that may impact multiple ritical investments and requirements are prioritised	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP has begun an assessment of its ICT assets (including systems) under its ICT Criticality Framework. This framework measures the critical nature of its assets in relation to the risks of them not being available. We believe that this approach will clarify the extent of DELWP's critical ICT assets and how they are being managed. The initial results of the assessment points to approximately 60 critical assets. DELWP would welcome the opportunity to further respond to the audit's findings once we have concluded our own assessment. DELWP accepts those recommendations that relate to it, and notes the issues identified in the report will be addressed by the criticality assessment of our ICT assets and the strategy that will be developed in response to its findings. DELWP expects to complete the assessment and the evaluation of the results by the end of December 2017. Its ICT Committee will oversee the implementation of the resulting strategy during 2018.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (732 days to implement)	
Audit year		Audit name	ICT Disaster Pesquary Planning	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #2		on their disaster recovery rebility investment that will be	quirements and resource capabilities to determine required	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP has begun an assessment of its ICT assets (including systems) under its ICT Criticality Framework. This framework measures the critical nature of its assets in relation to the risks of them not being available. We believe that this approach will clarify the extent of DELWP's critical ICT assets and how they are being managed. The initial results of the assessment points to approximately 60 critical assets. DELWP would welcome the opportunity to further respond to the audit's findings once we have concluded our own assessment. DELWP accepts those recommendations that relate to it, and notes the issues identified in the report will be addressed by the criticality assessment of our ICT assets and the strategy that will be developed in response to its findings. DELWP expects to complete the assessment and the evaluation of the results by the end of December 2017. Its ICT Committee will oversee the implementation of the resulting strategy during 2018.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (579 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	

Recommendation #3	Develop disaster recov	ery plans for the systems tha	t support critical business functions and test these	
	plans according to the disaster recovery test program			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP has begun an assessment of its ICT assets (including systems) under its ICT Criticality Framework. This framework measures the critical nature of its assets in relation to the risks of them not being available. We believe that this approach will clarify the extent of DELWP's critical ICT assets and how they are being managed. The initial results of the assessment points to approximately 60 critical assets. DELWP would welcome the opportunity to further respond to the audit's findings once we have concluded our own assessment. DELWP accepts those recommendations that relate to it, and notes the issues identified in the report will be addressed by the criticality assessment o f our ICT assets and the strategy that will be developed in response to its findings. DELWP expects to complete the assessment and the evaluation of the results by the end of December 2017. Its ICT Committee will oversee the implementation of the resulting strategy during 2018.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #4			eloped frameworks, policies, standards and needed; · specific disaster recovery systems	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP has begun an assessment of its ICT assets (including systems) under its ICT Criticality Framework. This framework measures the critical nature of its assets in relation to the risks of them not being available. We believe that this approach will clarify the extent of DELWP's critical ICT assets and how they are being managed. The initial results of the assessment points to approximately 60 critical assets. DELWP would welcome the opportunity to further respond to the audit's findings once we have concluded our own assessment. DELWP accepts those recommendations that relate to it, and notes the issues identified in the report will be addressed by the criticality assessment of our ICT assets and the strategy that will be developed in response to its findings. DELWP expects to complete the assessment and the evaluation of the results by the end of December 2017. Its ICT Committee will oversee the implementation of the resulting strategy during 2018.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
riadic year	2017—10	- Audite Hallie	. 2. Saster New York, T. Marring	
Recommendation #5	becoming obsolete, th	ose that will soon have insuff	ses to: · identify and manage systems at risk of icient support or those that will be difficult to egic planning, life-cycle optimisation and the	

development of long-term business cases for system life-cycle support; · provide executive with information to allow risk-based investment decisions to be made. Accept recommendation If no, explain Planned action at tabling DELWP has begun an assessment of its ICT assets (including systems) under its ICT Criticality Framework. This framework measures the critical nature of its assets in relation to the risks of them not being available. We believe that this approach will clarify the extent of DELWP's critical ICT assets and how they are being managed. The initial results of the assessment points to approximately 60 critical assets. DELWP would welcome the opportunity to further respond to the audit's findings once we have concluded our own assessment. DELWP accepts those recommendations that relate to it, and notes the issues identified in the report will be addressed by the criticality assessment of our ICT assets and the strategy that will be developed in response to its findings. DELWP expects to complete the assessment and the evaluation of the results by the end of December 2017. Its ICT Committee will oversee the implementation of the resulting strategy during 2018. **Updated** action Not provided Recommendation status Complete Date implemented/ Nov-19 (702 days to implement) due for implementation Audit year Audit name **ICT Disaster Recovery Planning** 2017-18 Recommendation #7 Update its business impact analysis to identify: · system dependencies for critical business functions; · requirements for the system recovery time objective and recovery point objective If no, explain Accept recommendation Planned action at tabling DELWP has begun an assessment of its ICT assets (including systems) under its ICT Criticality Framework. This framework measures the critical nature of its assets in relation to the risks of them not being available. We believe that this approach will clarify the extent of DELWP's critical ICT assets and how they are being managed. The initial results of the assessment points to approximately 60 critical assets. DELWP would welcome the opportunity to further respond to the audit's findings once we have concluded our own assessment. DELWP accepts those recommendations that relate to it, and notes the issues identified in the report will be addressed by the criticality assessment of our ICT assets and the strategy that will be developed in response to its findings. DELWP expects to complete the assessment and the evaluation of the results by the end of December 2017. Its ICT Committee will oversee the implementation of the resulting strategy during 2018. **Updated** action A project to review the department's information assets (Information Review Project) has identified and assessed the availability requirements for all its critical assets and recorded those requirements in its Information Asset Register (IAR). It has also assessed and recorded the business impact should an asset not be available using the BIL tool developed under the Victorian Protective Data Security Framework (VPDSF). The project is recording recovery time objectives and recovery point objectives for the department's critical business systems in its IAR. This project is scheduled for completion in June 2020 as part of the department's Protective Data Security Plan (PDSP). The PDSP is a requirement under the VPDSF, which includes the implementation of standards-based controls to ensure system availability. The VAGO findings have been combined with complimentary recommendations from a 2019 internal audit of the department's IT disaster recovery planning. The department has developed a structured program of work under its Cyber Security Program to further strengthen DR. This will ensure DR becomes part of the department's overall ICT risk management strategy.

Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #8	Determine a recovery	strategy for systems that supp	port critical business functions.	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	This framework measure available. We believe that are being managed. The DELWP would welcome concluded our own assissues identified in the strategy that will be defined and the evaluation of the available.	res the critical nature of its as hat this approach will clarify the initial results of the assessmenthe opportunity to further resessment. DELWP accepts the report will be addressed by the eveloped in response to its fin	including systems) under its ICT Criticality Framework. Including systems in relation to the risks of them not being the extent of DELWP's critical ICT assets and how they ment points to approximately 60 critical assets. It is respond to the audit's findings once we have use recommendations that relate to it, and notes the criticality assessment of our ICT assets and the indings. DELWP expects to complete the assessment ember 2017. Its ICT Committee will oversee the 1.8.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Improving Victoria's Air Quality	
Recommendation #5			orian Government agencies with respect to air quality buntabilities are understood and coordination is	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will act as the lead agency to implement this recommendation. DELWP will work with EPA and relevant agencies, including the local government sector, to ensure roles, responsibilities and processes for air quality management are clear and documented, and facilitate effective coordination and consultation.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided (633 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #2	All departments reviev		rmance indicators to ensure they reflect a balanced , measures and reporting frequency with the audit	

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		alising its tender for the provi s will be adopted for the inter	sion of internal audit services. Appropriate nal audit provider.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (296 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #3	DELWP, DPC and DTF of position description	learly define the role and res	ponsibilities of the CAE in the nominated officer's
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The role of the Chief A	udit Executive will be defined	in the nominated officer's position description.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-18 (235 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #4			tings, at least quarterly, between the CAE and the tegic objectives and emerging risks
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		ding a regular opportunity for d emerging risks. Meetings ha	the CAE to meet with the Secretary to discuss ave been scheduled.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (53 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #5	Standards for the Profe adoption of the IIA Sta	essional Practice of Internal A	sessment of compliance with the International uditing (the IIA Standards), consistent with the charters, and report the results and action plans to uture assessments annually
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	DELWP is currently fin	alising its tender for the provi	sion of internal audit services. An assessment of
riamieu action at tabinig	DELWP is currently finalising its tender for the provision of internal audit services. An assessment of compliance with the IIA Standards will be completed upon the appointment of the outsourced provider.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (783 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #6	the adoption of the IIA		ity assurance review of internal audit, consistent with udit charters, report the results to the audit every five years
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		vill include a requirement for	ision of internal audit services. As part of the contract an external quality assurance review of internal audit
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20
A THE			
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Audit year Recommendation #8	DELWP, DET, DHHS an	d DTF improve assurance map quacy of risk coverage to pro	Internal Audit Performance oping to include all sources of assurance and an vide the audit committee with a comprehensive view
	DELWP, DET, DHHS an assessment of the ade	d DTF improve assurance map quacy of risk coverage to pro	oping to include all sources of assurance and an
Recommendation #8  Accept recommendation	DELWP, DET, DHHS an assessment of the ade of the level of assurant	d DTF improve assurance map quacy of risk coverage to pro- ce If no, explain	oping to include all sources of assurance and an
Recommendation #8  Accept recommendation	DELWP, DET, DHHS an assessment of the ade of the level of assurant Yes  DELWP will include ass	d DTF improve assurance map quacy of risk coverage to pro- ce If no, explain	oping to include all sources of assurance and an vide the audit committee with a comprehensive view
Recommendation #8  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling	DELWP, DET, DHHS an assessment of the ade of the level of assurant Yes  DELWP will include ass 2018 - 2019.	d DTF improve assurance map quacy of risk coverage to pro- ce If no, explain	oping to include all sources of assurance and an vide the audit committee with a comprehensive view
Recommendation #8  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  Updated action  Recommendation status	DELWP, DET, DHHS an assessment of the ade of the level of assurant Yes  DELWP will include ass 2018 - 2019.  Not provided  Complete	d DTF improve assurance map quacy of risk coverage to pro- ce  If no, explain  surance mapping as part of th  Date implemented/ due for implementation	oping to include all sources of assurance and an vide the audit committee with a comprehensive view e update of the department's internal audit plan for Jun-18 (296 days to implement)
Recommendation #8  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  Updated action	DELWP, DET, DHHS an assessment of the ade of the level of assurant Yes  DELWP will include ass 2018 - 2019.  Not provided	d DTF improve assurance map quacy of risk coverage to pro- ce  If no, explain  Surance mapping as part of the	oping to include all sources of assurance and an vide the audit committee with a comprehensive view e update of the department's internal audit plan for
Recommendation #8  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  Updated action  Recommendation status	DELWP, DET, DHHS an assessment of the ade of the level of assurant Yes  DELWP will include ass 2018 - 2019.  Not provided  Complete  2017—18  DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS committee detailing in	d DTF improve assurance map quacy of risk coverage to proce  If no, explain  Surance mapping as part of the due for implementation  Audit name  5, DPC and DTF provide an analysis	pping to include all sources of assurance and an vide the audit committee with a comprehensive view e update of the department's internal audit plan for  Jun-18 (296 days to implement)  Internal Audit Performance hual report on internal audit performance to the audit ments, and opportunities for improvement and

Planned action at tabling	DELW/P currently provi	des an annual report to its Ris	ck and Audit Committee. This will be reviewed to		
Figure action at tabiling	DELWP currently provides an annual report to its Risk and Audit Committee. This will be reviewed to ensure it aligns with the recommendations from the audit.				
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (296 days to implement)		
Audituson		Audit name	Internal Audit Performance		
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Internal Addit Performance		
Recommendation #10	committee that provid		n annual report on internal controls to the audit he internal control environment, to satisfy Standing hal themes and trends		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will provide an	annual report on internal cor	ntrols to the Risk and Audit Committee.		
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (296 days to implement)		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development		
Recommendation #4		Identify their economic development guidance and training needs, and then provide or facilitate access to appropriate resources			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling		DELWP will provide support to Regional Development Victoria to identify councils' needs in regard to further economic development guidance and training, and to identify additional resources required.			
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-19 (389 days to implement)		
Audit year	2017 10	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development		
Audit year	2017–18	Addit Halle	Local Government and Economic Development		
Recommendation #5		overnment Victoria's ongoing	g and reporting on economic development-including work with the Economic Development Australia		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	determine the feasibili	ty and value of including ecor	porting Framework indicators will be undertaken to nomic development indicators. This review will be consideration by 30 November 2018.		

Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-19 (389 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land
Recommendation #3		~	ment policies in light of the introduction of Land Use he agencies understand public value in relation to
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	extent and scope nece outcomes of the review areas of refinement wi	ssary to align with the Victori w will inform updates where a	tegic Crown Land Assessment Policy to determine the an Government Land Use Policy and Guidelines. The appropriate. DELWP will advise DTF on potential nt Landholdings Policy and Guidelines and the ork.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land
Recommendation #4		·	es to the Victorian Government Landholding Policy encies to accurately and consistently categorise their
Accept recommendation	Not provided	If no, explain	Not provided
Planned action at tabling	Landholding Policy and broader understanding	d Guidelines. DELWP has com	ategories under the Victorian Government menced work with landholding agencies to develop a decision-making processes, guided by the Victorian to recommendation 7)
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Not provided	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land
Recommendation #5			sing and other interim land use opportunities for
Accept recommendation	Not provided	If no, explain	Not provided

Planned action at tabling  Updated action	efficient and effective utilised, available for s	use of the asset where it is be	oportunities, including leasing, to identify more eing held for a future service delivery need, is under-WP will engage with DTF throughout this process, and
Recommendation status	Not provided	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land
Recommendation #6	_	f refusal process in light of La ent land, including revising ex	and Use Victoria's new policy to achieve best value iisting time frames
Accept recommendation	Not provided	If no, explain	Not provided
Planned action at tabling	guidance for the first ri	ight of refusal (FROR) notifica	d Councils to review timeframes and associated tion and negotiations process. DELWP has already e efficient and increase participation across
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Not provided	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land
Recommendation #7	Develop and incorpora	te land use datasets into the	Government Land Information Service (Govmap)
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will work together with landholding agencies to review the approach to evaluating and determining land use agencies' portfolios to develop a framework to help agencies most efficiently use their land portfolio and maximise whole-of government public value. DELWP will seek to make this data more accessible across government		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (633 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land
Recommendation #8	Develop guidance on h low-value sites	ow to gain the best value fro	m surplus Crown land, including contaminated and

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will review its surplus Crown landholdings to better understand the barriers and limitations for alternative use or disposal. This work will inform guidance to unlock public value from these assets.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (633 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #9	Work with the Environ and remediate these w		assess the risks posed by contaminated surplus sites,	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will deliver a staged response. Stage1 - DELWP will review and revise existing frameworks to manage DELWP's contaminated land portfolio to improve and prioritise remediation outcomes based on risk to human health and environment. This work will inform a risk-based strategy and long-term framework to manage DELWP's contaminated land portfolio and increase its public value. Stage 2 - DELWP will assess the highest risk contaminated sites in DELWP's portfolio to better understand and address public health and safety, and environmental concerns. Assessment of high risk sites will be ongoing, subject to available funding.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #10	Address the reasons fo	or the increasing time taken for	or Strategic Crown Land Assessments	
	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will review the efficiency of the Strategic Crown Land Assessment (SCLA) process and identify drivers and barriers. The outcomes of this review will inform agreed guidance to mitigate issues that have been identified and address the increasing time taken for SCLAs. DELWP will implement the guidance to complete SCLAs within a 90-day timeframe.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19	
Audit voor		Audit nama	Managing Surplus Covernment Land	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	

Recommendation #11	Develop a strategy for the sale of low-value Crown land sites, to simplify the current process and ensure that these sales are beneficial			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling			iated costs for land it has authority to sell, to identify ill inform a strategy to guide management and	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (633 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #12		rrent holding costs associated	efits of the sale of surplus land, including an accurate I with retaining surplus land and an assessment of the	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling		Given the majority of DELWP's land is Crown land, DELWP's response to recommendation 11 will inform a methodology to assess cost and benefits of the sale of surplus land which it has authority to sell.		
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (633 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #13	Ensure that sites are o Right of Refusal proces	~	gencies through consistent application of the First	
Accept recommendation		If no, explain		
	Yes			
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will continue to administer and participate in the First Right of Refusal process to ensure consistent application.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (633 days to implement)	
A		A. dia	Destruction of the state of the	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets	
Recommendation #1			ersight of coastal asset management across the state ory of state, regional and locally significant coastal	

assets on Crown land and their condition using consistent ratings; · supporting and overseeing committees of management to align their asset management practices with key elements of Victoria's Asset Management Accountability Framework and their risk management practices with AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 Risk management- Principles and guidelines; · addressing gaps in coastal hazard data and knowledge of risks to coastal assets across the state, and communicating this information and any tools developed to coastal managers to help them guide local risk-based asset management Accept recommendation If no, explain Planned action at tabling DELWP is currently creating a database to collate coastal asset data. DELWP will strengthen its oversight, of coastal asset management and support of coastal land managers to ensure asset management practices align with Victoria's Asset Management Accountability Framework. DELWP has commenced the Victorian Coastal Monitoring Program to improve its knowledge of risks to coastal assets. This information will be shared with coastal land managers. **Updated action** Not provided Recommendation status In progress Date implemented/ Jun-20 due for implementation **Audit year Audit name** Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets 2017-18 Recommendation #2 Strengthen oversight of Victoria's coastal managers, by extending and adequately resourcing its oversight role to cover the management of all public coastal areas and: · clarifying the coastal asset management roles and responsibilities of the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, and committees of management under the Crown Land (Reserves) Act 1978, the functions and the performance measures they will be held accountable for, and holding them accountable; · providing guidance to support coastal managers' decisions about where and when it is appropriate to use different climate change response options-protect, adapt, relocate or decide not to renew assets-and additional support on coastal hazard and risk assessment to those managers with limited capability and/or resources Accept recommendation If no, explain Planned action at tabling DELWP will clarify coastal asset management roles and responsibilities of DELWP and coastal land managers including accountabilities and performance measures and articulate this in future marine and coastal policy and strategy. The Great Ocean Road Taskforce has been convened to review the effectiveness of the governance and management arrangements along the Great Ocean Road and make recommendations on governance reforms. DELWP will implement Victoria's Climate Change Adaption Plan 2017-20 and review how land use policies and provisions can be improved to better deal with natural hazards. **Updated action** Not provided Date implemented/ Recommendation status Dec-20 In progress due for implementation **Audit year Audit name Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets** 2017-18

Recommendation #3

Develop a sustainable funding model to guide the effective resourcing of coastal managers, including: developing a coast-wide understanding of the cost and skills required to manage and maintain significant coastal assets to the levels of service needed to support their function; · appointing the most appropriate

skilled and resourced coastal manager under the Crown Land (Reserves) Act 1978 based on this understanding; · implementing the coastal accounting framework once developed and requiring coastal committees of management to adhere to it If no, explain Accept recommendation Yes Planned action at tabling DELWP will develop a better understanding of the costs associated with the management and planning of coastal assets and land through the Financing the Coast Project currently underway and a review of fees and charges. DELWP is piloting a project to simplify the management of coastal Crown land and improve the links between capacity, resources and the responsibilities of coastal Crown land managers. Informed by this work DELWP, will explore sustainable funding models to guide the effective resourcing of coastal managers. **Updated action** Not provided Date implemented/ Recommendation status In progress Jun-22 due for implementation **Audit year Audit name Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets** 2017-18 Recommendation #4 Address the gaps in their asset management practices against Victoria's Asset Management Accountability Framework requirements and guidance and strategically target their asset funding, including, where relevant: · identifying all the assets they are responsible for; · using information on asset risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards to help target their asset management priorities and funding decisions, in conjunction with other defined prioritisation criteria If no, explain Accept recommendation Yes Planned action at tabling DELWP is currently creating a database to collate coastal asset data. DELWP will work with coastal land managers to improve asset management practices and will develop and implement new guidance materials for coastal land managers to assess and manage risk to coastal assets. Guidance material currently being prepared include the adoption and implementation of a coastal infrastructure decision making framework and the Coastal Protection Structures Visual Condition Assessment Manual. DELWP has commenced the Victorian Coastal Monitoring Program to improve its knowledge of risks to coastal assets. The information gathered from these programs will be used to inform asset management priorities and strategically target asset funding. 5. Assess the risks that coastal inundation and erosion hazards DELWP accepts **Updated action** Not provided

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets		
Recommendation #5	Assess the risks that coastal inundation and erosion hazards pose to coastal assets, using robust risk				
	assessment practices that consistently apply AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009, including: · documenting the				
	considerations, assessi	ments, analysis and decisions	that their assessments involve: · using available		

information to regularly review risks and monitor changes in risk ratings over time; · introducing triggers

and monitoring information into their asset management and/or climate change activities as

Date implemented/

due for implementation

Recommendation status

Complete

Jun-19 (429 days to implement)

	appropriate, to identify when to implement adaptation measures or revise their risk treatment approaches		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DELWP will build the capacity and expertise in DELWP and partner agencies to provide ongoing advice to coastal land managers on coastal erosion and flooding hazards. Filling a recognised knowledge gap in Victoria regarding risks from erosion hazards along the coast. This will include strengthening the state wide process to consistently apply AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 and better document the considerations, assessments, analysis and decisions as part of risk assessment processes in the management of coastal assets and in the implementation of adaptation measures. DELWP will strengthen its guidance and support to Coastal land managers to assess climate change risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards across their coastal asset portfolios and share findings from the Victorian Coastal Monitoring Program.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided

## Department of Health and Human Services

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Bullying and Harassment in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #13	That WorkSafe, the Victorian Public Sector Commission and the Department of Health and Human Services share existing and new data and knowledge to better identify the risk of inappropriate behaviour including bullying and harassment and provide support to health sector agencies with poor safety cultures.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	such as bullying and ha with VPSC to better uti will continue to work v to bullying and harassr additional modules tha diversity and inclusion services. As part of the ensure they have the t	arassment to better identify ilise the resources and value with WorkSafe and VPSC to ment risk across Victoria's hat VPSC have developed that and sexual harassment and department's strategy train ools to intervene early in approximate the series of the s	th WorkSafe to share knowledge and data on OHS risks trends and gaps. The department has also engaged to of the People Matter Survey results. The department share data regarding the prevalence and trends related ealth system and in particular capitalise on the trivial provide further information on wellbeing, I routine indicators of the culture within health ning for health service managers will be developed to oppopriate behaviour, build a culture of trust and better to raise issues and follow investigations processes.	
Updated action	* A Customer and Stakeholder Committee Subcommittee of DELWP's Senior Executive Team was established in September 2018 to drive improvements in our stakeholder engagement.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (800 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Bullying and Harassment in the Health Sector	

Recommendation #14	That WorkSafe, the Victorian Public Sector Commission and the Department of Health and Human Services develop, in collaboration with health sector agencies and relevant parties such as specialist colleges, an effective sector-wide policy framework, principles and approaches to building positive workplace culture and respectful relationships.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will collaborate with health services, WorkSafe, VPSC, professional colleges, unions and associations to develop a sector wide approach, including a common 'compact' for appropriate behaviour, principles and an organisational framework to guide the consistent prevention and response to bullying and harassment. This will form a key part of the department's work to drive a culture of safety and respect within health services. As part of building positive culture, the department will work with health services to implement improved reporting mechanisms that encourage staff and managers to report inappropriate behaviour, including bullying and harassment and take appropriate action, including the use of an independent complaints process.			
Updated action	* Development of an o engagement guidance		e of information for staff, is underway and will include	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-19 (1104 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Bullying and Harassment in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #15	That WorkSafe, the Victorian Public Sector Commission and the Department of Health and Human Services, support the boards of health sector agencies to understand their responsibilities and requirements for managing inappropriate behaviour including bullying and harassment under the Occupational Health and Safety Act 2004, so that public health sector staff receive the highest practicable level of protection.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will work with WorkSafe and the VPSC to ensure all health service boards receive education regarding their obligations in relation to occupational health and safety risk and managing inappropriate behaviour and bullying and harassment. The department will work with WorkSafe and VPSC to support health service boards to better understand the reported data to identify prevalence and indicators of poor culture and risk of bullying and harassment. As part of the department's strategy, it will continue to hold health service boards to account for applying a risk management approach to reduce the risk of bullying and harassment and take strong action where these expectations are not met. In 2016, the department will require health service management to demonstrate the implementation and evaluation of strategies that promote workplace culture, prevent bullying and harassment and apply mediation strategies where poor workplace culture has been exhibited.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (435 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Bullying and Harassment in the Health Sector	

Recommendation #16	That WorkSafe, the Victorian Public Sector Commission and the Department of Health and Human Services develop and promote a set of indicators that can collectively be used by the boards of health sector agencies to benchmark positive culture and monitor and reduce the risk of inappropriate behaviour, including bullying and harassment.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will work with WorkSafe and the VPSC to develop system wide indicators and an approach for collection and dissemination of information at an organisational and system level.			
Updated action	DHHS has implemented mandatory People Matter Survey modules including core, wellbeing, sexual harassment and diversity and inclusion from 2016. This data is collected by VPSC and shared with the department who benchmark as well as compare to identify health services that require further work within the performance monitoring framework. Indicators being collected by health services through the People Matter Survey and Worksafe claims are being benchmarked and reported back to health services. CEO and Board forum was held in August 2018 to further focus on strategies to address bullying and harassment and included indicators. Draft indicators have been developed in consultation with the Ministerial advisory group and initial consultation has occurred with the sector CEOs. Further consultation will take place early 2019 with the aim to roll out indicators to commence 1 July 2019. The indicators align with those recommended by Worksafe under their Leading the Way report.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-19 (1104 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Managing and Reporting on the Performance and Cost of Capital Projects	
Recommendation #2	That agencies implement a documented and consistent approach to verify that they have adequately addressed the requirements of the Department of Treasury and Finance's Investment Lifecycle and High Value/High Risk Guidelines for government-funded capital projects.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Department will review and improve internal processes as they relate to quality control over the content of documents developed for projects, ensuring the intent of the guidelines.			
Updated action	DHHS has changed processes for High Value High Risk projects to align the project documentation and business case development with the DTF Gateway Process. Each High Value High Risk project is assessed and where it is deemed appropriate the project is put though the DTF Gateway process.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (1123 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Patient Safety in Victorian Public Hospitals	
Recommendation #1	That the Department of Health and Human Services, as a matter of priority, reviews, updates and complies with its 2011 Adverse Events Framework, including incorporating a robust data intelligence strategy.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Safer Care Victoria is now leading the development of a broader framework to ensure that a contemporary and best-practice approach to the management of incidents and adverse events. This includes the development of a toolkit for Health Services		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Patient Safety in Victorian Public Hospitals
Recommendation #2	That the Department of statewide clinical incid		es, as a matter of priority: implements an effective
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	this date, interim option the department's system decision to cease pilot reporting arrangement	ons will maintain Victorian A em management role. In Ma upgrade of VHIMS2. Advice	eration incident reporting system by 1 June 2018. Until Agency for Health Information, Safer Care Victoria and ay 2017, health service CEOs were advised of the was provided to CEOs in June 2017 of interim September 2017, whilst the long-term solution was effect on 1 June 2018.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (892 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Patient Safety in Victorian Public Hospitals
	That the Department of Health and Human Services, as a matter of priority: aggregates, integrates and systematically analyses the clinical incident data it receives from different sources.		
Recommendation #3			es, as a matter of priority: aggregates, integrates and
Recommendation #3  Accept recommendation	systematically analyses		es, as a matter of priority: aggregates, integrates and
	Yes  The Department will decollection, analysis and portfolio of patient saf	If no, explain evelop an upgraded data in feedback processes. This weety and quality assurance in	es, as a matter of priority: aggregates, integrates and
Accept recommendation	Yes  The Department will decollection, analysis and portfolio of patient saf Performance Monitori	If no, explain evelop an upgraded data in feedback processes. This weety and quality assurance in	es, as a matter of priority: aggregates, integrates and treceives from different sources.  telligence strategy that will detail sources of data work will also include: · developing a comprehensive adicators to be developed and included in the
Accept recommendation Planned action at tabling	Yes  The Department will decollection, analysis and portfolio of patient safe Performance Monitoric quarterly through PRIS	If no, explain evelop an upgraded data in feedback processes. This weety and quality assurance in	es, as a matter of priority: aggregates, integrates and treceives from different sources.  telligence strategy that will detail sources of data work will also include: · developing a comprehensive adicators to be developed and included in the
Accept recommendation Planned action at tabling Updated action	Yes  The Department will discollection, analysis and portfolio of patient saf Performance Monitori quarterly through PRIS	If no, explain evelop an upgraded data in feedback processes. This very and quality assurance in framework. • Expanding M.	es, as a matter of priority: aggregates, integrates and treceives from different sources.  telligence strategy that will detail sources of data work will also include: · developing a comprehensive ndicators to be developed and included in the data analytic capability for quality and safety reporting

Recommendation #4			es, as a matter of priority: implements a process for lan absence of sentinel events.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			el events over the past 5 years and will implement a st practice reporting approach for sentinel events.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-17 (527 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Patient Safety in Victorian Public Hospitals
Recommendation #5		of Health and Human Servic ntinel events to health servi	es, as a matter of priority, promptly disseminates ices.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Develop a web presen services.	ce to report sector-wide les	ssons learnt from reviews of sentinel events in health
Updated action	Three key improvements have been implemented: (i) The Sentinel Event program has been improved to strengthen the quality of the recommendations, to be shared, arising from RCAs (ii) The Safer Care Victoria website has been developed to allow timely 'alerts' to be shared with health services in real time. (iii) The annual Sentinel Event Report for sharing lessons learned has been published and is now upto-date, see https://bettersafercare.vic.gov.au/reports-and-publications/scv-annual-report-2017-18		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (618 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Patient Safety in Victorian Public Hospitals
Recommendation #6	· ·		es, as a matter of priority: includes meaningful
	indicators in its perfor		uch as morbidity and mortality rates.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		meaningful suite of indicate ittee to measure patient	ors (see 3, above), with expert input from the Patient
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-17 (465 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Patient Safety in Victorian Public Hospitals

Recommendation #7			es, as a matter of priority, shares patient safety data e in improving patient safety.	
Accept recommendation	Yes If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	Develop MOUs and/or protocols with the following agencies regarding the sharing of patient safety data:  - Victorian Managed Insurance Agency* - Worksafe - Office of the Health Service Commissoner - Office of the Mental Health Complaints Commissioner - Office of the Chief Psychiatrist - Other relevant research bodies as appropriate, for example the Institute for Safety, Compensation and Recovery Research * MOU with VMIA to enable data linkage regarding sentinel events is currently in development, anticipated to be signed April 2016. Full roll out of new clinical incident reporting system will enable sharing of data with agencies as per agreements.			
Updated action	Agreements have been established with a number of key government & statutory bodies to enable information sharing (e.g. AHPRA) and the Victorian Managed Insurance Agency (VMIA). Work has commenced to develop such an agreement with the Health Complaints Commissioner (HCC). VAHI, SCV and DHHS regularly meet with a range of government agencies to discuss patient safety issues both individually and collectively. These meetings are both formal and informal, the latter sometimes triggered by a patient safety concern requiring multi - agency engagement/ escalation. SCV provides State representation to the Australian Commission Safety and Quality's Inter Jurisdictional Committee (IJC) and is the conduit for elevating and responding to system patient safety issues. VAHI provides the State representation to the Strategic National Health Information Committee. Internally, DHHS has established a board subcommittee to review, monitor and respond to system safety and quality issues (QSES).			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (618 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Patient Safety in Victorian Public Hospitals	
Recommendation #12	services, improves trai		es, as a matter of priority, in collaboration with health ons, including comprehensive root cause analysis, inflower severity incidents.	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action			more ambitious range of incident analysis options with ese options include London Protocol and Accimap.	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (1165 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Patient Safety in Victorian Public Hospitals	
Recommendation #13	That the Department of Health and Human Services, as a matter of priority, reviews its 2011 Victorian health incident management policy and associated guide, including developing guidance on evaluating the effectiveness of recommended actions from investigations.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	The Department will develop guidelines for health services regarding the evaluation of actions taken in response to investigations.			
Updated action	The SCV Academy, a multidisciplinary group of experts in incident review, human factors and systems safety has been established. See https://bettersafercare.vic.gov.au/news-and-media/meet-the-scv-academy SCV has recently launched the Panel of External Expert Reviewers (PEER), see https://bettersafercare.vic.gov.au/news-and-media/join-peer-to-help-health-services-learn-from-incidents, as a new resource for health services seeking independent, expert reviewers SCV has also revitalised the Sentinel Event Program, see https://bettersafercare.vic.gov.au/our-work/incident-response/sentinel-events National and international bench marking of incident management policies and frameworks is complete. A new overarching incident management policy has been drafted. Recruitment for a full-time senior project officer to complete this work has commenced.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (1287 days to implement)	
a 19		A 19		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Board Performance	
Recommendation #3	obligations to: · compl		rance from boards that they are fulfilling, their views of the whole board and individual directors opened meeting	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will send letters to all boards requesting assurance that the board is regularly assessing itself, including its skills and/or skills gaps, as well as ensuring that all and possible conflicts of interests are declared at the start of every meeting and the board is adhering to the department's annual Declaration of Private Interest's process.			
Updated action	* In Feb 18, DELWP's Senior Executive approved a pilot to test a Relationship Management System (RMS). The pilot will be completed in Dec 18, with the preferred system operational by June 19.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (21 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Board Performance	
Recommendation #4	That DHHS in consultation with boards and ministers, develop guidance for the chair, minister, CEO and portfolio department that outlines how often they should meet, the types of risks and activities that would trigger a meeting, and the level of reporting and documentation required			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will communicate with boards and various teams in the Commissioning, Performance and Regulation branch, seeking their comments and feedback with respect to the items raised in the recommendation. The department will then draft a guiding policy/policies to assist boards on these items.			
Updated action	* A desktop analysis a between Feb – Nov 18		vernment departments on RMSs was completed	

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (21 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #1		That DHHS develop and implement a framework to evaluate its new health sector committees to ensure that they better engage with and support health services		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #2	That DHHS require a risk assessment for health ICT projects it fully funds or co-funds that are worth less than \$1 million, to determine whether such projects will be subject to the health ICT project assurance framework			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department has commenced a risk assessment of ICT projects less than \$1 million to determine if any of these projects require assurance, and if so, the health ICT project assurance framework will apply.  Action 3 will apply for projects deemed low risk and below \$1 million.			
Updated action	Already detailed above - risk assessment process established and in use.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-17 (161 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #3	That DHHS develop and communicate a guidance document on the use of the health ICT project assurance framework, to improve transparency for health services and make more consistent the application of the assurance framework. In particular, the guidance document should articulate how assurance requirements of the framework can be applied to projects considered low cost or low risk			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	framework for health sused through the Victor	services - communicate to h orian Health Chief Informati assurance framework is im	ent on the use of the health ICT project assurance nealth services how the assurance framework will be ion Officers Forum - seek formal sign-off from health plemented on projects considered to be low-cost or	

Updated action	Already detailed above.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (191 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #4	That DHHS complete its assessment of health ICT application and infrastructure capability and maturity at health services		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	There is no current standard to review clinical ICT maturity in Australia; this issue is being discussed at a national level at the National Health Chief Information Officer Forum with considerations as to whether an Australian Standard should be developed. If an endorsed standard is approved, Victoria will review clinical ICT maturity against this standard. In the interim, the department is developing its own health maturity model to assess ICT application, infrastructure capability and maturity at health services. Department will: · validate its model with health services and external global experts (such as Gartner) · conduct assessments of health ICT application and infrastructure capability and maturity at health services with the validated model		
Updated action	Already detailed above. Maturity model is finalised. Engaging with successful vendor (EY) to validate the maturity model. Four health services are going to pilot the health maturity model, with all health services anticipated to be completed by April 2019.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-19 (677 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #5	That DHHS prepare a c	comprehensive inventory of	key health ICT hardware and software in the sector
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department is currently consolidating an asset register of key health ICT software across health services. The department will develop an asset register of key health ICT business system level hardware (such as Patient Administration System and Electronic Medical Records).		
Updated action	Already detailed above.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (434 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #6	That DHHS prepare an consumers	d communicate to health se	ervices an options paper to increase engagement with
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling			imer engagement section of the department to develop evant digital health consumer engagement.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (738 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #7	That DHHS assess, in co		ices, the maturity level of the approach to consumer
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will as of DHHS Action 6.	ssess the maturity levels of	consumer engagement following the implementation
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (921 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #8	That DHHS ensure that	an appropriate governanc	e committee oversee the completion of action items ork that we have identified as requiring further work
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will de further work from the		will oversee completion of action items requiring
Updated action	The Digitising Health Staction items from the 2		e has been tasked with overseeing the completion of
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-17 (100 days to implement)
Audit year	2046 47	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #9		valuation framework to ass	ess the effectiveness of completed action items under
Accept recommendation	Yes	ICT Strategic Framework  If no, explain	
	The department will er		nisation to undertake an assessment of the e 2014 Framework.

Updated action	The recent departmental realignment of responsibilities with the Digital Health branch transferring to Health and Wellbeing division has impacted the ability to progress the engagement of an external organisation. In the interim, Digital Health continues activity to finalise outstanding action items, socialise them with the health CIOs and utilise them in the branch role as System Manager. A number of the activities/action items are ongoing and will continue as BAU. For example, the standards based ICT capability.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (738 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #10	That DHHS set up an evaluation framework to assess the effectiveness of Digitising Health: How information and communications technology will enable person-centred health and wellbeing within Victoria		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will assess the effectiveness of Digitising health on an annual basis, under the governance of the Digital Health Strategic Advisory Committee.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (738 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #11	That DHHS make assessment and reporting of benefit realisation a funding condition for health ICT projects the department fully funds or co-funds		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department has included benefits realisation as a condition of funding in future funding agreements, requiring health services to acquit and report on benefits realised for health ICT projects.		
Updated action	Already detailed above.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-17 (0 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #12	That DHHS prepare and document clear project eligibility and selection criteria for allocating funding under the Health Projects Fund		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	The department will enhance the current eligibility and selection criteria for allocating funding under the Health Projects Fund.			
Updated action	Already detailed above.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (130 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #13	That DHHS work with t shared effectively	That DHHS work with the sector to ensure that knowledge of innovative approaches in health ICT is shared effectively		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department already has an online collaboration portal used by the Victorian Health Chief Information Officers Forum to share learnings and knowledge of innovative approaches in health ICT. Innovation is a topic on the Forum's forward work plan. The department added 'innovation' as a standing agenda item at every quarterly Digital Health Strategic Advisory Committee meeting to highlight progress on innovative approaches in health ICT.			
Updated action	The department also added 'innovation' to the annual work plan of the Digital Health Strategic Advisory Committee.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-17 (0 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders	
Recommendation #14	That DHHS work with Corrections Victoria to monitor, report on and evaluate the support programs and services for offenders on community correction orders, with a focus on how effectively these programs minimise offenders' risk of reoffending			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Justice and Regulation have established a joint project to: - Enhance the forensic focus in community-based drug and alcohol treatment services; - Improve strategic monitoring of drug and alcohol service provision for offenders on community correction orders; - Enhance workforce capability to work with offenders on community correction orders; and - Explore options to improve alcohol and other drug treatment. The Department of Health and Human Services Is currently redeveloping the alcohol and other drug data collection system which will improve monitoring and reporting on the effectiveness of alcohol and other drug treatment services, including services for offenders on community corrections orders.			
Updated action	The Forensic AOD Service Delivery Model (the Model) is a joint initiative between the Department of Justice and Regulation and the Department of Health and Human Services. The primary aim of the Model is to enhance the delivery of AOD treatment for community-based offenders under the supervision of Community Correctional Services (CCS). Launched in July 2018.			

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (751 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records
Recommendation #8	That DHHS and DET establish agency-wide internal records management compliance programs that monitor and report to the agency head on compliance with each of the Public Record Office Victoria standards-a risk-based phased approach should be used to manage the programs' size, complexity and cost, and the program should be linked to the agency's continuous improvement activities to drive agency-wide improvement in records management		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	1. Develop a Records Management Compliance Program Plan to establish a program that monitors and reports on DHHS compliance against each of the eight PROV standards. The Records Management Compliance Program Plan will be approved by the DHHS Records Management Steering Committee. 2. Implement the Records Management Compliance Program Plan using a risk based phased approach to prioritise activities. Provide quarterly reports to the Records Management Steering Committee and the Secretary on performance improvements, issues and risks.		
Updated action	A suite of documents comprising the Framework and Program were submitted in draft to the Records Management Steering Committee in June 2018. It was agreed at the meeting that there is a need for further formal engagement with various areas to agree to the overall approach and specific methods recommended that recognise and support the resource requirements. This may include training and potential recruitment of additional staff. A Project Manager has been appointed (starting 7 Nov 2018) who will be responsible for developing an implementation plan.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (937 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records
Recommendation #9	That DHHS and DET establish processes to ensure that third-party providers are managing their records in compliance with Public Record Office Victoria's standards		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Community Sector Organisations (CSOs) funded to provide services on behalf of the department under the Service Agreement Management System (SAMS2) are monitored by DHHS staff under a framework which includes their compliance with the Public Record Act 1973. The department will review the relevant sections of the monitoring framework to incorporate the review of evidence that CSOs are compliant with PROV standards to be operational for the reporting period of 2017/18.		
Updated action	A suite of documents comprising the Framework and Program were submitted in draft to the Records Management Steering Committee in June 2018. It was agreed at the meeting that there is a need for further formal engagement with various areas to agree to the overall approach and specific methods recommended that recognise and support the resource requirements. This may include training and potential recruitment of additional staff. A Project Manager has been appointed (starting 7 Nov 2018) who will be responsible for developing an implementation plan.		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (937 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Recommendation #1	That the DHHS, DTF and DPC -through the Interdepartmental Housing Project Steering Committee - agree on a long-term strategic direction for public housing that sets targets for growth, sustainability and meeting demand		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Set parameters for growth in the Asset Strategy. Define appropriate performance commitments in relation to demand in the bilateral performance regime with the Commonwealth under the proposed National Affordable Housing and Homelessness Agreement. Identify measures for achieving and demonstrating the financial sustainability of public housing provision in an agreed budget strategy.		
Updated action	The DHHS Executive Board approved a proposal for the scope of an agreed budget strategy in April 2018. A detailed workplan was endorsed by the DHHS Finance and Budget Committee in May 2018 and is now being progressed. In March 2018, the Treasurer wrote to the Minister for Housing, Disability and Ageing requesting a plan for ensuring that the Director of Housing maintains adequate cash reserves. This request extended the scope of the budget strategy to funded services and the capital program. Bilateral performance targets in relation to the National Housing and Homelessness Agreement are also under negotiation.		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Recommendation #2	That DHHS, DTF and DPC monitor, evaluate and report on the delivery of measures related to public housing in Homes for Victorians, including their impacts on social housing growth, sustainability and demand		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Complete a Strategic Outcomes Framework. Implement a reporting framework for Homes for Victorians measures to support regular reporting to the Interdepartmental Committee. Complete an overarching 2019 assessment of delivery of strategic outcomes as at June 2019 for the consideration of the Interdepartmental committee.		
Updated action	A Strategic Outcomes Framework has been developed to support reporting on Homes for Victorians' measures and the completion of the June 2019 assessment of the delivery of strategic outcomes.		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing

Recommendation #3	That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operational impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Provide analysis on potential impact of 'Homes for Victorians' on the community housing sector for the consideration of the Interdepartmental committee. Assess impacts on the community sector against Dec 2018 baseline and expectations for the consideration of the Interdepartmental committee.		
Updated action	DHHS has developed an industry compact which has been signed by the majority of housing agencies which establishes a set of principles that will guide interaction. DHHS is currently conducting a procurement process as part of the Homes for Victorians' initiatives to grow the community sector. This work will be discussed by the Interdepartmental Committee in February.		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Recommendation #4		PC identify and implement operating model over the lo	strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the ing term
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Identify measures for achieving and demonstrating the financial sustainability of public housing provision in an agreed budget strategy.		
Updated action	The DHHS Executive Board approved a proposal for the scope of an agreed budget strategy in April 2018. A detailed workplan was endorsed by the DHHS Finance and Budget Committee in May 2018 and is now being progressed. In March 2018, the Treasurer wrote to the Minister for Housing, Disability and Ageing requesting a plan for ensuring that the Director of Housing maintains adequate cash reserves which extended the scope of the budget strategy to funded services and the capital program.		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Recommendation #5	That DHHS develop and implement an asset strategy for public housing that: · reflects a comprehensive understanding of service supply and demand over the short, medium and long term · establishes outcome-focused performance standards for asset management that adhere to the benefit-mapping principles contained in Department of Treasury and Finance's Investment Management Standard · establishes a holistic and transparent life cycle approach to asset planning decisions that captures regional input · establishes governance arrangements that clearly communicate roles and responsibilities and provide for sustained oversight of asset management performance · is reviewed and updated so that it remains current.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

	at tabling

Complete the Asset Strategy, noting that the revised asset strategy is well advanced. Develop and implement new governance arrangements. Include explicit processes for regular review and update in the Asset Strategy.

## **Updated action**

The Asset Strategy was completed in March 2018 and commits to annual updates and a full review every five years. A lifecycle approach to asset planning, governance arrangements and roles and responsibilities has been embodied in the implementation during 2017-18 of the whole-of-Government Asset Management Accountability Framework for the Director of Housing which took place over 2017 and 2018.

### Recommendation status

Complete Date implemented/ due for implementation

Jun-19 (710 days to implement)

**Audit year** 

2016-17

Audit name

Managing Victoria's Public Housing

**Recommendation #6** 

That DHHS through its asset strategy, develop and implement plans to: · overcome identified shortcomings with property condition assessments to support evidence-based asset planning decisions  $\cdot$ optimally balance expenditure on all maintenance types.

Accept recommendation

Yes

If no, explain

Planned action at tabling Complete the Asset Strategy, noting that this work is well advanced. Identify measures for achieving and demonstrating the financial sustainability of public housing provision in an agreed budget strategy.

**Updated action** 

New contracts for property condition audits have been executed and have commenced. Condition data will be updated in corporate systems to enable more informed decisions to be made based on updated and accurate asset data.

**Recommendation status** 

Almost complete

Date implemented/ due for implementation Jun-20

**Audit year** 

2016-17

**Audit name** 

Public Participation in Government Decision-Making

**Recommendation #3** 

That DHHS develop a comprehensive public participation policy or framework that establishes: · an operating model for public participation in each agency, including the required resources, governance and oversight arrangements · the mandate, priorities, responsibilities and mechanisms for collaboration for public participation

Accept recommendation Yes

If no, explain

Planned action at tabling The department acknowledges the need to take a more systematic approach to public participation and is working to embed participatory approaches in its service and policy development mechanisms, in line with the recommendations of the Targeting Zero report for example. Public participation is an important component of the department's performance management frameworks for health services and funded agencies. Our existing governance arrangements are being strengthened to ensure more consistent approaches to public participation, and appropriate oversight particularly for matters where ethics approval may be required. The department has developed an overarching strategy for coordination of stakeholder engagement and is developing a centralised function to take forward a more systematic approach to this activity. The approach will be progressively embedded into the daily business of staff through the application of practical tools, guidelines and training. Safer Care Victoria has established a new Patient Partnership and Engagement branch and will finalise a draft framework 'Partnering in

healthcare for better care and outcomes' by October 2017. This will identify key measurables by which public engagement effectiveness can be assessed. A Patient and Family Council will support the framework's implementation. **Updated action** A central Stakeholder Engagement team was established in August 2017 to: lead the development of department-wide stakeholder engagement policies and resources; build staff capability to undertake effective engagement; and to support the coordination, planning and implementation of stakeholder and community engagement activities. The department released its Public Participation Framework and Stakeholder Engagement toolkit in Feb 2018, outlining: definitions and principles of engagement; models and methodologies to support engagement; expectations, roles and responsibilities; and available stakeholder engagement training and engagement support. The Toolkit supports well-planned and best practice engagement across the department. It provides guidance and practical tools to develop and implement a successful engagement plan. From April 2018, a dedicated stakeholder engagement training program has been tested and rolled out across the department, with the enrolments opening from Sep 2018. Date implemented/ **Recommendation status** Complete Oct-17 (144 days to implement) due for implementation **Audit year** Audit name Public Participation in Government Decision-Making 2016-17 **Recommendation #4** That DHHS provide their staff with guidance about governance and oversight of public participation activities, information on capability development, access to public participation expertise and monitoring, and evaluation mechanisms Accept recommendation If no, explain Planned action at tabling The department is conscious of the need to extend the reach of existing training and support mechanisms (e.g. communities of practice) and build the capability of staff in public participation approaches. Similarly, the Centre for Evaluation and Research provides whole of department guidance and expertise on monitoring and evaluation and is working to improve staff capability in these areas. An overarching engagement strategy and toolkit for stakeholder engagement are under development to improve staff awareness of the roles and responsibilities for planning, coordinating, managing and implementing approaches to public participation. **Updated action** - Formed in August 2017, the Stakeholder Engagement Team guides and supports DHHS staff to effectively plan, implement, monitor and evaluate stakeholder engagement and public participation activities in line with the department's Public Participation Framework and Stakeholder Engagement Toolkit (launched February 2018). - The Stakeholder Engagement team manages the department's stakeholder and community engagement training program and a suite of engagement planning and training resources, which are available to all staff. - In 2019, the Stakeholder Engagement team will launch an 'engagement champions' network recruiting representatives from across the department to help embed better practice within their work area; and deliver engagement 'masterclasses' to showcase contemporary engagement methods (for example, deliberate engagement approaches) and how they can be practically applied within the department. Recommendation status Complete Date implemented/ Oct-17 (144 days to implement) due for implementation **Audit year Audit name** Public Participation in Government Decision-Making 2016-17

Recommendation #5	That DHHS establish a single source of information to allow for monitoring and reporting of public participation activities across the whole agency		
Accept recommendation	No	If no, explain	DPC has introduced the Engage Victoria website for public stakeholder consultations. DHHS is a frequent user and financial contributor to this innovative initiative. Engage Victoria has proved efficient for significant public and stakeholder consultation programs DHHS has undertaken. It represents a single point of contact for Victorians to connect with government to undertake meaningful and trackable engagement, and provides efficient and timely interaction compared to many other alternatives. The proposed investment in a standalone CRM system for the management of public interaction is likely to be several orders of magnitude more costly than the current arrangement with Engage Victoria and therefore not a priority in relation to other investments necessary to support frontline service delivery.
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	user and financial cont significant public and s point of contact for Vio engagement, and prov proposed investment i be several orders of m	cributor to this innovative in stakeholder consultation pr ctorians to connect with go rides efficient and timely in in a standalone CRM systen agnitude more costly than	or public stakeholder consultations. DHHS is a frequent nitiative. Engage Victoria has proved efficient for ograms DHHS has undertaken. It represents a single vernment to undertake meaningful and trackable teraction compared to many other alternatives. The n for the management of public interaction is likely to the current arrangement with Engage Victoria and ments necessary to support frontline service delivery.
Recommendation status	Not provided	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation in Government Decision-Making
Recommendation #6	That DHHS evaluate ar	nd review the effectiveness	of their public participation training program
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Existing training programs will be evaluated and reviewed, with an evaluation requirement incorporated into the operating model for stakeholder engagement.		
Updated action	A review of available stakeholder engagement training was undertaken in late 2017. This included the department's internal training options and those that are externally available. Following this review, the department has now developed and rolled out a tailored stakeholder and engagement training module which is available for all staff to enrol in. The purpose of the training is to: · discuss concepts of stakeholder and community engagement and what it means for our employees' work and decision-making · build the capacity of staff to design, plan and evaluate engagement projects and understand all of the steps involved · explore different engagement methods showcasing variations in scale, complexity		

		nt · develop an understand	ing of the department's stakeholder engagement
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (144 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation in Government Decision-Making
Recommendation #7	That DHHS clarify and	be consistent in all messagi	ng regarding the purpose of public participation
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	To be addressed in gui	dance material being devel	oped as part of the stakeholder engagement strategy.
Updated action	participation, including engagement principles expectations of staff e the purpose, objective Public Participation Fra Engagement team's op	g: - endorsing a shared set of a shared set of a providing detailed informing aging in the Public Particity, and understanding contented amework and Stakeholder Experating model, the team were a shared serating model, the team were a shared series and shared series are a shared series and shared series and shared series are a shared series are a shared series and series are a shared series are a shared series and series are a shared series are a shared series and series are a shared series are a shared series are a shared series and series are a shared series are a shared series are a shared series and series are a shared series are	crease staff understanding of the purpose of public of definitions for engagement terminology and a set of mation and context on the need for engagement and ipation Framework providing guidance on clarifying ext to choose appropriate engagement methods in the Engagement Toolkit as part of the Stakeholder orks collaboratively with the department's Portfolioure that communications and documentation contain
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (144 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation in Government Decision-Making
Recommendation #8	That DHHS provide fee	edback to stakeholders abou	ut how their input has been used
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	To be addressed throu	gh the implementation of t	he department's stakeholder engagement strategy.
Updated action	- The Stakeholder Engagement Team continues to reinforce the importance of providing feedback to stakeholders about how their input has been used across the department through ensuring all engagement projects can effectively answer: 'We asked' You said' We did' You said' On the importance, benefits and potential methods for providing feedback to stakeholder about how their input has been used The department has endorsed the engagement principle, 'We Communicate: we provide regular updates and value feedback' that includes providing 'timely feedback on how input has been used in policy or service design' The department's stakeholder engagement training program also includes providing feedback as an essential element of a best practice engagement process.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (144 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation in Government Decision-Making

Recommendation #9			me constraints, plan realistic public participation
	activities to suit the tin	ne avallable	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department employs a range of public participation tools and processes. The engagement toolkit and other guidance materials being developed as part of our stakeholder engagement strategy will give staff clarity about the appropriate process to use in particular circumstances, especially where there are time constraints for the completion of policy or service design processes.		
Updated action	- The Public Participation Framework and Stakeholder Engagement Toolkit provides guidance for planning appropriate stakeholder engagement processes, including scaling and choosing engagement methods to suit the time available The department's stakeholder engagement team is available to provide support and guidance to staff, including scaling and sequencing engagement in limited time frames and appropriate engagement methods Engagement planning is also covered in detail in the department's stakeholder engagement training modules.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (144 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Community Health Program
Recommendation #1	The Department of Health and Human Services link its key strategic documents-such as Health 2040: Advancing health, access and care and the Victorian public health and wellbeing plan 2015-19-to the Community Health Program		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will update key policy documents in relation to the Community Health Program to reference key strategic documents, and identify how the objectives of the program align with longer term strategies of the department. Key policy documents include the Community Health Integrated Program Guidelines and other complementary guidelines. In addition, the department will ensure that relevant references to the Community Health Program are identified in the next iteration of the Public Health and Wellbeing Plan, which is due to be updated by September 2019.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (178 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Community Health Program
Recommendation #2	The Department of Health and Human Services ensure it collects purposeful data to monitor that CHP funded services are provided to the identified priority populations		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	identified priority popu program, and to align v	ulations to strengthen overs with key policy priorities. Th	a planned series of targeted data collections for the sight and understanding of clients accessing the his will include: - collection of condition-specific and we understanding of the health needs of priority

	populations - identification of opportunities to use data to advance secondary prevention and service integration for vulnerable cohorts; - collecting and using data to understand service flows between community health, primary care, social support services and hospitals with a view to reducing avoidable hospital activity. In the longer term, the department will identify modifications to embed the collection of purposeful data that informs understanding of the priority populations in the Community Health Minimum Dataset. This will build on work already underway to streamline data collection.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (360 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Community Health Program
Recommendation #3		alth and Human Services re ces to Victoria's priority pop	eview its CHP unit pricing to ensure that it meets the pulations
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	department will estable		nit pricing under the Community Health Program. The skforce to lead a consultation process with the sector. of the current unit price.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-18 (148 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Community Health Program
Recommendation #4	identifying and unders	tanding the different servic	evelop a more sophisticated funding model by se needs, demand and priorities for community health antum and distribution of funding
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	more sophisticated fur	nding model and a more role recommendation 3, this w	ve will also inform the development and testing of a bust demand modelling tool. Combined with the review ill inform advice to Government on funding settings for
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Community Health Program
	,_, _,		

Recommendation #5			conjunction with community health services, regularly
	review and revise the or		ework and clinical priority tools to ensure that they
	· · ·		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	In consultation with the community health sector, the department will update and regularly review the demand management framework for community health services, and clinical priority tools to support optimal practice. The Community Health Taskforce will also have a role in advising on the demand management framework and associated tools.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Community Health Program
Recommendation #6	The Department of Health and Human Services have internal and publicly available quality performance measures that assess program equity and client satisfaction, while working towards outcome measures for the Community Health Program		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will identify and put in place a set of internal and publicly available performance measures for the Community Health Program that assess the quality of services delivered, the profile of clients accessing the program and client satisfaction. This work will draw on consultation with the sector, and will inform the development of a set of outcome measures for the Community Health Program.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Community Health Program
Recommendation #7	The Department of Health and Human Services provide divisional offices with guidance that standardises their monitoring of community health services		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will develop: - guidance for operational divisions to ensure consistent performance monitoring of both registered and integrated community health services. This will include ensuring that performance monitoring mechanisms are aligned with the strategic objectives of the program and are informed by enhanced data collection (see response to recommendation 2); and - identify mechanisms to share best practice examples of performance monitoring and management across operational divisions.		
Updated action	Not provided		

**Recommendation status** Almost complete Date implemented/ Dec-19 due for implementation **Audit year Audit name** Effectively Planning for Population Growth 2017-18 Apply successful planning lessons learned in the Northern Growth Corridor Service Plan in developing **Recommendation #8** other locality health plans If no, explain Accept recommendation Yes Planned action at tabling The Department of Health and Human Services accepts this recommendation, noting that the Northern Growth Corridor Service Plan is one of a number of locality, sub-regional and regional planning processes in progress or draft form under a state-wide, system wide planning framework for Victoria's health system. Key elements of the good practice identified by this Performance Audit Report include: identification of service gaps and impediments to service development; - adoption of integrated land use planning, with the establishment of 'health precincts' that align with maternal and child health services, and schools and kindergarten infrastructure; - consideration of a long-term (20 year) outlook, with a 10 year and 20 year response; - sound stakeholder consultation processes, involving other health providers in the area, local councils, and social service providers; - identification of the scope of health services needed, and when and where they are best located; - identification of opportunities for integration with broader council and state-provided community services. The Department of Health and Human Services will apply these practices to other locality plans in progress or planned, starting immediately with practice embedded by December 2018. The Department of Health and Human Services will actively collaborate with the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP) and other agencies in the Precinct Structure Planning and suburban development process, as well as other DELWP mechanisms for participation in, and alignment with, Plan Melbourne strategic actions, and Metropolitan and Regional Partnership processes, starting immediately with practice embedded by December 2018. **Updated action** Not provided Date implemented/ Recommendation status In progress Jun-20 due for implementation **Audit year Audit name** Effectively Planning for Population Growth 2017-18 **Recommendation #9** In conjunction with local government, improve the completeness and accuracy of MCH and kindergarten participation data. (Note: Rec transferred from DET to DHHS in Jan 2019 due to MoG change) If no, explain Accept recommendation Yes Planned action at tabling 1. The Department will investigate options for collecting regular kindergarten attendance data. 2. The Department will analyse kindergarten participation data from the annual School Entrant Health Questionnaire (SEHQ), as a complementary data set to the existing participation measure, to help better identify areas of lower participation. 3. The Department is investigating the potential for an information technology mechanism for sharing information that would provide access, for key prescribed entities, to information about a child in their care. 4. The Department will continue to work with the Municipal Association of Victoria (MAV) to improve the accuracy of reporting generated by the Child Development Information System (CDIS). 5. The Department will continue to work with the MAV to encourage MCH service providers not yet using the CDIS to migrate to it as soon as possible.

Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth
Recommendation #10	Undertake systematic analyses of reasons for under-participation in MCH including, from the eightmonth visit onwards, and kindergarten services, including the participation of vulnerable children, and use these to evaluate service delivery models. (Note: Rec transferred from DET to DHHS in Jan 2019 due to MoG change)		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	include vulnerable thr Commonwealth family Human Services (DHH: from current initiative Learning, the Early Chiplaces, the CALO parti analysis. The Departm and through the Early of pre-purchased kind 2026, including the nedocument and dissem early start kindergarte Childhood Agreement existing ICT projects in departmental databas analyses, including reaunderstand reasons for evaluate a service moruniversal MCH Services	ee and four year old childred and care data and child prospect (S). 2) The Department will compare the projects of the projects of the projects of the project and childred ent will work with DHHS, low Years Compact to support: ergarten places - the impler and the project of t	the kindergarten data set with other data sets that in that may be missing out on kindergarten, e.g. otection data from the Department of Health and ollate all existing information about under-participation (e.g. Best Start, Early Start Kindergarten, Access to Early of Home Care Agreement, pre-purchased kindergarten in and youth area partnerships) as the basis for further cal governments, service providers, key stakeholders - the expansion of central enrolment - the continuation mentation of Marrung Aboriginal Education Plan 2016-e - work with children and youth area partnerships to assed strategies that have been effective in increasing mentation of the outcomes of the review of the Early e Care. 3) The Department will continue the rollout of gular transfer of MCH data from CDIS into a the Department's capability to undertake detailed in. 4) The Department has completed research to original families in the MCH Service. DET will trial and cess to and participation of Aboriginal families in the see in September 2017 and conclude in September 2018.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #1	Appoint a team of suitably qualified and experienced professionals to form a collaborative disaster recovery working group to: · provide advice and technical support; · share lessons learnt based on disaster recovery tests and exercises; · coordinate disaster recovery requirements for resources shared between agencies; · identify, develop, implement and manage initiatives that may impact multiple agencies; · coordinate funding requests to ensure critical investments and requirements are prioritised		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	The department established a Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity Planning Reference Group in July 2017 to address the matters identified. The department will review the Terms of Reference, membership and performance of the Reference Group in December 2017 to ensure it is addressing the matters identified.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (276 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #2	Perform a gap analysis	on their disaster recovery bility investment that will b	requirements and resource capabilities to determine
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	e required
Planned action at tabling		omplete existing work to pe bility and requirements.	erform a gap analysis of the 20 key business systems'
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-18 (214 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #3		very plans for the systems the disaster recovery test prog	hat support critical business functions and test these ram
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			
- tannea action at tability	Management, and the gap analysis will assess function and determin result, the Disaster Red	branch performs annual fu the disaster recovery capa e which system/s require d covery and Business Contin	ems managed by Business Technology and Information nctional disaster recovery tests on these systems. The bilities and requirements of each critical business isaster recovery plans and associated testing. As a uity Planning Reference Group will oversee that the developed and regular testing is performed.
Updated action	Management, and the gap analysis will assess function and determin result, the Disaster Red	branch performs annual fu the disaster recovery capa e which system/s require d covery and Business Contin	nctional disaster recovery tests on these systems. The bilities and requirements of each critical business isaster recovery plans and associated testing. As a uity Planning Reference Group will oversee that the
	Management, and the gap analysis will assess function and determin result, the Disaster Recordance disaster record	branch performs annual fu the disaster recovery capa e which system/s require d covery and Business Contin	nctional disaster recovery tests on these systems. The bilities and requirements of each critical business isaster recovery plans and associated testing. As a uity Planning Reference Group will oversee that the
Updated action	Management, and the gap analysis will assess function and determin result, the Disaster Record for the provided	branch performs annual fursthe disaster recovery capa e which system/s require dovery and Business Continuery systems and plans are	nctional disaster recovery tests on these systems. The bilities and requirements of each critical business isaster recovery plans and associated testing. As a uity Planning Reference Group will oversee that the developed and regular testing is performed.

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Training and guidance will be provided to specific business units within the branch and system business owners, once the deliverables from the gap analysis have been implemented.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
	2017 18		,	
Recommendation #5	becoming obsolete, the manage when they be development of long-t	Establish system obsolescence management processes to: · identify and manage systems at risk of becoming obsolete, those that will soon have insufficient support or those that will be difficult to manage when they become obsolete; · enable strategic planning, life-cycle optimisation and the development of long-term business cases for system life-cycle support; · provide executive with information to allow risk-based investment decisions to be made.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will continue to implement proactive and strategic management processes to identify and plan for the management of obsolete systems, through the department's Enterprise Architecture. The department's Enterprise Architecture identifies which systems are obsolete or approaching their end of life and informs the risk-based prioritisation approach for system upgrade or replacement. This is incorporated in the department's ICT investment program as part of the annual ICT planning process. Each investment is assessed and prioritised against competing ICT investment needs. The risk-based view of the department's Enterprise Architecture will inform the prioritisation for the annual ICT investment planning for the 2018-19 financial year.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (245 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #6	Set up disaster recovery frameworks to provide guidelines and minimum standards for ICT disaster recovery planning, including: · developing a strategy to establish the minimum levels of readiness and appropriate governance oversight; · establishing the requirements, frequency and format of disaster recovery tests based on systems' criticality; · establishing policies, standards and procedures for a consistent approach.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	develop a disaster reco approach to disaster re perform a gap analysis	overy framework and strate ecovery requirements. As a s to determine the minimun	anning Reference Group has been established to egy, to ensure a well-managed and coordinated result, the department will: · complete existing work to a standards for ICT disaster recovery · develop and support the department's recovery objectives and	

Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #9	Update its Business Continuity Policy to require business units to consult with system owners and the Business Technology and Information Management group as part of the business impact analysis process, to validate the maximum allowable outage and recovery time objectives		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Continuity Policy will be Management branch a with system business of	e updated, as a result of th and Business Technology an	anning Reference Group will oversee that the Business e outcome of the gap analysis. The Emergency d Information Management branch will work closely ousiness requirements and validate the maximum
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #10	Update the business ir functions	npact analysis process to id	entify system dependencies for critical business
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	gap analysis, which wi	ll identify the system depen	sess will be updated as a result of the outcome of the dencies for each critical business function. The Disaster ence Group will manage the implementation of this
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #11			apport critical business functions.
		If no, explain	יקייטי ב בדונוכמו שעטוויפטט דעוויכנוטווט.
Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling		p analysis will determine w	hat is the appropriate recovery strategy of each system ster Recovery and Business Continuity Planning

	Reference Group will ensure each system has an acceptable recovery strategy developed and implemented.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #1	at an appropriate level conversations; · report chief financial officer a	l in the department to parti ts administratively to the Se and has no actual or perceiv e and ensure that internal a	dit executive (CAE) and ensure the CAE: · is positioned cipate in executive forums and engage in strategic cretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the ed conflict, but does have sufficient authority to audit communications and recommendations are
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will review the position of Chief Audit Executive (CAE). In the interim the department will explore opportunities for the CAE to attend relevant executive and strategic forums. As noted in the proposed report, DHHS has recently commenced quarterly meetings between the CAE and the Secretary and the CAE also attends a portion of the meetings held between the Audit and Risk Management Committee chair and the Secretary after each Committee meeting.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-19 (600 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #2	All departments review existing internal audit performance indicators to ensure they reflect a balanced scorecard approach and agree on a set of indicators, measures and reporting frequency with the audit committee		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The Audit and Risk Management Committee will be provided with a report on internal performance against key performance measures for the year 2016-17 at the October 2017 meeting. The Committee will also review these measures at this meeting to ensure they reflect a balanced scorecard approach and confirm the reporting frequency of these measures.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (53 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance

Recommendation #5	DELWP, DHHS DIR DE	PC and DTF complete a self-	assessment of compliance with the International	
	Standards for the Profe	essional Practice of Internal	Auditing (the IIA Standards), consistent with the	
	adoption of the IIA Standards in their internal audit charters, and report the results and action plans to address gaps to the audit committee, and conduct future assessments annually			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DHHS is one of the three co-sourced internal audit functions that conducted an external quality assessment within the past five years (August 2013) and reported the results to the Audit and Risk Management Committee. The next external quality assessment is due to be conducted during the current year 2017-18. The department will undertake an annual self-assessment of compliance with the IIA Standards in the subsequent four years until the next external quality assessment is undertaken. The Internal Audit Charter will be revised to reflect this commitment.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (296 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #8	DELWP, DET, DHHS and DTF improve assurance mapping to include all sources of assurance and an assessment of the adequacy of risk coverage to provide the audit committee with a comprehensive view of the level of assurance			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The approved Internal Audit Plan 2017-18 includes a specific audit project - 'Assurance Map' - that addresses this recommendation. As per the approved scope, this project will map assurance activities against each strategic risk to identify areas for improvement to achieve better alignment of risk, controls and assurance across the department.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-18 (204 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #9	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal audit performance to the audit committee detailing internal audit activity, achievements, and opportunities for improvement and performance against agreed measures, as required by the Standing Directions			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	A special report will be provided to the October 2017 Audit and Risk Management Committee meeting (and annually thereafter) comprising: · key developments or changes impacting upon internal audit during the previous financial year · internal audit activity for the year - root cause analysis of the underlying issues contributing to internal audit findings · internal audit performance against agreed key			

	performance indicators by the in-house team and co-sourced provider $\cdot$ an overall assessment of the Department's internal control environment based on completed audits.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status		Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (53 days to implement)

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #10	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DJR, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal controls to the audit committee that provides an overall assessment of the internal control environment, to satisfy Standing Directions requirements, and identifies organisational themes and trends			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	A special report will be provided to the October 2017 Audit and Risk Management Committee meeting (and annually thereafter) comprising: - key developments or changes impacting upon internal audit during the previous financial year - internal audit activity for the year - root cause analysis of the underlying issues contributing to internal audit findings - internal audit performance against agreed key performance indicators by the in-house team and co-sourced provider - an overall assessment of the Department's internal control environment based on completed audits.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (53 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Maintaining the Mental Health of Child Protection	
	2017 10		Practitioners	
Recommendation #1	The Department of Health and Human Services advise government of: · the current level of risk to the mental health of the CPP workforce due to unreasonable workload and · the resources required to fully address current and future demand, based on accurate time and resource modelling			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department: · will review its current modelling, which assesses the child protection workforce capacity required to meet forecast demand based on case load levels advised by Children, Families, Disability and Operations · will establish a working group including representatives from the Departments of Premier and Cabinet and Treasury and Finance to develop a demand modelling and forecasting approach that quantifies the level and type of resources required to support a reasonable caseload and workload for practitioners, and to meet and reduce demand on the child protection program. This will include advice on earlier intervention supports that may divert demand from statutory child protection over time. Active participation by DTF and DPC will ensure that central agencies contribute to the work and are in a position to inform government of progress and key findings - where appropriate, update the model to reflect resourcing and allocation decisions to meet acceptable risk.			

**Updated action** 

Not provided

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (205 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Maintaining the Mental Health of Child Protection Practitioners	
Recommendation #2	The Department of He demand forecasting	alth and Human Services de	evelop and implement modelling tools to support	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling		Undertake scenario analysi ecasting for agreed risk leve	s within the forecast Child protection workforce model ls.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (205 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Maintaining the Mental Health of Child Protection Practitioners	
Recommendation #3	The Department of Health and Human Services establish a holistic view of child protection practitioners' mental health through the use of consolidated mental health data sources; and use this view to monitor CPP mental health, and identify trends and areas requiring focus or further investigation			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	health data is collected management of menta Wellbeing Charter · En mental health data ob data; and peer support determine and address compliance with time	d (including trends), manage al health in accordance with hance existing health safete tained from eDINMAR; wor t data, with commentary th s trends; hotspots and area sheet, overtime, time in lieu	Information about child protection practitioner mental ed and communicated to assist in better prevention and a the Victorian Government Mental Health and y and wellbeing reporting by including consolidated ker's compensation data; Employee Assistance Program at enables senior management to more easily so for further investigation · Monitor and support u and leave reporting controls and mechanisms to alance, manage fatigue and reduce risks to health,	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (417 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Maintaining the Mental Health of Child Protection Practitioners	

Recommendation #4	The Department of Health and Human Services determine the effectiveness of current mental health support tools for child protection practitioners			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will: · Conduct a survey to determine the effectiveness of the following (including but not limited to) mental health support tools for child protection practitioners: - Employee Assistance Program - Peer support network - Critical Incident Response Management Service			
Updated action	Not provided	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (205 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Maintaining the Mental Health of Child Protection Practitioners	
Recommendation #5		The Department of Health and Human Services establish and consistently provide specialist mental health support services for child protection practitioners		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Through the Child protection workforce strategy 2017-2020 release on 30 January 2018, the department will: · Facilitate and develop a schedule for access to regular (e.g. monthly) onsite Employee Assistance Program services, whereby Employee Assistance Program clinicians are onsite to provide coaching/training/information sessions on topics including (but not limited) to vicarious trauma, fatigue management, stress management and resilience practice (EAP clinicians remain onsite for individual consults as required) · Develop an orientation module for the Employee Assistance Program provider to ensure that its clinicians understand issues specific to those that may be experienced by child protection workers to enable enhanced service support in response to day-to-day pressures and following critical incidents · Develop managers' skills through training in: - psychological first aid - mental health awareness - how to conduct regular wellbeing check-ins - referral for staff for further wellbeing and/or mental health reviews if any issues are identified.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (295 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Maintaining the Mental Health of Child Protection Practitioners	
Recommendation #6	The Department of Health and Human Services ensure that child protection practitioners are sufficiently aware of the available mental health support services and the correct processes for raising mental health concerns			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Through the workforce strategy Child protection workforce strategy 2017-2022 released on 30 January 2018, the department will: Develop a communication plan for the child protection workforce that focuses on psychological support and includes: - key health and safety messaging for leaders, managers			

	and staff - appropriate channels of communication - direction for CCFs on where to access relevant support mechanisms for mental, physical, social and emotional wellbeing. · Effectively disseminate the information about the messaging, services and appropriate channels to child protection practitioners		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (509 days to implement)
Audit year	2047 40	Audit name	Maintaining the Mental Health of Child Protection
Audit year	2017–18	Addit Hame	Practitioners
Recommendation #7		rt environment, in consulta	stablish and implement a plan to improve CPPs' tion with the courts, the Department of Justice and
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will: · work with Department of Justice and Regulation, the Children's Court and Victorian Legal Aid to develop a plan that: - identifies, responds to and monitors child protection practitioners' experiences within the Children's Court, and - seeks to ensure improvements in the experiences of child protection practitioners in the court environment · establish and maintain a governance structure to oversee the implementation of the plan		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard
Recommendation #6	Improve records management practices for ICT projects, giving particular attention to capturing and recording key project documents which show evidence of decisions and approvals		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	in alignment with the I Reporting Standard. Th	Department of Premier and nese guidelines will focus or	uidelines for the storing of key project documentation Cabinet's Information Communication Technology In when key project documents need to be produced in ored, to improve timeliness and reduce inconsistencies
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (254 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency

Recommendation #1	Implement an agreed suite of definitions, measures and targets for theatre efficiency that target the underlying causes of inefficiency			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department in collaboration with the Victorian Surgical Services Committee and key staff from health services will update its current Surgical services measures guide (2012) and republish for health services and the public. The department will develop further measures that will identify health services with best practice to enable the sharing of exemplar models across health services.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency	
Recommendation #2		Issue mandatory data quality standards for operating theatre data to ensure consistency in the data captured by health services		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will seek consensus from the sector on key measures but ultimately will provide definitive guidance based on identified key measures (likely to accord with interjurisdictional measures). The department will consider targets for theatre efficiency based on feedback from health services on the likely flow on effects to other areas of the hospital and likely unintended consequences.			
Updated action	Not provided	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Not started	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency	
Recommendation #3	Develop a centralised dataset for operating theatre data, to inform decision-making at the Department of Health and Human Services			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will explore short term alternatives to efficiently address the recommendations. This may include work with third party providers already collecting some of the necessary Information. The department will review over time to assess whether and when to transfer work to department or Victorian Agency for Health Information. The department will maintain constructive dialogue With VAGO on progress.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Not started	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-20	

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency	
Recommendation #4	Disseminate operating theatre data to health services in a form that enables them to evaluate and benchmark their performance and identify sector best practice			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department accep	ts this recommendation.		
Updated action	This work is part of a larger initiative by DHHS, SCV and VAHI which will assist health services better monitor productivity and financial sustainability. VAHI is assisting in this process by scoping development and dissemination of a "sustainability dashboard" for health services on the VAHI portal - which could include a range of budgetary, low value care and other sustainability metrics. Dissemination of the operating theatre efficiency data is dependent on completion of recommendation 1 by DHHS and SCV, which is now scheduled for completion in June 2020. The dashboard will be available within 6 months of this			
Recommendation status	Not started	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency	
Recommendation #5	Establish a regular sector-led, multidisciplinary forum focused on sharing information and practices that improve the efficiency of operating theatres			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will engage health services and encourage regular, clinician and manager forums focused on sharing information and practices that improve the efficiency of operating theatres. The forums will focus on sharing best practice of operating theatre efficiency and effectiveness including: * Treat in turn * Categorisation * Matching capacity to demand * Emergency Surgery * Operating Theatre utilisation (time) * Operating theatre management * Data analysis capability. * Pre and post-surgical systems and management * Surgical safety and quality.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Not started	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-20	
A codita con an		Audit name	Vistarian Public Hamital Operation Thanks Fiftings.	
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency	
Recommendation #6	Work with the sector to improve productive efficiency at the theatre level, particularly to reduce late starts and underruns			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The department will work with the sector to improve productive efficiency at the theatre level through identifying best performers and sharing key practice and models that contribute to improved productive efficiency.			

Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Not started	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency
Recommendation #7	Develop and implemen	nt emergency surgery acces	s measures
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department is currently working with the sector to improve access to emergency surgery. The key focus in 2017-18 is on access measures that indicate better quality and safety for emergency surgery. This will initially focus on time sensitive emergency surgery conditions such as fractured neck or femur and surgery conducted out of hours (known to increase risks to patients). Subsequently, the department will work with the sector to ensure that better and more efficient models of emergency surgery care (including dedicated emergency surgery resources and consultant led care) are rolled out across health services.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency
Recommendation #8	Analyse and forecast t		ions on category 2 and 3 surgery access indicators
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		ork with health services in a general properties and general health service priorities and general properties are general properties and general properties are general properties and general properties and general properties are general properties and general properties and general properties are general properties are general properties and general properties are	analysing and forecasting category 2 and 3 access nd annual budgets
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-19 (744 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency
Recommendation #9	Review the current process for setting funding caps, to ensure caps are based on a comprehensive assessment of demand, capacity and performance measures		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will review its current process for setting health service budgets and priorities. The review will focus on identifying the key aspects of demand, capacity and performance that are relevant to improving efficiency and effectiveness of our surgical services.		

Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-19 (744 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency
Recommendation #10	Review current operating theatre management guidance provided to health services to incorporate better practice, to drive theatre efficiency		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will review current operating theatre management guidance provided to health services to incorporate better practice to drive theatre efficiency. The department intends to work with health services in developing a guide for health services (highlighting key service models and management practices as well as best practice examples from Victorian and interstate).		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Not started	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20

# Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions

Audit year	2015—16	Audit name	Biosecurity: Livestock
Recommendation #1	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources improves disease surveillance by: · focusing its targeted surveillance activities based on a systematic assessment of disease risks · increasing state-wide participation in surveillance programs by private veterinary practitioners so that surveillance records more accurately reflect the geographic distribution of livestock species and numbers, as well as disease threats · establishing arrangements to gain assurance that the industry-led disease surveillance of poultry is effective · enhancing systems and processes to minimise errors in disease surveillance records and improve their timely completion		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	health risk assessment threats. (31 March 20: risk assessment proce- practitioners so that fa animal disease surveill private veterinarians t support targeted surveil and their veterinary action the Department's action	tool. (30 September 2015) 16) Review current targeted ss. (30 June 2016) Undertaketors that may influence distance programs. (30 June 20 o particularly promote the seillance programs. (31 Decembers to identify factors the inimal disease surveillance programs.	of the newly developed, but still DRAFT, DEDJTR animal Undertake assessment of 20 important animal disease surveillance activity in the light of the results of the e a survey of private veterinary practitioners to identify sease notification and participation in the Department's 16) Implement a communications strategy targeting significant disease investigation program but also mber 2016) Undertake a survey of poultry producers at may influence disease notification and participation rograms. (31 December 2015) Launch an on-line data narians to improve the timeliness and quality of data
Updated action			ata submission process for private and DEDJTR y of data entry." This action has been extended to

	_		ppment testing so that the web-based platform and
	associated systems are	e fit for purpose and meet t	ser expectations prior to full implementation.
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Biosecurity: Livestock
Recommendation #2	· ·	vices work together to dete	Jobs, Transport and Resources and the Department of ermine and apply the most effective method for
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Environmental Health		July 2015) Develop guidance material for IOS to collect food waste data from food outlets and (31 December 2015)
Updated action	Actions implemented	as described above.	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-17 (744 days to implement)
Audit year	2045 46	Audit name	Biosecurity: Livestock
Audit year	2015–16	Addit Halle	biosecurity. Livestock
Recommendation #3	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources finalises plans to implement a new electronic traceability system for sheep and goats		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			ect manager has been appointed. (31 August 2015) termine the cost of tags for the industry in Victoria.(31
Updated action	Actions implemented	as described above.	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-15 (0 days to implement)
Audit year	2045 46	Audit name	Biosecurity: Livestock
Practic year	2015–16	Addit Hame	Dioseculty, Livestock
Recommendation #4	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources adopts a systematic approach to: · reviewing and updating its documented livestock biosecurity procedures · selecting disease simulation exercises based on disease risks and the coverage, content and delivery of past exercises · evaluating the outcomes of simulated and actual disease responses as part of a continuous improvement program · assessing the coverage and content of its livestock biosecurity training programs for staff and private veterinary practitioners.		
		If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	Establish and commence a process of annual review of procedures. (Process in place an all procedures reviewed 30 June 2016, with annual review by 30 June each year) Select and develop disease simulation exercises based on Chief Veterinary Officer priorities, outcomes of draft animal health risk assessment tool (see report recommendation and initiative 1), and staff learning and development needs. (Three priorities selected by 31 March 2016) This information will be collected via the Biosecurity Evidence Framework to ensure simulations are properly evaluated and learnings are documented and implemented where appropriate. Review the Department's livestock training program for staff and veterinary practitioners and put into place a new program where required.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-19 (1474 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Biosecurity: Livestock	
Recommendation #5		That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources develops an overarching strategic approach to engaging non-government stakeholders.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	A Biosecurity Engagement Development, Jobs, Transport and Framework is under development to guide and support effective and progressive engagement strategies and practices for working with internal and external collaborators and stakeholders.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (1290 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Local Government Service Delivery: Recreational Facilities	
Recommendation #2	Sport and Recreation Victoria should improve its monitoring, reporting and evaluation of aquatic recreation centre related grants to provide assurance these are achieving their intended outcomes.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Sport and Recreation Victoria will enhance the depth, quality and consistency of information collected through the existing Outcomes Reporting process, currently in place for all projects funded from the Community Sports Infrastructure Fund. This reporting should be consistent with information collected by local councils in reference to Recommendation 1.			
Updated action	An Outcomes report h	as been developed and will	be actioned in future funding rounds.	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (1165 days to implement)	

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Local Government Service Delivery: Recreational Facilities
Recommendation #3	Sport and Recreation Victoria should assist councils to improve regional strategic planning relating to aquatic recreation centres so that developments and refurbishments are well coordinated and regional needs and impacts are appropriately considered.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will promote and stimulate co-operation among local councils to identify strategic regional planning opportunities for aquatic facilities as a priority. Local councils will be encouraged to consider planning for a suite of significant facilities with aquatic facilities being a large consideration. This builds upon the existing regional planning funding provided by Sport and Recreation programs.		
Updated action	Response provided by	DHHS in 2017	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (435 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Local Government Service Delivery: Recreational Facilities
Recommendation #4	Sport and Recreation Victoria should require councils to demonstrate effective regional planning to be eligible for government grants.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Through the Community Sports Infrastructure Fund, the department will ensure that regional planning considerations are part of all projects being submitted by councils to the Better Pools or Small Aquatic Projects category. This builds upon the existing practice where councils are required to identify intermunicipal links for their projects.		
Updated action	Response provided by	DHHS in 2017	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (435 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Local Government Service Delivery: Recreational Facilities
Recommendation #5	Sport and Recreation Victoria should, in conjunction with councils, update its recreational facilities database and drive the development of aquatic recreation centre regional plans for all of Victoria—which identify areas requiring refurbishment, replacement, and the development of new aquatic recreation centres.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will ensure that all councils have access to the recreational facilities database to update their list of facilities. This information will provide valuable input into proposed regional aquatic plans across Victoria, which is subject of the above recommendation.		

Updated action	Response provided by	DHHS in 2017	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (435 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Unconventional Gas: Managing Risks and Impacts
Recommendation #1	To inform the government's review of the moratorium and subsequent decision about whether or not an unconventional gas industry should proceed in Victoria, that the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources, in partnership with the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning: 1.develops a risk-based strategy which:[lb]- identifies known and potential risks to water, air, land and the community associated with the development of an unconventional gas resource, using available information and data and the input of relevant agencies as needed[lb]- prioritises the actions that would need to be taken for an unconventional gas industry to proceed and identifies roles and responsibilities for these.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR accepts Recommendation 1 of your report to prepare a risk based strategy to inform the government's review of the moratorium and subsequent decision about whether or not an unconventional gas industry should proceed in Victoria. This would build on the work of the onshore natural gas water science studies and the implementation of the Government response to the Hazelwood mine fire inquiry, specifically Recommendation 4 of that report to bring forward the introduction of risk-based work plans.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-16 (348 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Unconventional Gas: Managing Risks and Impacts
Recommendation #3	To improve the regulation of all earth resources, regardless of whether or not the moratorium is lifted and unconventional gas exploration and development allowed to proceed, that the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources strengthens and clarifies the regulatory system to better manage all earth resources, giving consideration to: · consolidating the earth resources Acts into a new single, integrated earth resources management Act that is risk based and addresses environmental, economic and social priorities in decision-making · securing qualified, objective and independent environmental regulation capability and oversight for the licensing and environmental performance of earth resource industries through reviewing models from other jurisdictions · implementing a mandatory risk-based environmental impact assessment process · developing an approvals system that is risk based in proportion to the activities proposed, using risk-based work plans as one of the elements · requiring risk-based environmental management plans for all stages, from exploration to decommissioning and aftercare · requiring licensees to seek third party oversight and auditing for key elements of their environmental performance.		
Accept recommendation	No	If no, explain	Not applicable. Different action was proposed to implement the recommendation.
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		

## **Updated action**

Victoria's Mineral Resources Strategy 2018-19 'State of Discovery' and the report of the Commissioner for Better Regulation, Getting the Groundwork Right: Better Regulation of Mines and Quarries, flag a major reform of the laws governing mining and quarrying. The strategy addresses recommendations from a range of documents, including this audit, and the Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry and sets out priority actions to improve regulatory practice and industry compliance, and deliver modern fit for purpose laws. The Victorian Gas Program is a comprehensive program of scientific research and related activities to assess the potential for further discoveries of onshore conventional gas and offshore gas in Victoria, and whether the State's current underground gas storage capacity could be expanded. Additionally, the VGP will assess the current regulatory framework for underground gas storage and offshore and onshore petroleum to implement the recommendations of this audit

#### Recommendation status

Date implemented/ Not provided due for implementation

Not provided

**Audit year** 

2015-16

Audit name

Unconventional Gas: Managing Risks and Impacts

Recommendation #4

To improve the regulation of all earth resources, regardless of whether or not the moratorium is lifted and unconventional gas exploration and development allowed to proceed, that the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources, improves its earth resources compliance approach, by addressing the recommendations of VAGO's 2012 audit Effectiveness of Compliance Activities: Departments of Primary Industries and Sustainability and Environment

Accept recommendation

If no, explain

Not applicable. Different actions was proposed to implement the recommendation.

Planned action at tabling Not provided

**Updated action** 

The Getting the Groundwork Right: Better Regulation for Mines and Quarries Implementation Plan (Implementation Plan) sets out the Government's commitments to implement the recommendations and actions of the report of the Commissioner for Better Regulation, Getting the Groundwork Right: Better Regulation for Mines and Quarries. The Implementation Plan sets out a phased approach to progressively build a modern, proportionate and robust earth resources regulatory system that reduces time and cost, increases transparency and improves engagement with industry. Three work streams have been committed - transforming Earth Resources Regulation (ERR) to a modern, outcomes-based regulator, enhancing ERR capability and capacity and reforming the regulatory system. DEDJTR's response to the Ministerial Statement of Expectations for Earth Resources Regulation sets out the agreed timeframes and implementation approach.

Recommendation status Not provided

Date implemented/ due for implementation Not provided

**Audit year** 

2015-16

**Audit name** 

Unconventional Gas: Managing Risks and Impacts

**Recommendation #5** 

To improve the regulation of all earth resources, regardless of whether or not the moratorium is lifted and unconventional gas exploration and development allowed to proceed, that the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources introduces a reflective, adaptive and systematic approach to the way it administers the regulatory system to enable it to respond appropriately to new earth resources activities and emerging risks, including improved processes to: · identify and monitor emerging issues  $\cdot$  consistently and comprehensively assess licences, work and operations plans  $\cdot$  consider the available evidence and clearly document the rationale of decisions.

Accept recommendation	No	If no, explain	Not applicable. Different action was proposed to implement the recommendation.	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	The Getting the Groundwork Right: Better Regulation for Mines and Quarries Implementation Plan (Implementation Plan) sets out the Government's commitments to implement the recommendations and actions of the report of the Commissioner for Better Regulation, Getting the Groundwork Right: Better Regulation for Mines and Quarries. The Implementation Plan sets out a phased approach to progressively build a modern, proportionate and robust earth resources regulatory system that reduces time and cost, increases transparency and improves engagement with industry. Three work streams have been committed - transforming Earth Resources Regulation (ERR) to a modern, outcomes-based regulator, enhancing ERR capability and capacity and reforming the regulatory system. DEDJTR's response to the Ministerial Statement of Expectations for Earth Resources Regulation sets out the agreed timeframes and implementation approach.			
Recommendation status	Not provided	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth	
	2017 10		, , ,	
Recommendation #5	Further develop and clarify the governance and oversight arrangements for the Office of Suburban Development, including assigning leadership and accountability arrangements to support its planning and delivery coordination functions			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Office of Suburban Development will further develop and clarify its governance and oversight arrangements over the next twelve months as it establishes and beds down operating and support mechanisms for the six Metropolitan Partnerships and delivers the first set of metropolitan regional Five Year Plans for jobs, services, and infrastructure. OSD will document these arrangements, including specific leadership roles and accountability requirements, and submit them to the Suburban Development Inter-Departmental Committee (IDC) for noting and/or endorsement in 2018-19.  Depending on the outcomes of DELWP's response to Recommendation 1, any proposed future role for OSD in coordinating infrastructure and service delivery will be included in the submission to the IDC.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (343 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth	
Recommendation #6	Develop and implement an outcome evaluation framework to periodically review how effectively the Office of Suburban Development is contributing to greater certainty in the timely delivery of services and related infrastructure for local communities			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	Office of Suburban Development will develop an outcome evaluation framework and implementation timeframe for periodic review of how effectively OSD is contributing to greater certainty in the timely delivery of services and related infrastructure for local communities.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #1	DEDJTR fully implemen	nt its Fraud and Corruption	Control Policy and Plan
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	As at March 2018, 19 of the major control initiatives outlines in the plans are in place and work is underway to implement the remaining five initiatives by end of 2018.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19
Audit year	2017-18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #2		o provide them with integr	highest risk of fraud and corruption; and $\cdot$ develop and ity training and $\cdot$ track completion of the training to
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	-	d in 2016 and 2017 was con nager/staff groups targeted	ducted appropriately and with tracking to identify the differ training
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (247 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #3	DEDJTR work collaboratively with its agencies to support them in meeting Victorian Public Sector Commission requirements for conflict of interest practices in recruitment panels		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			ovide leadership and support in their meeting of all actice recommendations at 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9 following.

Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (156 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control	
Recommendation #4	_	lans and work collaborative	ue to scrutinise declarations of private interest and ely with its agencies to ensure consistency and active	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Accept, noting oversig 2015	ht of these activities has im	proved year to year since the department's creation in	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-18 (3 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control	
Recommendation #5	DEDJTR through its Integrity Services Unit continue to scrutinise agency gifts, benefits and hospitality registers, and work collaboratively with agencies to proactively address noncompliance while working towards having a single register to improve oversight			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR's oversight of	these activities has improve	ed year to year since the department's creation in 2015	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (125 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control	
Recommendation #6	DEDJTR develop and ir	DEDJTR develop and implement appropriate supplier vetting guidelines		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling			gh the development of data analytics tools, and that planned for completion in 2018.	
Updated action	Not provided			

Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #7	DEDJTR work collabora procurement reporting		develop appropriate fraud and corruption indicators and
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	This is planned for con	npletion in 2018.	
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (247 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
			·
Recommendation #8	DEDJTR formalise information sharing processes between its Integrity Services Unit and its agencies to facilitate appropriate feedback on integrity matters that are referred to agencies for action or information		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	These processes are in place through work completed in 2017-18.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (156 days to implement)
Audit year	2017 10	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
	2017–18		
Recommendation #9			g regarding efforts to recover losses due to fraud and noies to support them to do the same
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR has amended	its Integrity Incidents Regist	ter already to include this data.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (64 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control

Recommendation #10	-	e substantiated, losses are	grity Services Unit's integrity register to capture incurred and action taken, and ensure that the register
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR has amended i this data	its Services Unit integrity re	gister to capture Integrity Incidents Register to include
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (64 days to implement)
Audit year	2017 10	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Addit year	2017–18	Addit Hame	Trade and correspondences
Recommendation #11		ew of the treatment of missused by fraud and corruption	sing assets to ensure that there is consideration of n
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR identified the and will be completed	·	17 in a review of its stocktake practices and reporting
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (156 days to implement)
Andibuses		Audit name	ICT Disaster Decoupy Planning
Audit year	2017–18	Addit Hame	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #1	recovery working grou disaster recovery tests between agencies; · id	p to: · provide advice and to and exercises; · coordinate entify, develop, implement	ced professionals to form a collaborative disaster echnical support; · share lessons learnt based on disaster recovery requirements for resources shared and manage initiatives that may impact multiple critical investments and requirements are prioritised
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The Department will podisaster recovery.	articipate and contribute in	an appropriate state-wide working group for ICT
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning

Recommendation #2	- '		requirements and resource capabilities to determine
	the extent of the capal	oility investment that will b	e required
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The Department will re Continuity Framework		uirements following completion of its Business
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #3		rery plans for the systems the disaster recovery test prog	nat support critical business functions and test these ram
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		se the requirements identif s of systems that support cr	ied in business continuity plans to develop and test itical business functions.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (184 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #4			veloped frameworks, policies, standards and is needed; · specific disaster recovery systems
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			overy Framework, in which training accountabilities nunicated to relevant parties.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (184 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #5	becoming obsolete, th	ose that will soon have insu	esses to: · identify and manage systems at risk of ifficient support or those that will be difficult to ategic planning, life-cycle optimisation and the

	development of long-term business cases for system life-cycle support; $\cdot$ provide executive with information to allow risk-based investment decisions to be made.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	l
			nee management process as part of the IT System
Planned action at tabling			ence management process as part of the IT System gement of ICT systems' life cycles across the
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (184 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #6	recovery planning, incl appropriate governance	uding: · developing a strate e oversight; · establishing t	uidelines and minimum standards for ICT disaster legy to establish the minimum levels of readiness and he requirements, frequency and format of disaster blishing policies, standards and procedures for a
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			work and guidelines have commenced. These will lementing and managing disaster recovery
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-18 (33 days to implement)
Audit year	2047 40	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Addit year	2017–18	Addit name	internal Addit i errormanee
Recommendation #2	All departments review existing internal audit performance indicators to ensure they reflect a balanced scorecard approach and agree on a set of indicators, measures and reporting frequency with the audit committee		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The Department has recently reviewed its internal audit performance indicators. These indicators will be reviewed annually to ensure they remain relevant and aligned with better practice.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (296 days to implement)

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #4	DEDJTR, DELWP, DJR and DPC schedule regular meetings, at least quarterly, between the CAE and the Secretary to provide the opportunity to discuss strategic objectives and emerging risks		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Regular meetings betw	veen DEDJTR Chief Audit Ex	ecutive and the Secretary will be organised.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #9	committee detailing in	ternal audit activity, achiev	nnual report on internal audit performance to the audit ements, and opportunities for improvement and d by the Standing Directions
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Some internal audit performance indicators at DEDJTR are assessed on a quarterly basis. The results are reported to the audit committee at a meeting, following the relevant quarter-end. Other performance indicators are assessed annually, and the results will also be reported to the audit committee, as required by the Standing Directions.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (53 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #10	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DJR, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal controls to the audit committee that provides an overall assessment of the internal control environment, to satisfy Standing Directions requirements, and identifies organisational themes and trends		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	An annual report on internal controls will be prepared and provided to the audit committee in October 2017.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-17 (53 days to implement)

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #1	Improve its existing work with councils to assess the deficiencies in councils' funding grant applications and business cases, and provide support and training to develop the requisite skills		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Regional Development Victoria (RDV) has an extensive regional network established over many years which work with councils on the development of grant applications and business cases. RDV's open 'pipeline' grants model enables RDV staff to work with councils to develop comprehensive and high-quality applications and business cases when applying for grant funding. This approach provides an opportunity to further strengthen the quality of rural and regional council applications and business cases. In line with this recommendation, RDV will explore with rural and regional councils strengthening support through: - Economic development practitioner networks involving all local councils within regional partnership areas; - Economic development forums to review economic development priorities and pipelines at local and state government level; - Increased guidance for individual councils with lower economic development capability.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #2		eness of the Regional Develonce to support councils	opment Victoria information portal and include further
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The Regional Development Victoria (RDV) information portal provides interactive and easy access to data, reports and links that can be used to support economic development activities. RDV is committed to raising the awareness and profile of its information portal with rural and regional councils and will seek to do so through the proposed economic development practitioner networks and other awareness-raising opportunities as they arise.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (572 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #3	Assess the effectivene	ss of the newly established	regional partnerships
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	engaging rural and reg	ional councils and the impa	the effectiveness of the regional partnerships in ct of councils on regional priorities and investment.  Partnerships by the CEOs of each LGA in the region. The

	Partnerships provide an opportunity for local government to work collaboratively with a range of partners including State Government to progress a broad range of regional priorities.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #4	Identify their economic to appropriate resourc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d training needs, and then provide or facilitate access
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Through the establishment of economic development practitioner networks within regional partnership areas Regional Development Victoria will work with DELWP to facilitate access to appropriate resources around economic development.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #5	Identify and collect relevant information for planning and reporting on economic development-including completion of Local Government Victoria's ongoing work with the Economic Development Australia Victorian State Practitioners Network		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Regional Development Victoria (RDV) will work with DELWP to implement this recommendation and support DELWP's broader support to local government's economic development activities.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-20

# Department of Justice and Community Safety

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders
Recommendation #1			cy as a key strategic principle for managing offenders on roach used for offenders on parole
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	The Ministerial endorsed purpose of CCS is 'Deliver services that contribute to safer communities' and this is reflected in all the Corrections Victoria - Community Correctional services authorising documentation. CV will integrate this purpose into all CCS Reform documents going forward.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-17 (0 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders	
Recommendation #2		ria monitor and review the make sure they are achievi	implementation of planned reforms of the community ng their objectives	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	CV will monitor the im	pact of the reforms, commo	encing in January 2017.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (843 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders	
Recommendation #3	That Corrections Victoria develop a robust contingency plan for the reforms program, to address all time-critical stages			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	_	tegy and contingency optio	ns have been developed to ensure that the reforms are t date in January 2017.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-17 (0 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders	
Recommendation #4	That Corrections Victor purpose	ria monitor and evaluate st	aff training to make sure it is sufficient and fit for	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	CV will conduct an eva	luation of CCS staff training	<b>3</b> .	
Updated action	Not provided			

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (296 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders
Recommendation #5		ria ensure risk assessments k assessment tools to make	are completed within required time frames, and e sure they are effective
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	be supported by additi	onal staffing and the new a	on rate for risk assessments within timeframes. This will approach to case management under the CCS reforms. Sessment tools in December 2016.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (843 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders
Recommendation #6	That Corrections Victoria improve information technology systems to enable case managers to manage offenders on community corrections orders more effectively and to provide a single source of accurate data about offenders		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		n for implementation of an i endent on funding availabil	integrated offender management system, noting that lity.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (447 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders
Recommendation #7	That Corrections Victoria work with Court Services Victoria and other relevant stakeholders to explore effective and innovative models for managing offenders in the community, including applying elements of the NJC model		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			e innovative approaches to the management of the Neighbourhood Justice Centre (NJC) model.
Updated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/	Jul-18 (508 days to implement)	
		due for implementation		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders	
			pport programs and services by making sure that ommunity correction orders	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	will work with the Dep		programs and services subject to available funding. DJR nan Services (DHHS) to increase the provision of alcoholule funding.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-19 (692 days to implement)	
Audit		Audit name	Managina Cannon with Consortions Orders	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders	
	That Corrections Victor more effective and tim		information with Victoria Police to make this process	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	CV will continue to build on existing formal information sharing arrangements with Victoria Police and is working to introduce a technology based solution to notify and provide information to CCS in relation to an offender's contact with Victoria Police.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (843 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders	
	That Corrections Victoria review the way it manages offenders who breach the conditions of their community correction orders and, where needed, provide advice to government on making the breach process faster and more effective			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
	CV is introducing a range of changes to case management of offenders that will improve responses to the detection and management of non-compliance by offenders. CV will continue to consider ways to improve breach processes.			
			offenders. CV will continue to consider ways to	

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-18 (327 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders
Recommendation #11		ria regularly evaluate suppo nake sure they are achievin	ort programs and services for offenders on community g their objectives
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	CV will evaluate releva	ant offending behaviour pro	grams (OBP).
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (843 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders
Recommendation #12	That Corrections Victoria develop more strategic monitoring and reporting of support programs and services for offenders on community correction orders, to provide better-quality information for decisions about future programs		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	CV is improving the monitoring of OBP delivery for offenders. DJR will work with DHHS to improve strategic monitoring of AOD services for offenders.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (843 days to implement)
Audit year	2017 10	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Audit year	2017–18	Addit Hame	ici Disastei Necovery Flamming
Recommendation #1	Appoint a team of suitably qualified and experienced professionals to form a collaborative disaster recovery working group to: · provide advice and technical support; · share lessons learnt based on disaster recovery tests and exercises; · coordinate disaster recovery requirements for resources shared between agencies; · identify, develop, implement and manage initiatives that may impact multiple agencies; · coordinate funding requests to ensure critical investments and requirements are prioritised		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			ian-government disaster recovery working group, the perienced professionals to participate In It.
Updated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Not started	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #2	- ' '	on their disaster recovery of the covery of	requirements and resource capabilities to determine e required
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			s on the disaster recovery requirements and resource caster Recovery Strategy (see Recommendation 6).
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-18 (123 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #3	· ·	ery plans for the systems the disaster recovery test prog	hat support critical business functions and test these ram
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will implement: 1) a central register to maintain Disaster Recovery (DR) plans for critical systems; 2) a twice-yearly process to monitor the completion and testing of DR plans		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #4	Provide advice and training to staff on: · newly developed frameworks, policies, standards and procedures to increase awareness and adoption as needed; · specific disaster recovery systems		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	A communications plan will be developed to ensure that all business areas and key stakeholders are informed of the strategy, policies, standards, procedures and systems.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20

Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning		
Recommendation #5	becoming obsolete, the manage when they be development of long-t	nose that will soon have insuecome obsolete; · enable stra	esses to: · identify and manage systems at risk of afficient support or those that will be difficult to ategic planning, life-cycle optimisation and the em life-cycle support; · provide executive with ons to be made.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	obsolete. This informa existing strategic and i communications and p	The department will develop a regular report of systems which are obsolete or at risk of becoming obsolete. This information will be provided to system owners and business unit directors to use as part of existing strategic and investment planning processes. The department will also develop guidance, communications and processes to ensure: 1) obsolescence is managed effectively; 2) business cases for new technology includes lifecycle consideration and risk management to inform investment decisions.			
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning		
Recommendation #6	Set up disaster recovery frameworks to provide guidelines and minimum standards for ICT disaster recovery planning, including: · developing a strategy to establish the minimum levels of readiness and appropriate governance oversight; · establishing the requirements, frequency and format of disaster recovery tests based on systems' criticality; · establishing policies, standards and procedures for a consistent approach.				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	disaster recovery plan	· ·	Strategy which will establish the requirements for or critical business systems. This strategy will be equired.		
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning		
Recommendation #12	Knowledge, Information		business units to consult with system owners and the group as part of the business impact analysis process, covery time objectives		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			

Planned action at tabling	The department will up	odate the Crisis and Continu	uity Policy to include a consultation process to ensure
	alignment between recovery time objectives and maximum allowable outages for critical services.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (153 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #13	Develop a framework tidentify disaster recove		etermine the criticality of business functions and
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	business impact analys		to determine criticality of key services as part of its covery Strategy (as per Recommendation 6) will identify criticality.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (153 days to implement)
Audit year	2047 40	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
	2017–18		
Recommendation #14	Determine a recovery		upport critical business functions
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will do systems.	evelop a Disaster Recovery	Strategy and Disaster Recovery Plans for critical
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #15	Update the business in		clude components that: · evaluate and rank the caused by disruption to critical business functions.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will u	odate Its BIA process to incl	lude analysis of disruption impacts.
Updated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (64 days to implement)
Audit year	2047 40	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Addit year	2017—18	Addit Harrie	internal Addit Ferrormance
Recommendation #2			formance indicators to ensure they reflect a balanced ors, measures and reporting frequency with the audit
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			to ensure they reflect a balanced scorecard approach, k Management Committee.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-17 (0 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #4	DEDJTR, DELWP, DJR and DPC schedule regular meetings, at least quarterly, between the CAE and the Secretary to provide the opportunity to discuss strategic objectives and emerging risks		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The department will so Secretary.	hedule quarterly meetings	between the Chief Risk and Audit Officer and the
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-17 (23 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #5	DELWP, DHHS, DJR, DPC and DTF complete a self-assessment of compliance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (the IIA Standards), consistent with the adoption of the IIA Standards in their internal audit charters, and report the results and action plans to address gaps to the audit committee, and conduct future assessments annually		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	results to the Audit and		of compliance with the IIA standards and report the ittee. It will include the requirement to complete this arter.
Updated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (661 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #6	the adoption of the IIA		lality assurance review of internal audit, consistent with audit charters, report the results to the audit ast every five years	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling		nmittee. It will include the r	of internal audit and report the results to the Audit and requirement to complete this self-assessment every five	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2047 40	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Addit year	2017–18	Audit Hame	internal Addit Performance	
Recommendation #10	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DJR, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal controls to the audit committee that provides an overall assessment of the internal control environment, to satisfy Standing Directions requirements, and identifies organisational themes and trends			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	identifies organisation	al themes and trends. The reee. It will include the requi	nat assesses the internal control environment and results will be reported to the Audit and Risk rement to complete this self-assessment annually in the	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (296 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Private Prisons	
Recommendation #1	Update relevant approval processes for changes to system-wide operational requirements and standards so that contractual implications are adequately identified, considered and addressed before changes are implemented			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling		contractual implications are	for changes to system-wide operational requirements e adequately identified, considered and addressed	

Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-18 (94 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Private Prisons	
Recommendation #2	Address known issues with legacy IT and data systems by integrating offender management systems, to improve data management and analytical capability			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DJR is developing a plan to implement an integrated offender management system that will be completed by June 2018. Timing and implementation of a new system is dependent on funding availability.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (33 days to implement)	
Audit year		Audit nama	Cafety and Cost Effectiveness of Drivate Drisons	
Recommendation #3	2017—18 Audit name Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Private Prisons  Improve the transparency of the prison system by increasing public reporting on the performance of individual prisons and the system as a whole, against applicable service delivery outcomes and key performance indicators			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DJR will examine opportunities to increase public reporting on the performance of individual prisons and the system as a whole.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Private Prisons	
Recommendation #4	Monitor and enforce compliance with the contract requirement that serious incident investigations in privately operated prisons follow contemporary root-cause analysis methodology			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling			ce compliance with the contract requirement that ary root-cause analysis methodology.	
Updated action	Not provided			

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (33 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Private Prisons	
Recommendation #5	for continuous improv		reduction efforts across the system, share the findings oment of a system-wide violence-reduction strategy on-prisoner violence	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DJR will develop options to evaluate the effectiveness and impact of violence reduction efforts in prisons, implementing evaluations as appropriate. DJR will use evaluation findings to inform the development of a system-wide violence-reduction strategy.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Private Prisons	
Recommendation #6	Resolve system issues so private prisons have access to the corrections intelligence system that is equivalent to public prisons' access			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DJR will implement a technical solution to provide private prisons with access to the corrections intelligence system equivalent to public prisons.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19	

# Department of Premier and Cabinet

A	udit year	2015–16		Managing and Reporting on the Performance and Cost of Capital Projects
R	ecommendation #1	government on how be information on capital	est to establish a public repo	the Department of Premier and Cabinet advise orting mechanism that provides relevant project status or more, planned and actual costs, time lines, h benefits are realised.
A	ccept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
P	anned action at tabling	Not provided		

### **Updated action**

DPC sought the Office of Projects Victoria's (OPV's) advice to report back to Government on how best to establish a public reporting mechanism as per the recommendation. OPV have briefed the Treasurer with two proposed options: 1) A basic option which would involve collating already available public information on major projects into a standalone report. 2) A detailed option which would comprise a public online platform similar to that used by the Victorian Government ICT Dashboard. OPV have recommended that a basic public reporting option should be pursued as a first phase as it could be developed and made available much more rapidly compared to the development time required for a detailed option. OPV intend to report back to Government in early 2019 with advice on how to best address the VAGO recommendation. Following Government decisions OPV will finalise the implementation plan and delivery timeline in consultation with DPC and DTF.

#### **Recommendation status**

Recommendation #1

Complete Date implemented/
due for implementation

Jun-17 (393 days to implement)

Audit year 2016—17 Audit name Board Performance

That DPC review the risk classification matrix in the Appointment and Remuneration Guidelines to better reflect the risks and challenges facing boards

Accept recommendation Yes If no, explain

Planned action at tabling Not provided

Updated action

DPC carried out a preliminary internal review of the risk classification framework in 2017. A full review will be carried out by the newly established Office of Public Sector Executive Remuneration, which has been established to commence aspects of the work program of the proposed Independent

Remuneration Tribunal. If a Bill to establish an Independent Remuneration Tribunal passes Parliament in

2019, the Tribunal will complete the review.

Recommendation status Almost complete Date implemented/ Jun-20 due for implementation

Audit year 2016–17 Audit name Managing Public Sector Records

Recommendation #1 That DPC as part of its review of the Public Records Act 1973, address the recommendations of the 1996

Public Accounts and Estimates Committee review-in particular, a continuous program of random audits of agencies to ensure that records management practices are compliant with Public Record Office

Victoria standards

Accept recommendation Yes If no, explain

Planned action at tabling Not provided

**Updated action**DPC is currently in the early stages of a review of the Public Records Act. The Special Minister of State

approved the scope, review process and timelines of the review in September 2018. Consultation has

begun, and will continue its next phase in December 2018/January 2019.

Recommendation status In progress Date implemented/ Dec-20 due for implementation

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records		
Recommendation #2	That DPC as part of its review of the Public Records Act 1973, address the complexities and risks for records management arising from increased outsourcing arrangements and advances in technology since the Public Records Act 1973 was first drafted				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	Not provided				
Updated action	DPC is currently in the early stages of a review of the Public Records Act. The Special Minister of State approved the scope, review process and timelines of the review in September 2018. Consultation has begun, and will continue its next phase in December 2018/January 2019.				
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records		
Recommendation #3		nanged information legislation	ls Act 1973, work to harmonise the Public Records Act on environment-including legislation such as freedom		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	Not provided				
Updated action	approved the scope, re		the Public Records Act. The Special Minister of State of the review in September 2018. Consultation has per 2018/January 2019.		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records		
Recommendation #4	· ·		ls Act 1973, incorporate the improved regulatory cluding monitoring, reporting and penalties for		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	Not provided				
Updated action	approved the scope, re		the Public Records Act. The Special Minister of State of the review in September 2018. Consultation has per 2018/January 2019.		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20		

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Recommendation #1			epartmental Housing Project Steering Committee - housing that sets targets for growth, sustainability and
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	DPC will continue to su IDC, and DTF's Housing Housing and Homeless	upport DHHS to deliver initions IDC. DPC has supported th Iness Agreement (NHHA) th	to deliver initiatives outlined in Homes for Victorians. atives through membership of the Director of Housing's e Premier in negotiating the National Affordable rough the Council of Australian Governments. DPC is ategic social housing directions.
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Recommendation #2			eport on the delivery of measures related to public apacts on social housing growth, sustainability and
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	development by DHHS continue to ensure that	of a reporting framework t	DTF's Homes for Victorians IDC, DPC has supported the o facilitate regular reporting to the IDC. DPC will remains relevant into the future through continued
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Recommendation #3			operational impacts of changes to the community rians, including new housing allocation requirements
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action			ation on the financial and operational impacts of PC supports DHHS and DTF to assess the financial and

	operating impacts of so Cabinet.	ocial housing initiatives thro	ough its role on the IDCs, and through committees of
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Recommendation #4		PC identify and implement operating model over the lo	I strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the ng term
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	and policy direction or	· ·	and support to DHHS and DTF concerning oversight C and committees of Cabinet. DPC will continue to es.
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation in Government Decision-Making
Recommendation #1	provide the Victorian p should: · provide guida there are clear definiti	oublic sector with guidance ance about public participat ons of public participation t e about governance and ove	cies to develop a whole-of-government framework to and parameters for public participation-this framework ion principles and align with better practice · ensure terminology and unambiguous language · provide ersight, capability development, access to expertise and
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Planned action at tabling Updated action	DPC has established a	project to develop a whole ement in government decis	of government approach and guidance for conducting ion making.
	DPC has established a	• •	
Updated action	DPC has established a effective citizen engag	ement in government decis	ion making.
Updated action	DPC has established a effective citizen engag	ement in government decis	ion making.
Updated action  Recommendation status	DPC has established a effective citizen engag Almost complete	ement in government decis  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Audit name	ion making.  Mar-20
Updated action  Recommendation status  Audit year	DPC has established a effective citizen engag Almost complete	ement in government decis  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Audit name	Mar-20 Public Participation in Government Decision-Making

Updated action	DPC will tailor the whole of government approach to citizen engagement for the purposes of DPC.				
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project		
Recommendation #1	DPC confirm in their advice to government on major capital projects (including High Value High Risk projects) that: · proposals should not be recommended for funding unless the business case includes an outline benefits plan as well as a service plan for how desired services will be delivered or enabled by the capital investment · proposals and business cases should identify benefits that are robust, measurable, attributable and soundly articulated, irrespective of the source of funding.				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	developed prior to red	DPC will continue to provide advice to government on whether business cases are appropriately developed prior to recommendation for funding. DPC will continue to work with DTF to amend the business case development guidance material to ensure it remains consistent with best practice.			
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (0 days to implement)		
Audit year	2047. 40	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance		
Recommendation #1	DHHS and DPC review the position of the chief audit executive (CAE) and ensure the CAE: · is positioned at an appropriate level in the department to participate in executive forums and engage in strategic conversations; · reports administratively to the Secretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the chief financial officer and has no actual or perceived conflict, but does have sufficient authority to promote independence and ensure that internal audit communications and recommendations are adequately considered and acted on				
	at an appropriate leve conversations; · report chief financial officer a promote independence	l in the department to parti ts administratively to the Se and has no actual or perceiv se and ensure that internal a	cipate in executive forums and engage in strategic cretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the ed conflict, but does have sufficient authority to		
Accept recommendation	at an appropriate leve conversations; - report chief financial officer a promote independence adequately considered	l in the department to parti ts administratively to the Se and has no actual or perceiv se and ensure that internal a	cipate in executive forums and engage in strategic cretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the ed conflict, but does have sufficient authority to		
	at an appropriate leve conversations; · repor- chief financial officer a promote independence adequately considered Yes	I in the department to partits administratively to the Se and has no actual or perceive and ensure that internal a dand acted on	cipate in executive forums and engage in strategic cretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the ed conflict, but does have sufficient authority to audit communications and recommendations are		
	at an appropriate leve conversations; · repor- chief financial officer a promote independence adequately considered Yes	I in the department to partite administratively to the Seand has no actual or perceive and ensure that internal and acted on  If no, explain	cipate in executive forums and engage in strategic cretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the ed conflict, but does have sufficient authority to audit communications and recommendations are		
Planned action at tabling	at an appropriate lever conversations; report chief financial officer appromote independent adequately considered.  Yes  DPC will review the positions.	I in the department to partite administratively to the Seand has no actual or perceive and ensure that internal and acted on  If no, explain	cipate in executive forums and engage in strategic cretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the ed conflict, but does have sufficient authority to audit communications and recommendations are		
Planned action at tabling Updated action	at an appropriate leve conversations; report chief financial officer apromote independent adequately considered.  Yes  DPC will review the position of the provided.	I in the department to partite to the Seand has no actual or perceive and ensure that internal and acted on  If no, explain  Date implemented/	cipate in executive forums and engage in strategic cretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the ed conflict, but does have sufficient authority to audit communications and recommendations are ecutive.		
Planned action at tabling Updated action Recommendation status	at an appropriate lever conversations; report chief financial officer appromote independent adequately considered.  Yes  DPC will review the position provided.  Complete.  2017—18  All departments review	I in the department to partite administratively to the Seand has no actual or perceive and ensure that internal add and acted on  If no, explain  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Audit name  w existing internal audit per	cipate in executive forums and engage in strategic cretary or a senior executive delegate who is not the ed conflict, but does have sufficient authority to audit communications and recommendations are ecutive.  Feb-18 (176 days to implement)		

Planned action at tabling	DPC will review existing internal audit indicators.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #3	DELWP, DPC and DTF of position description	learly define the role and re	esponsibilities of the CAE in the nominated officer's	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DPC will review the rel	evant position description.		
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #4			eetings, at least quarterly, between the CAE and the rategic objectives and emerging risks	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DPC considers that the current reporting arrangements are effective and there are appropriate opportunities for strategic objectives, emerging risks and any internal audit matters to be raised with the Secretary as required. As noted in the findings, even though regular meetings are not scheduled, there are no restrictions on arranging meetings with the Secretary.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
A collège de la collège de		Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #5	DELWP, DHHS, DJR, DPC and DTF complete a self-assessment of compliance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (the IIA Standards), consistent with the adoption of the IIA Standards in their internal audit charters, and report the results and action plans to address gaps to the audit committee, and conduct future assessments annually			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	DPC has a fully outsourced internal audit provider. DPC's Internal Audit Charter requires the internal audit service provider to comply with appropriate standards and codes of conduct issued by the relevant professional bodies, (such as the Institute of Internal Auditors, CPA Australia and The Institute of Chartered Accountants in Australia), in the provision of internal audit services for DPC. DPC will seek advice from the internal audit provider on the reviews undertaken within their organisation to ensure compliance with the relevant audit standards.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #6	DELWP, DJR, DPC and DTF conduct an external quality assurance review of internal audit, consistent with the adoption of the IIA Standards in their internal audit charters, report the results to the audit committee and conduct future assessments at least every five years			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DPC will seek advice from the internal audit service provider on its external review processes.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017—19	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #9	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal audit performance to the audit committee detailing internal audit activity, achievements, and opportunities for improvement and performance against agreed measures, as required by the Standing Directions			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The effectiveness and efficiency of DPC's internal audit provider is considered by management and the audit committee on a continuous basis and through the following mechanisms: · regular internal audit provider status updates, which detail internal audit activity and progress · fortnightly contract meetings - discussions at each audit committee meeting about achievements and opportunities for improvements · annual assessments of client service, which report performance against contract K Pies and client satisfaction for individual projects · annual audit committee assessment of the internal audit function. DPC considers that this reporting structure is robust and effective.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	

Recommendation #10	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DJR, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal controls to the audit committee that provides an overall assessment of the internal control environment, to satisfy Standing Directions requirements, and identifies organisational themes and trends			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	As required by Standing Direction 3.2.2.2 (e), DPC will provide the audit committee with a report summarising the overall findings of the controls reviewed in the internal audit projects undertaken as part of the DPC 2016-17 internal audit program.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard	
Recommendation #1	Amend the ICT Reporting Standard for the Victorian Public Service to: · require that agencies provide a more descriptive and standardised narrative about their ICT projects, including: - information on the purpose of the project and overall value proposition - a description of the expected impact on the efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery - information on the benefits expected from the project's implementation. · require the capture and reporting of expected project benefits on the Victorian Government ICT Dashboard, including a capability for reporting agencies to monitor benefits realisation · clarify that any agency-derived red/amber/green statuses used for a quarterly data update must align with the high-level red/amber/green definitions specified by the Department of Premier and Cabinet to ensure a consistent view across the public sector of ICT project status · require that the Chief Information Officer and Chief Financial Officer (or equivalent roles) jointly sign-off the list of ICT projects that underpins the Financial Reporting Direction 22H reporting process and attest that all required projects have been identified and correctly reported.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DPC accepts the majority of the recommendation except for the inclusion of a capability on the dashboard for reporting agencies to monitor benefit realisation. This is because most projects benefits will not be realised until after the project is completed. DPC can capture and report on expected benefits for projects until the project is completed. Once completed, projects will no longer be required to report to the ICT Dashboard. DPC will complete this recommendation in financial year 2018/19.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (346 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard	
Recommendation #2	Continue to consult with agencies subject to the Financial Management Act 1994 to determine the most useful data fields to be included in the ICT Reporting Standard for the Victorian Public Service with a key focus on avoiding any unnecessary reporting burden for agencies			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	DPC will continue to be collaborative and consultative in the development of whole-of-government			
	policy and standard when a review is required to refresh the ICT Reporting Standard.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (346 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard	
Recommendation #3	Technology Strategy: V	ictorian Government 2016	es and spend to support the intent of the Information -2020 for agencies to share existing solutions within the ansitioned into a shared services model	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	ICT Reporting Standard has already been updated to include ICT project category reporting in the dashboard, starting from Q2 2017-18. DPC will continue to collect the data and conduct strategic analysis. DPC will complete this recommendation in financial year 2018-19.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (346 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard	
Recommendation #4	Identify methods to review and confirm the accuracy and completeness of data reported on the Victorian Government ICT Dashboard and communicate the results back to agencies			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DPC will work with relevant departments and agencies to identify appropriate methods for data assurance.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (346 days to implement)	
Audit year	l	Audit name	The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	The victorian government ict bashboard	
Recommendation #6	·	· ·	ojects, giving particular attention to capturing and dence of decisions and approvals	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling In alignment with PRINCE2 methodology, DPC has governance in place already, and will continue to

follow the set governance to ensure appropriate documents are captured for decisions and approvals

made for projects.

**Updated action** Not provided

Date implemented/ **Recommendation status** Complete

Jun-19 (346 days to implement) due for implementation

## **Department of Transport**

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Maintaining State-Controlled Roadways
Recommendation #1			egy with clear objectives, outcomes and measures that ice for each road maintenance category
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	maintenance and reha refine and calibrate the future pavement busin Pavement Managemen work effort capture, do key milestones have be (Completed) Milestone demand forecast impreframework Milestone: Customer Levels of Seractions (as mentioned and input from key stamore comprehensively project to determine him medium and long terminterventions performed of CLoS project was co	bilitation requirements in the predictive modelling to inthe predictive modelling to inthe predictive modelling to inthe strategic Plan to include the mand based forecasting and the predictive predictions of the predictions	Develop Pavement Modelling to inform the pavement he Pavement Program Business Case · Continue to approve its correlation with field observations to inform the asset management transformation project, review whole of life asset management, road maintenance and a road assets performance framework The following 1.1 - Publish pavement management strategic plan we model (Asset maintenance predictive condition and pdate model to reflect road assets performance ct road assets management framework Introduction of sures Following implementation of previously proposed tions required changed scope. Initial scoping activities flowing initiatives that are now in delivery in order to a recommendation: - Customer Levels of Service (CLoS) eeting the service needs of the community in the short, a clear 'line of sight' from the maintenance activities and vice outcomes experienced by the road-users Stage 1 elivering framework and proposed KPIs Stage 2 of the las determine target levels of service for the road
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Maintaining State-Controlled Roadways
Recommendation #2	That VicRoads revise its roads program guidelines so that they clearly describe how pavement condition data is to be used to prioritise pavement maintenance programs, including specifying criteria for both		

state-wide and regional condition targets for each road maintenance category

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
	Not provided		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	nominations of project 2.1 - Revise FY18-19 Ro (asset maintenance pr	t by Region staff - The follov pads Program Guideline (Co edictive condition and dem	Roads Program guidelines to help validate the wing key milestones have been developed: Milestone ompleted) Milestone 2.2 - Develop the predictive model and forecast) Milestone 2.3 - Update model to reflect 4 - Update model to reflect road assets management
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-21
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Maintaining State-Controlled Roadways
Recommendation #3	That VicRoads revise road inventory and pavement condition data requirements and document data management protocols, and develop appropriate processes for data validation, storage and dissemination based on sound data collection requirements for recording road inventory and pavement condition		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Continue to update the inventory data and deterioration models in the PMS. Review asset performance parameters · Develop data dictionary through data requirements from the program of work and wider VicRoads requirements for asset demand, work effort capture, asset performance, customer expectations and cost to service. This will serve as a single source of truth for data definitions, quality, and frequency of collection/measurement. · The following key milestones have been developed:  Milestone 3.1 - Develop asset data dictionary Milestone 3.2 - Develop asset information management framework Milestone 3.3 - Develop road assets management frameworks		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-22
Audit year	2016—17	Audit name	Maintaining State-Controlled Roadways
Recommendation #4	That VicRoads develop state-wide key contract outcomes and relevant and appropriate indicators so that it can consistently measure how contractor performance across the regions contributes to overall network condition		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	program of work from capabilities are being k in terms of asset perfo	DTFs' AMAF Attestation are built that provide requirement frmance, work effort captur	ciness Area · Asset Transformation Team to mobilise a cound 2017-18. As part of a wider program of work, ents for future procurement and contract management re, data definitions and reporting The relevant e predictive condition and demand forecast

	performance) Milestor		s performance framework (inclusive of contract management frameworks (include all asset classes) tracts
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-21
Audit year	2045 47	Audit name	Maintaining State Controlled Peadways
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Maintaining State-Controlled Roadways
Recommendation #5		olic and government are full	cion and performance against established levels of ly informed of the outcomes of the road pavement
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	links with VicRoads 20 community expectatio map based website wi Roads Victoria (RRV) Ti model (Asset maintena reflect road assets mai	17-2021 Corporate Plan foc ns of road maintenance and th road conditions and road he key milestones are: Mile ance predictive condition ar nagement framework Miles	n · Review asset performance framework to ensure it tus areas · Develop a program to gain insight into d levels of service · Commence the development of a d pavement maintenance program · Establish Regional estone 5.1 · Develop reporting platform for predictive and demand forecast) Milestone 5.2 · Update model to stone 5.3 · Populate road asset frameworks (include all lets performance dashboard
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-20
A		A	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing the Performance of Rail Franchisees
Recommendation #1	systems to better: · ma and decision-making p	anage knowledge and impro rocesses · manage contract	ement framework with appropriate processes and ove record keeping · define roles and responsibilities risks · track benefits and evaluate performance blems, opportunities and weaknesses.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action		e for BCM is being discusse	Management (BCM) framework, an implementation d between Procurement (Corporate Services) and
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (1028 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing the Performance of Rail Franchisees

#### Recommendation #2

That PTV improve how it monitors and manages the performance of train and tram franchisees by: periodically auditing franchisees' performance data and related systems · planning and implementing monitoring systems for the train and tram networks that provide comprehensive real-time journey information · preparing performance benchmarks for future franchise agreements that drive improvement and are periodically reviewed and reset where necessary · clearly explaining performance thresholds and how they relate to incentives and penalties in public reporting on train and tram performance · developing an effective way to monitor customer experience performance, using lessons from the current agreements.

Accept recommendation

If no, explain

Planned action at tabling Not provided

### **Updated action**

The inclusion of operator performance and systems related audits will continue to be explored as part of the expansion of the existing performance monitoring program. - Funding was approved in 2018-19 for the replacement of the Tram Automatic Vehicle Monitoring (AVM) system. Planning will commence for a new Tram AVM system to manage and monitor metropolitan tram service operations. The new system will provide real time information on tram services, and improve operational reliability and passenger information. The Real Time Positioning of Trains System (RTPOTS) project is in the process of being closed. - New performance targets and thresholds have been included in the Operations Module of the MR4 Franchise Agreements. - PTV will be providing far greater breadth and depth of information into the future, along with a far more detailed glossary on the meaning of the measures and targets. - The new franchise agreements include a comprehensive customer experience regime, which includes abatements for tram and train, should the franchisees fail to meet the customer experience requirements. The SAMP includes a description of each of the major asset classes and explains the purpose of Asset Class Strategies. Development of the Asset Class Strategies is underway and expected to be completed by Jun 19.

Recommendation status

Complete

Date implemented/ due for implementation Oct-19 (1028 days to implement)

**Audit year** 

2016-17

**Audit name** 

Managing the Performance of Rail Franchisees

#### Recommendation #3

That PTV before the next franchise agreement starts, work collaboratively with Victorian Rail Track (VicTrack) and the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport & Resources to prepare and introduce a comprehensive framework for managing assets that is consistent with the Department of Treasury & Finance's Asset Management Accountability Framework and includes: - clearly defined roles and responsibilities for all agencies and franchisees, including responsibility for operational control and management system assets - Systems and practices to capture and analyse comprehensive data about the condition of train and tram assets, including a baseline condition survey when the new agreements start and periodic reviews from then on - clearly defined and ranked standards for asset management strategies for managing each groups of assets.

Accept recommendation

Yes

If no, explain

Planned action at tabling Agreed, however the introduction of some of these new and/or improved processes will not be fully in place by the start of MR4 should this begin at the end of 2017. Agreed. Currently under development. PTV will develop systems and practices to analyse train and tram asset data and implement these across agencies/operators. Due to the complex nature and the number of parties involved it is anticipated that implementation will be phased with full implementation achieved by June 2018. A high level asset condition assessment has been completed of the rail and tram networks. PTV is currently scoping the next phase to determine next areas require further investment to develop a deeper understanding of, and baseline the condition of these assets. Asset management standards will be defined as part of the

### framework currently being developed. Asset management strategies for each group of assets will be defined as part of the framework currently being developed. **Updated** action PTV has developed an asset performance governance framework for the MR4 contracts. PTV holds monthly asset performance meetings for Infrastructure, OCMS and Rolling Stock Assets. These forums are used for the Operators to report on the performance of the network assets, including reporting about their reliability, mean time to failure and to repair. They also report monthly on the completion of maintenance and renewal activities and any incidents, vulnerabilities and risks. Within PTV, the FOM and NIPA Divisions have defined roles and responsibilities with respect to MR4 asset performance management, standards management and contract deliverable review and approval. Under MR4 there is a Standards Governance Group that endorses any changes to operator standards. - PTV worked with DTF and DEDJTR to secure funding in FY18/19 for a consultant for an Asset Condition Assessment Project (ACAP). A cross agency working group (including PTV, DTF, DEDJTR, TfV and VicTrack) has been formed to oversee the ACAP. ACAP will be delivered over 12 months (Jul 18 to Jun 19). ACAP will form the baseline asset condition assessment for the MR4 period. Surveys will also be undertaken in Years 4, 7 and 10 of MR4. The first phase ACAP will have 2 stages; to develop an asset condition assessment framework and associated asset condition metrics, and the physical asset surveys. The asset condition assessment framework will identify metrics and data required for PTV to make an assessment of asset condition. ACAP will review and verify the asset condition data already collected by the Operators and identify gaps in the data. The surveys will collect data to fill gaps, giving PTV a complete understanding of the condition of the network's assets and sub-assets. Within PTV, the FOM and NIPA Divisions have defined roles and responsibilities with respect to MR4 asset performance management, standards management and contract deliverable review and approval. Under MR4 there is a Standards Governance Group that endorses any changes to operator standards. - PTV has established their Asset Management Branch and Asset Management System through the Asset Management Policy, Strategic Asset Management Plan (SAMP) and Asset Management Framework. Date implemented/ Recommendation status Complete Jun-18 (541 days to implement) due for implementation **Audit name Audit year** Managing the Performance of Rail Franchisees 2016-17 Recommendation #4 That PTV evaluate the performance-based right to a contract extension under the current franchise agreement, to understand the benefits and weaknesses of this approach for future agreements. If no, explain Accept recommendation Planned action at tabling This will be included in scope as part of MR3 close-out however not relevant for MR4 as there are no performance-extension rights for any future agreement. **Updated action** The MR4 Franchise Agreements for Train and Tram do not contain performance-based rights to contract extensions. Recommendation status Date implemented/ Nov-17 (329 days to implement) Complete due for implementation **Audit year** 2016-17 **Audit name** Managing the Performance of Rail Franchisees Recommendation #5 That PTV improve its systems and capability to collect and analyse comprehensive information on franchisee costs throughout the life of franchise agreements

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	As part of the MR4 contract requirements, the operators are required to provide increased comprehensive financial information to enable better analysis and understanding of trends. Additionally, internal resourcing and capabilities are being reviewed as part of a wider organisational review.		
Updated action	Actions PTV has taken to implement the recommendation include: - Source and implement the Franchise Business Performance Reporting (BPRT) tool to provide a mechanism for franchisees to submit financial performance data and PTV to perform detailed reporting and analysis An internal resourcing review resulted in the contract financial control team being reorganised to have a dedicated manager for each transport mode. This now enables the team to organise finance meetings with operators of each mode to review and maintain the franchisee's financial data and costs.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (421 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project
Recommendation #7	DEDJTR improve how it specifies and measures benefits arising from major capital projects to ensure alignment with better practice guidance on benefit management from the Department of Treasury and Finance		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project
Recommendation #8		ajor projects underway tha e these projects to rectify th	t have not yet developed a robust benefit management nis deficiency
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	TfV is developing a benefits management framework, and will work with OCG to apply it across Major Transport Infrastructure Program (MTIP) programs of work.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project

Recommendation #9	DEDJTR nominate an enduring point of accountability for project post completion assessments that can withstand major organisational restructures and realignments and provide continuity of staff, corporate knowledge and other corporate resources		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	It is noted that Head, TfV has ultimate responsibility as the enduring point of accountability and this recommendation is at a high level complete. Delegation of these responsibilities enables post-completion assessment requirements to withstand organisational change.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-19 (356 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project
Recommendation #10	unifying the disparate agencies to allow for t issued by the Public Re	public records that have be heir efficient usage and reti ecord Office Victoria · ensur	egy and record-keeping action plan that will focus on: een inherited by Transport for Victoria from other rieval, in conformance with best practice guidance ee continuity of operational and leadership hajor organisational and staffing changes.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	TfV has established a network transition function that will specify client requirements for major projects, including project closure. DEDJTR's information management function will support TfV to ensure that knowledge management and record keeping comply with legislation, DEDJTR policy and project requirements.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project
Recommendation #11	DEDJTR with support from the Department of Treasury and Finance's Gateway Unit, identify and review any outstanding gateway review recommendations directed to projects managed by Transport for Victoria, including those transferred in from other agencies, and, if necessary, allocate these recommendations to new senior responsible officers for action.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			v recommendations through responsible project clients. standing gateway review recommendations.
Updated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-19 (448 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project
Recommendation #12	improvements are exp	olicitly linked to a service de	ators ensure that future asset or network livery outcome and that other project dependencies - o any expected service enhancements or project
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	network configuration	s and service plans is achiev	at the infrastructure required to deliver future rail wed. The working group is supported by a modelling volves both metropolitan and regional rail operators.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-18 (52 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project
Recommendation #13	DEDJTR in conjunction with V/Line assess growth in patronage and define future service requirements with a particular focus on the capacity challenges that are emerging along the RRL route and at Southern Cross Station.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	requirements across the challenges along the R	he entire network. As a mat	iction assesses current and future services iter of routine, TfV will continue to assess capacity ross Station. TfV will work in conjunction with V/Line this recommendation.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (387 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #1	DEDJTR fully implemen	nt its Fraud and Corruption	Control Policy and Plan
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		of the major control initiation of the remaining five initiat	ves outlines in the plans are in place and work is ives by end of 2018.
Updated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #2		to provide them with integr	highest risk of fraud and corruption; and: · develop and ity training and · track completion of the training to
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		d in 2016 and 2017 was con nager/staff groups targeted	ducted appropriately and with tracking to identify the d for training
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (337 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #3	DEDJTR work collabora		eupport them in meeting Victorian Public Sector practices in recruitment panels
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			ovide leadership and support in their meeting of all actice recommendations at 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9 following.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (156 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #4	DEDJTR through its Integrity Services Unit, continue to scrutinise declarations of private interest and related management plans and work collaboratively with its agencies to ensure consistency and active management of declared conflicts		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Finalise review of 2017	7 Declaration of Private Inte	erest (DOPI).
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (247 days to implement)

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #5	DEDJTR through its Integrity Services Unit continue to scrutinise agency gifts, benefits and hospitality registers, and work collaboratively with agencies to proactively address noncompliance while working towards having a single register to improve oversight		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Finalise review and of	current GBH/OBE registers	and publish the DEDJTR register. (By 30 May 2018)
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #6	DEDJTR develop and in	nplement appropriate supp	lier vetting guidelines
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Aspects of this control are being addressed through the development of data analytics tools, and that supplier due diligence systems and guidelines are planned for completion in 2018.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-20
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #7	DEDJTR work collaboratively with its agencies to develop appropriate fraud and corruption indicators and procurement reporting processes		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Develop Fraud and Corruption indicators and procurement reporting processes for DEDJTR.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-19 (398 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control

Recommendation #8			petween its Integrity Services Unit and its agencies to rs that are referred to agencies for action or
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Matter will be logged i	n Fraud Corruption Integrit	y (FCI) register and followed as per agreed process.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (337 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #9			g regarding efforts to recover losses due to fraud and ncies to support them to do the same
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR has amended	its Integrity Incidents Regist	l er already to include this data.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (125 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #10	•	e substantiated, losses are	grity Services Unit's integrity register to capture incurred and action taken, and ensure that the register
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR has amended this data	its Services Unit integrity re	gister to capture Integrity Incidents Register to include
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (125 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #11		ew of the treatment of missused by fraud and corruption	sing assets to ensure that there is consideration of n
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	DEDITE identified the	nood for this stan in late 20	17 in a rayiow of its stocktake practices and reporting
Figure action at tabiling	DEDJTR identified the need for this step in late 2017 in a review of its stocktake practices and reporting and will be completed by 30 June 2018.		
Updated action	Not provided		
	·		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (247 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
,	2017—16		
Recommendation #12	PTV finalise guidance f	or procurements of less tha	an \$25 000
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	PTV will finalise and im	nnlement nolicies, procedur	res and guidance for procurements amounting to less
Trainica action at tabiling	than \$25,000.	ipiement policies, procedur	es and guidance for procurements amounting to less
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (64 days to implement)
		,	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Addit year	2017—18	Addit Hallie	Trada and corruption control
Recommendation #13	PTV finalise and impler	ment supplier vetting guide	lines
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	PTV will finalise and im	unlement supplier vetting a	ctivities. Responsibility for the performance of supplier
Figure action at tabiling	vetting activities will be		ctivities. Responsibility for the performance of supplier
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-19 (368 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
	2017—18		Trade and corruption control
Recommendation #14	PTV improve scrutiny a	and reporting of procureme	ents of less than \$25 000
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	PTV will develop and in	mplement a process for rog	ular monitoring and reporting of procurements
rianned action at tabling	amounting to less than		uiai monitoring and reporting or procurements
Updated action	Not provided		
opuated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-18 (186 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #15	PTV perform regular a	nd effective fraud and corru	l uption lead indicator reporting with procurement data
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	PTV will develop and in procurement data.	mplement regular and effec	tive lead indicator reporting focused on relevant
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-18 (186 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Recommendation #16	PTV document decision	n making regarding efforts t	to recover losses due to fraud and corruption
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		cess to ensure that all decis tion are adequately docum	ion making regarding the recovery of losses arising ented.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (33 days to implement)
Audit year	2047 40	Audit name	Fraud and Corruption Control
Addit year	2017–18	Addit flame	Fradu and Corruption Control
Recommendation #17		to detect and prevent over- vable and contract manager	expenditure on contracts, including processes to nent system expenditure
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			and prevent over-expenditure on contracts. The ability gement system expenditure will be reviewed as part of
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-19 (309 days to implement)

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #1	recovery working grou disaster recovery tests between agencies; · id	p to: · provide advice and to and exercises; · coordinate entify, develop, implement	ced professionals to form a collaborative disaster echnical support; · share lessons learnt based on disaster recovery requirements for resources shared and manage initiatives that may impact multiple critical investments and requirements are prioritised
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #2	- '	on their disaster recovery i	requirements and resource capabilities to determine e required
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The Department will review disaster recovery requirements following completion of its Business Continuity Framework.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (245 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #3	· ·	ery plans for the systems the disaster recovery test prog	nat support critical business functions and test these ram
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		se the requirements identif s of systems that support cr	ied in business continuity plans to develop and test itical business functions.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (184 days to implement)
Audit voor	2047 42	Audit name	ICT Disaster Passyury Planning
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning

Recommendation #4			veloped frameworks, policies, standards and	
	procedures to increase		as needed; · specific disaster recovery systems	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling		• •	covery Framework, in which training accountabilities municated to relevant parties.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-18 (92 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #5	becoming obsolete, th manage when they be development of long-t	ose that will soon have insucome obsolete; · enable str	esses to: · identify and manage systems at risk of afficient support or those that will be difficult to ategic planning, life-cycle optimisation and the em life-cycle support; · provide executive with ons to be made.	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Department will establish a system obsolescence management process as part of the IT System Governance Framework to enable efficient management of ICT systems' life cycles across the Department.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-19 (518 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #6	recovery planning, incl appropriate governance	luding: · developing a strate ce oversight; · establishing t	uidelines and minimum standards for ICT disaster egy to establish the minimum levels of readiness and the requirements, frequency and format of disaster blishing policies, standards and procedures for a	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling			ework and guidelines have commenced. These will elementing and managing disaster recovery	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-18 (92 days to implement)	

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #2	All departments review existing internal audit performance indicators to ensure they reflect a balanced scorecard approach and agree on a set of indicators, measures and reporting frequency with the audit committee			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Department has recently reviewed its internal audit performance indicators. These indicators will be reviewed annually to ensure they remain relevant and aligned with better practice.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (357 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #4	DEDJTR, DELWP, DJR and DPC schedule regular meetings, at least quarterly, between the Chief Audit Executive and the Secretary to provide the opportunity to discuss strategic objectives and emerging risks			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Regular meetings betv	veen DEDJTR Chief Audit Exc	ecutive and the Secretary will be organised.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
riadic year	2017—18	Addit Halle	c.na./, date / c. lo.mance	
Recommendation #9	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal audit performance to the audit committee detailing internal audit activity, achievements, and opportunities for improvement and performance against agreed measures, as required by the Standing Directions			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Some internal audit performance indicators at DEDJTR are assessed on a quarterly basis. The results are reported to the audit committee at a meeting, following the relevant quarter-end. Other performance indicators are assessed annually, and the results will also be reported to the audit committee, as required by the Standing Directions.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #10	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DJR, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal controls to the audit committee that provides an overall assessment of the internal control environment, to satisfy Standing Directions requirements, and identifies organisational themes and trends			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	An annual report on in 2017.	ternal controls will be prepare	ared and provided to the audit committee in October	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program	
Recommendation #1	Follow High Value High Risk guidelines in developing a business case as the basis for government's investment decisions, including timing of approval, presenting a range of project options and updating the business case with any significant changes			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR will continue to work with the Department of Treasury and Finance in relation to future investments including the application of HVHR requirements.			
Updated action	DoT (former DEDJTR) v	will continue to ensure busin	ness cases align with the DTF HVHR requirements	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-19 (687 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program	
Recommendation #2	Develop a transparent selection and prioritisation process for targeted removal of level crossings beyond current commitments made by government			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Accept, noting that the	ere is no financial commitme	ent beyond the LXRP.	
Updated action	25 level crossings (LXR https://levelcrossings.	P2) that is publically availab vic.gov.au/media/news/Mo	itisation Framework for the selection of the additional ble via the internet - bre-level-crossing-removals-on-the-way The framework d fed into the Planning Study preapred for LXRP2.	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (352 days to implement)	

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program	
Recommendation #3	Develop comprehensive key performance indicators and targets to meaningfully measure achievement of intended benefits			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling		ed by enhancing the analys nagement Plan in the LXRP	is and commentary in individual site benefit reports Business Case.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (442 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program	
Recommendation #4	- '		nt of Level Crossing Removal Program outcomes to rogressing towards benefits realisation	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	This is already being in	nplemented, and is required	d under the HVHR Investment Framework.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (352 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program	
Recommendation #5	Develop contemporary network rail standards, so that agencies delivering rail projects have an understanding of network requirements and what is required to assure projects meet engineering, network integration and safety requirements			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	This is already being Implemented by DEDJTR, and is being led by Transport for Victoria with involvement from PTV, Metro Trains for Melbourne (MTM), LXRA and the Melbourne Metro Rail Authority.			
Updated action	(Recommendation reallocated to DoT due to MoG Changes)			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-18 (199 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program	

Recommendation #5	understanding of netw		that agencies delivering rail projects have an t is required to assure projects meet engineering,
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			d is being led by Transport for Victoria with involvement RA and the Melbourne Metro Rail Authority.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-18 (199 days to implement)
Audit year	2017 10	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program
	2017–18		
Recommendation #6	Monitor the effectiver	ness of Public Transport Vict	oria's controls to improve its network integrity function
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling			ia have completed a review and implemented a revised ne network integrity function.
Updated action	(Recommendation rea	llocated from DEDJTR to Do	T due to MoG Changes)
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
A collection of		Auditoria.	Managina tha Land Consider Danson Danson
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program
Recommendation #6	Monitor the effectiver	ness of Public Transport Vict	oria's controls to improve its network integrity function
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	accountability over its governance framewor	network integrity function. k and a formalised standard	a number of arrangements to improve oversight and These include a public transport capability definition is governance process in the new franchise agreement lanned establishment of a standards governance group
Updated action	(Recommendation rea	llocated from PTV to DoT d	ue to MoG Changes)
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-18 (18 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program
Recommendation #10	Evaluate its packaging	approach and incorporate l	lessons learned into future level crossing removals

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	Lessons learnt from the LXRP packaging approach will be incorporated into planning work for potential future level crossing removals.				
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (352 days to implement)		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets		
Recommendation #4	Management Account funding, including, wh on asset risks from coa	That VicRoads address the gaps in their asset management practices against Victoria's Asset  Management Accountability Framework requirements and guidance and strategically target their asset funding, including, where relevant: · identifying all the assets they are responsible for; · using information on asset risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards to help target their asset management priorities and funding decisions, in conjunction with other defined prioritisation criteria			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	VicRoads is at various stages of implementing the following, which will form the active response to this recommendation: · Asset Transformation Project - VicRoads is reforming its asset management practices, enabling VicRoads to not only comply with the Department of Treasury and Finance (DTF)'s Asset Management Accountability Framework, but to also work towards a mature asset management approach aligned with 1SO55001. · Asset Transformation Project - VicRoads has asset inventory information for built and major assets, the requirements for which are being revised based on an assessment of the criticality, to identify the level of information required to sufficiently manage assets. · Asset Strategic Framework - VicRoads is developing strategic frameworks for each major asset class, which includes resilience criteria enabling consideration of climate change adaptation risks and requirements, emergency management and incident recovery, environmental impact and response to other disruptions. This strategic framework will facilitate improved investment decisions and prioritise available funding.				
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-21		
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets		
Recommendation #5	That VicRoads assess the risks that coastal inundation and erosion hazards pose to coastal assets, using robust risk assessment practices that consistently apply AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009, including: · documenting the considerations, assessments, analysis and decisions that their assessments involve; · using available information to regularly review risks and monitor changes in risk ratings over time; · introducing triggers and monitoring information into their asset management and/or climate change activities as appropriate, to identify when to implement adaptation measures or revise their risk treatment approaches				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			

Planned action at tabling As acknowledged throughout the report that VicRoads has already used risk assessment practices to prioritise our investment in major works and at state-wide level. This include various documentation, which has been developed to address climate changes including coastal inundation: - A Climate Change Risk Assessment was developed in 2015 to summarise the risk of climate change to the VicRoads road network and identify the risks to different asset types. - The Sustainability and Climate Change Strategy 2015-2020 describes the principles adopted to manage the significant and important risks. This will continue to be reviewed and updated periodically. - Geotechnical Risk Assessment Program, which is adapting to include changes in coastal erosion risk. VicRoads will further incorporate climate change into Its asset management practices, predominantly within the Asset Strategic Framework, and look to assess, review and monitor climate related risks including coastal erosion and inundation, based on the findings of VicRoads Climate Change Risk Assessment document, which has been developed in-line with the principles of AS/NZS ISO 31000.

**Updated action** 

Not provided

In progress

**Recommendation status** 

Date implemented/ due for implementation

Jun-21

**Audit year** Audit name The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard 2017-18 Recommendation #6 Improve records management practices for ICT projects, giving particular attention to capturing and recording key project documents which show evidence of decisions and approvals If no, explain Yes Accept recommendation Planned action at tabling PTV accepts the recommendation. PTV will establish processes to improve records management practices for ICT projects to ensure key decision making and approval activity is adequately captured,

recorded and supported by key project documents.

Not provided

Recommendation status

**Updated action** 

Complete Date implemented/ due for implementation Sep-18 (73 days to implement)

**Audit year** Audit name V/Line Passenger Services 2017-18 Recommendation #5 That PTV improve how it monitors and manages V/Line performance by: · documenting the basis and

> methodology of targets and thresholds in the services agreement and State Budget papers; · assessing the adequacy of existing performance measures and standards to achieve improvements in performance; · preparing performance benchmarks for future services agreements that drive improvement and are periodically reviewed and reset where necessary; · periodically auditing V/Line's

performance data and related systems

Accept recommendation If no, explain

Planned action at tabling This will be completed as part of the current development of a new Services Agreement. PTV will investigate the cost/benefit to determine frequency and scope of audits to be undertaken to ensure the

reliability of performance data and related systems.

**Updated action** Not provided

Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	V/Line Passenger Services	
Recommendation #6	That PTV work collaboratively with V/Line to: · more accurately reflect actual performance and passenger experience by measuring and publicly reporting reliability and punctuality against the master timetable; · develop a more effective way to monitor customer experience performance, using lessons from the current services agreements			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	more accurately reflectimetable is being cons	t actual performance and p	er with V/Line and DEDJTR are considering options to assenger experience. The reporting against the master ansport operator practices. This will be completed as a Agreement.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-18 (418 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	V/Line Passenger Services	
Recommendation #7	That DEDJTR work collaboratively with Public Transport Victoria and V/Line to: · better understand the impact of the shared metropolitan and regional network on V/Line's punctuality; · develop evidence-based train-loading standards to determine the level of overcrowding on passenger trains; · develop a more robust indicator of service demand by measuring the average passenger travel distances			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DEDJTR has improved oversight of the metropolitan and regional network, by establishing a Network Operations Working Group to collaborate and better understand the issues and impacts associated with punctuality. This working group includes members from DEDJTR, V/Line, PTV and Metro Trains Melbourne. DEDJTR has developed evidence based train loading standards to determine the level of overcrowding on passenger trains. These load standards, which apply to current metropolitan rolling stock, consider the number of seats available per train together with a maximum standing passenger density of 4 persons per square metre. This number accounts for uneven standing densities on particular trains, and within and between train carriages in a given time period. The evidence based train loading standards approach will be developed for regional trains over the next 24 months. DEDJTR recognises there is a data gap regarding information on average passenger travel distances. DEDJTR will investigate options to address this data gap, including through the use of myki data, over the next 12 - 24 months.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	V/Line Passenger Services	

Recommendation #8	That DEDJTR review th	e transport portfolio goveri	nance framework with a particular focus on clarifying
	the roles of key agenci	es and reporting and inforn	nation flow
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Head, Transport For Vi Secretary and endorse Transport Integration A will in future exist only matured including esta PTV are part. Roles, re- clearer and will continu- relationships between recently demonstrated direction, embedded in DEDJTR has also made governance framework Government guideline embedded commitmen	ctoria was established as the dot by the Minister. In addition Act 2010 was amended and the V/Line Corporation. Sinablishment of a Transport Follationships, performance mue to be refined. The establication and through establishment and through establishment and the corporate plans of Visignificant enhancements it ks, with the annual review of sand standards now in its sant Transport For Victoria and	resport Integration Act 2010 was amended and the see lead transport agency, appointed by the DEDJTR on and as you have also noted, in February 2016 the where there were previously two V/Line entities, there note this time DEDJTR governance frameworks have or Victoria governance framework of which V/line and onitoring, reporting and information flows are now ishment of Transport For Victoria is improving g of agency roles and responsibilities. This has been dialignment of a shared Transport For Victoria strategic V/Une and PTV, along with VicRoads and VicTrack. In oversight of all of its agencies through our of agencies against legislative obligations and Victorian econd year. This recommendation reflects the already did DEDJTR have to continue to regularly review their gations are met and our overall performance improves.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-17 (0 days to implement)

## Department of Treasury and Finance

Audit year	2015—16	Audit name	Managing and Reporting on the Performance and Cost of Capital Projects
Recommendation #1	best to establish a public	reporting mechanism that pro	Department of Premier and Cabinet advise government on how vides relevant project status information on capital projects ime lines, governance arrangements, and the extent to which
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	The Office of Projects Vic a direction to take to Cab	• •	ne Treasurer providing options for public reporting and seeking
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (1123 days to implement)
Audit man		A codita manus	Managina and Danastina and the Danferman and Cost
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Managing and Reporting on the Performance and Cost of Capital Projects

Recommendation #2	That agencies implement a documented and consistent approach to verify that they have adequately addressed the requirements of the Department of Treasury and Finance's Investment Lifecycle and High Value/High Risk Guidelines for government-funded capital projects.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	also exist such as Gate		oting this requires action across all agencies. Processes rability assessment of High Value High Risk business nanner.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-16 (0 days to implement)	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Managing and Reporting on the Performance and Cost of Capital Projects	
Recommendation #3	That the Department of Treasury and Finance periodically reviews agencies' performance in applying the Investment Lifecycle and High Value/High Risk Guidelines for projects costing \$10 million or more and provides feedback to agencies on areas requiring improvement.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF supports in principle the recommendation and will continue to engage with departments with feedback on how to improve preparation of project documentation. Particularly when documentation submitted does not meet expectations.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-16 (0 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing	
Recommendation #1	That the DHHS, DTF and DPC - through the Interdepartmental Housing Project Steering Committee - agree on a long-term strategic direction for public housing that sets targets for growth, sustainability and meeting demand			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Support DHHS to deliver the social housing initiatives as outlined in 'Homes for Victorians', the Government's end-to-end housing strategy. Support the development of the Commonwealth's National Affordable Housing and Homelessness Agreement's proposed performance commitments by supporting the Treasurer's attendance at the COAG Council on Federal Financial Relations. DTF is working with DPC and DHHS to consider future strategic social housing directions.			
Updated action	Not provided			

Audit year  Recommendation #2 That DHHS, DTF and DPC monitor, evaluate and report on the delivery of measures related to public housing in Homes for Victorians, including their impacts on social housing growth, sustainability and demand  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling Victorians through the collection of data and information, and the verification of data sources.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  Almost complete  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Managing Victoria's Public Housing  Recommendation #3  That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operational impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Dec-20  due for implementation  Pec-20  Audit name  Managing Victoria's Public Housing  Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Not provided  Recommendation at tabling Homes for Victorians the one sure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation at tabling In progress  Date implemented/ due for i	Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
housing in Homes for Victorians, including their impacts on social housing growth, sustainability and demand  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling Support DHHS to monitor and evaluate the impact of social housing initiatives as outlined in Homes for Victorians through the collection of data and information, and the verification of data sources.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status Almost complete  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Mar-20  Audit vear  Recommendation #3  That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operational impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling Support DHHS to assess the financial and operating impacts of social housing initiatives as outlined in 'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status In progress  Date implemented/ due for implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status In progress  Date implemented/ bousing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status In progress  Date implemented/ bous public public financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided	Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Planned action at tabling Victorians through the collection of data and information, and the verification of data sources.  Updated action Recommendation status Almost complete Date implemented/due for implementation Audit year  2016—17 Audit name Managing Victoria's Public Housing Recommendation #3 That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operating impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements Accept recommendation Planned action at tabling 'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action Recommendation #4 That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes If no, explain  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes If no, explain  Ves If no, explain  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status In progress Date implemented/ Date implemented/ Date implemented/ Date implemented/ Date implemented/ DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided	Recommendation #2	housing in Homes for \		
Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  Audit year  Recommendation #3  That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operating impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling 'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action  Not provided  Audit year  2016—17  Audit name  Date implemented/ due for implemented/ due for implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Audit year  Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ planned action at tabling  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ Jun-20	Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Audit year  Recommendation #3  That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operational impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements  Ves  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling 'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation #4  Audit year  Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implements strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation Yes  If no, explain  Date implemented/ due for implements strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ Jun-20	Planned action at tabling			
Audit year  Recommendation #3 That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operational impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements  Accept recommendation Yes If no, explain  Planned action at tabling 'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action Not provided  Recommendation status In progress Date implemented/ due for implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation #4 That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes If no, explain  Planned action at tabling Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action Not provided  Recommendation status In progress Date implemented/ Jun-20	Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation #3  That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operational impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  Support DHHS to assess the financial and operating impacts of social housing initiatives as outlined in 'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Managing Victoria's Public Housing  Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ Jun-20	Recommendation status	Almost complete	The state of the s	Mar-20
Recommendation #3  That DHHS, DTF and DPC assess the financial and operational impacts of changes to the community housing sector's role arising from Homes for Victorians, including new housing allocation requirements  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  Support DHHS to assess the financial and operating impacts of social housing initiatives as outlined in 'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Managing Victoria's Public Housing  Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ Jun-20	Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Planned action at tabling Support DHHS to assess the financial and operating impacts of social housing initiatives as outlined in 'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Updated action  Not provided  In progress  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Dec-20  Audit year  2016—17  Audit name  Managing Victoria's Public Housing  Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ Jun-20		That DHHS, DTF and D		operational impacts of changes to the community
'Homes for Victorians' through the provision of financial guidance.  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Audit year  Audit name  Managing Victoria's Public Housing  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/  Jun-20	Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Audit year  Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/ Jun-20	Planned action at tabling			
Audit year  2016—17  Audit name  Managing Victoria's Public Housing  Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status In progress  Date implemented/ Jun-20	Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/  Jun-20	Recommendation status	In progress	The state of the s	Dec-20
Recommendation #4  That DHHS, DTF and DPC identify and implement strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the public housing rental operating model over the long term  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/  Jun-20	Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Victoria's Public Housing
Planned action at tabling Work with DHHS to ensure the ongoing sustainability of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public housing rental operating model.  Updated action Not provided  Recommendation status In progress Date implemented/ Jun-20		That DHHS, DTF and D		strategies to improve the financial sustainability of the
housing rental operating model.  Updated action  Not provided  Recommendation status  In progress  Date implemented/  Jun-20	Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Recommendation status In progress Date implemented/ Jun-20	Planned action at tabling			ility of DHHS' financial operations and that of the public
	Updated action	Not provided		
	Recommendation status	In progress	The state of the s	Jun-20

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project	
Recommendation #1	DTF confirm in their advice to government on major capital projects (including High Value High Risk projects) that: · proposals should not be recommended for funding unless the business case includes an outline benefits plan as well as a service plan for how desired services will be delivered or enabled by the capital investment · proposals and business cases should identify benefits that are robust, measurable, attributable and soundly articulated, irrespective of the source of funding.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF will continue to review and update its guidance material to ensure it remains consistent with best practice. DTF notes that all capital initiatives with a Total Estimated Investment (TEI) over \$10 million require a long form business case to be completed. The long form business case template as well as DTF's Investment Lifecycle and High Value/High Risk Guidelines provide guidance on DTF's expectations regarding the documenting of benefits and of how services will be delivered or enabled by the capital investment.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project	
Recommendation #2	DTF ensure that projects subject to the High Value High Risk process: · conform with applicable investment life cycle guidance and undergo the required gateway review process steps, unless explicitly exempted by a government directive · have sufficient allocated funding to conduct post-completion assessments and a senior responsible officer within the agency to ensure these assessments occur after project delivery			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF will continue to ensure that its advice to Government notes whether projects subject to the HVHR process conform with applicable guidelines and have completed gateway reviews unless explicitly exempted by a government directive. In November 2017, the Treasurer approved a process to ensure funding sustainability for Gateway reviews of HVHR projects, including Gate 6 reviews. DTF will support DEDJTR where possible in organising post completion Gateway reviews in circumstances where organisational change results in a change in SRO.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project	
Recommendation #3	DTF track the progress	of agency senior responsib	le officer implementation of gateway review ts (including High Value High Risk projects) and, if	

		ese to a new senior respons has occurred in the period	sible officer or agency for action if significant between gateway stages
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	recommendations con responsible for develo mitigation strategies w implementation of red will support agencies s	tained in Gateway reviews ping an appropriate Recom thich is assessed by DTF. Wi rated recommendations, it	ect's SRO, with only red flag (i.e. critical) reported to DTF and the Treasurer. SROs are mendation Action Plan (RAP) detailing the intended hile DTF can track the progress of agency t cannot do the same for amber recommendations. DTF ble in organising post completion Gateway reviews in ts in a change in SRO.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project
Recommendation #4	analyse and publicise l wider public sector, wi developing service plan	essons learnt from previous th an initial focus on defini	ith the gateway and High Value High Risk functions, to s major projects to promote project success in the ng problems and developing options in business cases, will be delivered or enabled by the capital investment,
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	better practice. The Gareports. DTF will work	ateway Unit within DTF curr with the Office of Projects \	ing these within the wider public sector promotes rently captures lessons learnt from Gateway review Victoria to analyse and promote these lessons learnt to apability in the wider public sector.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project
Recommendation #5		tic analysis of reasons for coviews across the public sec	omparatively low adoption of Gate 6 'Benefits tor
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		or the low adoption of Gate	encourage Gate 6 reviews to be undertaken. DTF will e 6 reviews and investigate ways to increase the number
Updated action	Not provided		

		5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project	
	DTF			
Recommendation #6			ojects on the optimal timing and number of post- oughout a project's useful life to assess any emerging	
8t	V	If we complete		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling		y includes suggested review elevant, particularly for long	timeframes. DTF will review and update this guidance term program of works.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project	
Recommendation #11	DEDJTR with the support of DTF's Gateway Unit, identify and review any outstanding gateway review recommendations directed to projects managed by Transport for Victoria, including those transferred in from other agencies, and, if necessary, allocate these recommendations to new senior responsible officers for action.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	As noted in DTF's response to Recommendation 3, DTF has visibility of red rated Gateway Review recommendations only. As such, DTF's role is limited to assisting DEDJTR in identifying and reviewing outstanding red rated recommendations. The Gateway Unit in DTF provides an independent administrative function. Its function is not to review the implementation of Gateway review recommendations, nor is it resourced for such a role. The Gateway Unit can, however, support DEDJTR by providing Gateway Report information, including Gateway recommendations, in circumstances where there is organisational change.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017 10	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
radit year	2017–18	Addit Harrie	internal Addit i Chormanice	
Recommendation #2			formance indicators to ensure they reflect a balanced ors, measures and reporting frequency with the audit	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	The Department of Treasury and Finance will consider the appropriateness of reviewing existing performance indicators to ensure they reflect a balanced scorecard approach.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)
Audit year		Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Addit year	2017—18	Addit Hallie	internal Addit Ferrormance
Recommendation #3	DELWP, DPC and DTF of position	learly define the role and r	esponsibilities of the CAE in the nominated officer's
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	=""	easury and Finance supports d in the nominated officer's	s the recommendation that the Chief Audit Executive position description.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance
Recommendation #5	Standards for the Profe adoption of the IIA Sta	essional Practice of Internal ndards in their internal aud	assessment of compliance with the International Auditing (the IIA Standards), consistent with the lit charters, and report the results and action plans to t future assessments annually
Accept recommendation	No	If no, explain	From a whole of government framework perspective, the Department of Treasury and Finance confirms that application of the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing is supplementary to the mandatory requirement of the Standing Directions (the Directions). Moreover, the Financial Management Act 1994 only permits the mandating of Australian accounting standards. The Department does not consider that conducting a complete self-assessment of compliance with the international standards as a necessary part of the internal audit cycle. The appropriateness of such a review for individual agencies may depend on the nature, complexity and scale of their operations.
Planned action at tabling	that application of the supplementary to the Financial Management	International Standards for mandatory requirement of Act 1994 only permits the	tive, the Department of Treasury and Finance confirms rethe Professional Practice of Internal Auditing is the Standing Directions (the Directions). Moreover, the mandating of Australian accounting standards. The complete self-assessment of compliance with the

	international standards as a necessary part of the internal audit cycle. The appropriateness of such a			
	review for individual agencies may depend on the nature, complexity and scale of their operations.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Not provided	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #6	the adoption of the IIA		nality assurance review of internal audit, consistent with audit charters, report the results to the audit ast every five years	
Accept recommendation	No	If no, explain	From a whole of government frameworks perspective, the Department of Treasury and Finance confirms that application of the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing is supplementary to the mandatory requirement of the Directions. Moreover, the Financial Management Act 1994 only permits the mandating of Australian accounting standards. The Department does not consider conducting an external quality assurance review of internal audit as a necessary part of the internal audit cyde. The appropriateness of such a review for individual agencies may depend on the nature, complexity and scale of their operations.	
Planned action at tabling	From a whole of government frameworks perspective, the Department of Treasury and Finance confirms that application of the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing is supplementary to the mandatory requirement of the Directions. Moreover, the Financial Management Act 1994 only permits the mandating of Australian accounting standards. The Department does not consider conducting an external quality assurance review of internal audit as a necessary part of the internal audit cycle. The appropriateness of such a review for individual agencies may depend on the nature, complexity and scale of their operations.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Not provided	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #7	DTF develop a three- to four-year rolling strategic internal audit plan, consistent with the requirements of the Standing Directions of the Minister for Finance 2016 (the Standing Directions), and have the plan approved by the audit committee			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	While the Department of Treasury and Finance has always included multi-year elements of a rolling plan, the 2017-20 internal audit plan has been presented in a manner that further clarifies the multi-year program of proposed and potential projects.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-17 (84 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #8	assessment of the ade	DELWP, DET, DHHS and DTF improve assurance mapping to include all sources of assurance and an assessment of the adequacy of risk coverage to provide the audit committee with a comprehensive view of the level of assurance		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Department of Treasury and Finance internal audit function engages in many effective processes of assurance. The Department considers that a more formal assurance mapping process is an appropriate improvement and will benefit the internal audit function.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (114 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	
Recommendation #9	DEDJTR, DELWP, DHHS, DPC and DTF provide an annual report on internal audit performance to the audit committee detailing internal audit activity, achievements, and opportunities for improvement and performance against agreed measures, as required by the Standing Directions			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Department of Treasury and Finance assesses the quality of internal audit on an ongoing basis via regular meetings between the Audit and Risk Committee Chair and the Secretary, customer satisfaction components in each internal audit report, and a semi-annual discussion of the quality of internal audit by the Audit and Risk Committee. Whilst DTF already meets the requirements of the Directions, it will further consider any enhancements that may continuously improve the Department's current practices.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Internal Audit Performance	

Recommendation #10	DEDITR. DELWP. DHHS	S. DIR. DPC and DTF provide	an annual report on internal controls to the audit	
	committee that provides an overall assessment of the internal control environment, to satisfy Standing Directions requirements, and identifies organisational themes and trends			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Department of Treasury and Finance considers that it is compliant with section 3.2.2.2 of the Standing Directions in the following ways; 1. The annual core financial review - the core financial review on the effectiveness of controls, improvements that have been made and whether the controls are embedded in the work of the Department. 2. The annual risk profile review and quarterly risk reports provide the Committee with an update on the work undertaken around the Department to assess the effectiveness and appropriateness of risk management controls. 3. The Committee regularly considers reports on the effectiveness of ICT and cyber-security controls including the quarterly CenlTex assurance reviews. Whilst DTF already meets the requirements of the Directions, it will further consider any enhancements that may continuously improve the Department's current practices.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-18 (176 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #1	Develop advice for gov	vernment on the long-term	sustainability of land sale targets and incentives	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF will provide advice to the government on options to improve the government's surplus land sales financial framework, including land sales targets and incentives			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (572 days to implement)	
		a 100		
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #2	In coordination with relevant stakeholders, explore more effective mechanisms to expedite native title consents to enable the timely sale of Crown land			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF will continue to work with the Department of Justice and Regulation to identify potential mechanisms to expedite native title consents			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #3	Review and update the government's land management policies in light of the introduction of Land Use Victoria's new policy to ensure consistency in how the agencies understand public value in relation to their landholdings			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	the government's Land		t of Environment, Land, Water and Planning to review nes and Land Use Policy and Guidelines, and propose licies are complimentary.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #4	Introduce a wider range of land utilisation categories to the Victorian Government Landholding Policy and Guidelines and develop guidance to support agencies to accurately and consistently categorise their landholdings			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF will work with the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning to review the 6 current land utilisation categories within the Landholding Policy and Guidelines and provide any recommendations on potential improvements to the Minister of Finance.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #5	Develop a policy to support agencies to identify leasing and other interim land use opportunities for under-utilised land			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF will work with the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning to develop a policy that supports departments identifying interim land use opportunities. It is expected that guidance of this nature will be appropriate to include within the government's Landholding Policy and Guidelines			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (572 days to implement)	

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
Recommendation #6	Review the first right of refusal process in light of Land Use Victoria's new policy to achieve best value from surplus government land, including revising existing time frames			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF will work with the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning to review the First Right of Refusal process to identify any possible improvements that will help to achieve the best value from surplus government land.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
	2017—18	Addit name	managing our place content to the	
Recommendation #12	Develop a methodology to assess the costs and benefits of the sale of surplus land, including an accurate understanding of recurrent holding costs associated with retaining surplus land and an assessment of the non-financial benefits of sales			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF will prepare a set of guidelines, including a methodology to guide the assessment of the costs and benefits of the sale of surplus land.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing Surplus Government Land	
radic year				
Recommendation #13	Ensure that sites are o right of refusal process	_	agencies through consistent application of the first	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	As a landholding agency, DTF will ensure that any land it holds is offered through the First Right of Refusal Process prior to being offered for public sale.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-18 (0 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Private Prisons	

Recommendation #7	Ensure that its advice to government, and associated public information on Partnerships Victoria and other major projects, should wherever practicable present costs and benefits in nominal and present value terms, with the discount rate (nominal and/or real rate) and other key assumptions explicitly stated and justified			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF proposes to remain vigilant when preparing advice to Government to ensure financial information on infrastructure projects appropriately references discount rates and key assumptions. Disclosure of discount rates and other assumptions for commercial transactions will be considered on a case by case basis to protect the government's negotiation position o future projects, along with information provided to government on a commercial-in-confidence basis.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-18 (125 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Safety and Cost Effectiveness of Private Prisons	
Recommendation #8	Update relevant guidance to require probity reports and sign-off letters for major procurement transactions to disclose any material probity issues that arose during the relevant project, even where the issues were managed to the satisfaction of the probity practitioner and project governance group			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF proposed to consult probity practitioners currently on the State Purchase Contracts for Professional Advisory Services to communicate the intent of this recommendation without compromising the independent role of the probity advisor. DTF will review relevant procurement and policy guidelines to address the recommendation.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (551 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard	
Recommendation #5	Implement a common chart of accounts across agencies subject to the Financial Management Act 1994, to consistently capture and code ICT-related expenditure, to allow better assessment and analysis across all these entities, regardless of their size or portfolio			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	DTF and the Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC) are already engaged in an ongoing program to develop and upgrade data collection to facilitate performance monitoring and analysis, including work on improving the whole of government chart of accounts. Further work will be undertaken to determine the processes and systems that should be implemented throughout the general government sector to optimise data collection and analysis.			

Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	' "	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-21

# East Gippsland Shire Council

Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets	
Recommendation #4	Address the gaps in their asset management practices against Victoria's Asset Management Accountability Framework requirements and guidance and strategically target their asset funding, including, where relevant: · identifying all the assets they are responsible for; · using information on asset risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards to help target their asset management priorities and funding decisions, in conjunction with other defined prioritisation criteria			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	East Gippsland has already committed to implementing an improved and more strategic approach to asset and associated risk management processes. This work has been commenced and forms a component of a broader project that is designed to improve and upgrade a number of our existing business systems and processes. Implementation will be programmed over a number of years and will provide a focus on all asset classes including coastal assets.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-21	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets	
Recommendation #5	Assess the risks that coastal inundation and erosion hazards pose to coastal assets, using robust risk assessment practices that consistently apply AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009, including: · documenting the considerations, assessments, analysis and decisions that their assessments involve; · using available information to regularly review risks and monitor changes in risk ratings over time; · introducing triggers and monitoring information into their asset management and/or climate change activities as appropriate, to identify when to implement adaptation measures or revise their risk treatment approaches			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	East Gippsland has already committed to implementing an improved and more strategic approach to asset and associated risk management processes. This work has been commenced and forms a component of a broader project that is designed to improve and upgrade a number of our existing business systems and processes. Implementation will be programmed over a number of years and will provide a focus on all asset classes including coastal assets.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	processes. Implementation	will be programmed over a number of years and will	
Updated action	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	processes. Implementation	will be programmed over a number of years and will	

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets
Recommendation #6	Assess climate change portfolios	risks from coastal inundation	on and erosion hazards across their coastal asset
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Strategy. This is a subs approach to the adapt most appropriate way townships and will guid	tantial project that is design ation of our coastal townsh to plan for and manage fut	ment of the Lakes Entrance Growth and Adaptation ned to pilot our approach to supporting an integrated ips. This project will support our understanding of the ure impacts to infrastructure that supports our sponses to asset management are included in our es.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-21

#### Eastern Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #1	That health services review processes to improve length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3 ('urgent')			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	An improvement project has commenced to reduce the length of stay times for category 3 patients. This is part of the Every Minute Matters strategy (refer below] and is centred on improving admitted and non-admitted four hour performance.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-17 (187 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:	
			Emergency Care	
Recommendation #2	That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Eastern Health has a long standing commitment to improving patient flow through initiatives such as Getting it Right, Emergency Department Redesign, general Medicine model of care improvements and the Great Care Everywhere program which focuses improvement efforts through a lean approach. Since July 2017, this has been enhanced through the Emergency Access Plan titled Every Minute Matters which			

	has a whole of health service approach aimed at improving four hour emergency department performance in each of the three hospitals.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-17 (187 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:
			Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services ac	t to resolve Victorian Emer	gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	An action plan has been developed to address the recommendations arising from the VEMD Audit findings. Progress regarding achievement of these actions is routinely monitored.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-17 (157 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector
Recommendation #17	That the four health services review their ICT strategic plans to ensure they are in line with Digitising Health: How information and communications technology will enable person-centred health and wellbeing within Victoria		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Eastern Health has commenced the mid-term review of its 5 year ICT Strategic Plan (Great Digital Information: Transforming Health care into Great Health and Wellbeing) which coincides with the development of the new Eastern Health Strategic Plan. Eastern Health agrees with Recommendation 17 of the performance audit report which relates to health services and will align its ICT Strategic Plan with 'Digitising Health: How information and communications technology will enable person-centred health and wellbeing.'		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status		Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (768 days to implement)

## Echuca Regional Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:
			Emergency Care

Recommendation #1	That health services review processes to improve length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3 ('urgent')		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Reviewed the reasons for extended LOS. Opened a short stay unit, trying to resolve transportation issues, recruitment of FACEM improving access to Hospital in the Home to keep people out of ED.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (856 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2	That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	ERH are continually re	viewing our actions and stra	ategies to achieve this outcome.
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services act to resolve Victorian Emergency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Project continuing		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (1131 days to implement)

# **Environment Protection Authority Victoria**

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Improving Victoria's Air Quality
Recommendation #1		•	and updating its current Monitoring Plan to reflect its  · in addition to its ambient air quality monitoring for

purposes of the NEPM AAQ, designing and implementing an air monitoring program that better aligns coverage with air pollution risks that Victorian communities are exposed to Accept recommendation If no, explain Planned action at tabling Recommendation 6.3 of the Victorian Government's Response to the Independent Inquiry into the EPA requires EPA to assess the adequacy of its air and water monitoring networks. The Environmental Monitoring Capability Review is well progressed, and once the air quality monitoring network component of this review is complete (action 1.2), EPA will update its ambient air quality monitoring plan. EPA will continue to progress the Environmental Monitoring Capability Review. VAGO's recommendation will be factored into the review of the air monitoring network component of this current work. This review will help determine how EPA will deploy resources. EPA will work with government to determine how best to implement the findings of this review. **Updated action** Not provided Complete Date implemented/ **Recommendation status** Oct-19 (572 days to implement) due for implementation **Audit name Audit year** 2017-18 Improving Victoria's Air Quality Recommendation #2 Improve its reporting on air quality by: · introducing a rigorous quality review process to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the state's air quality data and assessments against NEPM AAQ standards as presented across its various reporting, including on its AirWatch website; · developing readable and easily accessible annual reports on the results collected from all air monitoring across the state, highlighting assessments against standards and recorded exceedances Accept recommendation If no, explain Planned action at tabling 2.1 A) EPA will, with the support of counterpart agencies in other jurisdictions, review its existing quality review process to ensure accuracy of air quality data and assessments against AAQ NEPM standards. This will be reflected in EPA's 2019 AAQ NEPM compliance report. 2.1 B) EPA will also complete the current process of seeking NATA certification for the Beta Attenuation Method (BAM) of monitoring PM2.5. EPA's air monitoring methods used for reporting against the NEPM AAQ standards are NATA accredited except for the BAM method. 2.2 A) EPA will deliver a renewed data storage platform to manage Air Monitoring Data as part of its continued delivery of the Environmental Data Information Systems Online project. This project streamlines data collection, analysis, and storage while simplifying data sharing. 2.2 B) EPA will review its current air quality monitoring and assessment information to maximise access to clear and easily underslood information in formats of most use to Victorians. For example, this may include an online portal, smartphone app or other flexible means of meeting diverse user needs. **Updated action** Not provided Recommendation status Date implemented/ Dec-19 Almost complete due for implementation **Audit year Audit name** Improving Victoria's Air Quality 2017-18 Recommendation #3 Expand and update its knowledge of Victoria's air quality by: · completing a comprehensive Victorian air emissions inventory to identify current major point and diffuse sources of air pollution; · determining and preparing an action plan on how best to (1) oversight the air quality monitoring conducted by high-risk

	operators to ensure th	at monitoring plans are in p	place, and that these plans are appropriately	
	implemented; and (2)	understand and effectively	respond to air emissions from lower risk sites	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	3.1 EPA Is currently developing an updated air emissions inventory for major and diffuse sources. This work will identify current major point sources of air pollution. 3.2 A) EPA will prepare an action plan on how to increase the oversight of air quality monitoring conducted by high-risk operators. EPA will consider relevant recommendations from the Independent Inquiry into the EPA and the Victorian Government's response in the development of the plan. 3.2 B) EPA will complete its current review of brown coal-fired power station licences focusing on improvements in reporting transparency. 3.2 C) EPA's updated air emissions inventory (action 3.1), will allow better understanding of the significance of contributions of air pollutants by source types. Following the air emissions inventory update, EPA will prepare an action plan on how to respond more effectively to cumulative air emissions and impacts from lower risk sites.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Improving Victoria's Air Quality	
Recommendation #4	Work with all relevant councils to address air quality issues at the Brooklyn Industrial Precinct by: reducing exceedance days and achieving NEPM AAQ standards for PM10, and considering the need to monitor other pollutants; · agreeing on the installation and location of additional air monitoring stations to measure the impact of air discharges on nearby residential communities			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Officers for the Protect collective capability to continue to work with Group to address air q Brooklyn Industrial Pretowards achieving comprecinct. 4.2 EPA will compability Review (activation)	tion for the Local Environm address air quality issues in councils, community and in uality issues in the Brooklyr ecinct Action Plan in consult apliance with state and nationplete the air monitoring	nd Hobsons Bay City Council, are partners in the ent (OPLE) Pilot Program. This will enhance our in the Brooklyn Industrial Precinct. 4.1 B) EPA will industry through the Brooklyn Community Reference in Industrial Precinct. 4.1 C) EPA will update its current eation with relevant councils on how best to progress onal PM10 objectives and goals surrounding the enetwork component of the Environmental Monitoring is ambient air monitoring plan, having consideration for ct.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Improving Victoria's Air Quality	

Recommendation #5	Clarify the roles and responsibilities of relevant Victorian Government agencies with respect to air quality management, and develop protocols to ensure accountabilities are understood and coordination is achieved		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	There are clear protocols to manage smoke during emergency incidents, when clarity of role is critical for community safety. Separate to emergency incidents, there are a number of agencies at all levels of government involved in managing air quality. In recognition of this complexity, EPA together with DELWP as lead agency will work to clarify roles, responsibilities and accountabilities, and improve coordination.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	· ·	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20

## **Gippsland Ports**

Cippolaria i ci to				
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets	
Recommendation #4	Address the gaps in their asset management practices against Victoria's Asset Management Accountability Framework requirements and guidance and strategically target their asset funding, including, where relevant: · identifying all the assets they are responsible for; · using information on asset risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards to help target their asset management priorities and funding decisions, in conjunction with other defined prioritisation criteria			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	agency required to col acknowledges the imp	mply with the Asset Manage	ections of the Minister for Finance and as such is not an ement Accountability Framework (AMAF), however assets and will, where practical, follow the ng this recommendation.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets	
Recommendation #5	Assess the risks that coastal inundation and erosion hazards pose to coastal assets, using robust risk assessment practices that consistently apply AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009, including: · documenting the considerations, assessments, analysis and decisions that their assessments involve; · using available information to regularly review risks and monitor changes in risk ratings over time; · introducing triggers and monitoring information into their asset management and/or climate change activities as appropriate, to identify when to implement adaptation measures or revise their risk treatment approaches			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	Gippsland Ports will implement this recommendation across it's coastal assets, including ongoing review and updating.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-19 (551 days to implement)
A codd a consu		Accellance	Destanting Materials County Assets
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets
Recommendation #6	Assess climate change risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards across their coastal asset portfolios		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Similar to item 2, Gippsland Ports will assess these risks across it's coastal assets		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided

#### Glen Eira City Council

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Local Government Service Delivery: Recreational Facilities
Recommendation #1	·	ve aquatic recreation centre the achievement of council	e monitoring, reporting and evaluation activities so that objectives and outcomes.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Council has also endor	sed the Municipal Public He	orting, evaluation, and monitoring of outcomes. ealth and Wellbeing Plan 2017-2021 to council public health initiatives.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-16 (0 days to implement)

## Goulburn Valley Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services re ('urgent')	view processes to improve	length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	GV Heath has introduced streaming at the start of the 2015 calendar year where patients are identified at triage for their care pathway with an admission or non-admission focus. A trial of having senior medical staff at triage for RAPID assessment, has seen positive results in timelines and care outcomes. This will be expanded as recruitment of senior medical staff continues and recruitment is expected to be completed by the beginning of 2017. The triage education and competency package Is under review to ensure safe and accurate triage. Expected completion of the review Is in December 2016, Feedback from triage staff have indicated fatigue when allocated to triage for an 8 hour shift. It Is proposed that this role now be broken into designated time periods across the shift and Is currently being worked through with the Emergency Department. Telemedicine to 3 small rural Urgent Care Centres has commenced in July 2016 with an aim to reduce transfers to GV Health and actiVate care earlier. The short-stay unit admission process Is under review, due to the report findings indicating that GV Health has a lower than average admission rate, where there will be an Increased use of clinical pathways to guide admission and care.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #2	That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Currently GV Health has a patient flow committee which comprises of staff from all areas. There will be a refocus for this group in response to the whole-of-hospital plan recently developed to support the Emergency Department In providing timely safe patient care. Collaboration with Ambulance Victoria has seen an improvement in ambulance off-loading and transfer of patients out. Ambulance Victoria participates in escalation processes to manage increase prolonged demand across all clinical units. Work has commenced with Murray Primary Health Network around the introduction of care pathways which support GP patient referral and thus timely access to services. In early 2017 work will commence with Murray Primary Health Network on reducing avoidable hospital admissions. GV Health has been selected to participate in Better Care Victoria Emergency Access Collaborative. This will provide collaborative support and resources to improve patient flow and emergency access.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-18 (736 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #3	That health services ac	ct to resolve Victorian Emer	gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	In July 2016 GV Health introduced daily auditing of performance data. The results of this auditing have seen staff education focused on accurate documentation in both written and electronic format to ensure that the commencement and delivery of care is captured. Recent staff surveys and meetings have highlighted areas where team communication and targeted skill development will support earlier patient assessment and care commenced along with accurate data capture and entry.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20

#### Great Ocean Road Coast Committee

Great Ocean Road Coast Committee				
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets	
Recommendation #4	Address the gaps in their asset management practices against Victoria's Asset Management Accountability Framework requirements and guidance and strategically target their asset funding, including, where relevant: · identifying all the assets they are responsible for; · using information on asset risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards to help target their asset management priorities and funding decisions, in conjunction with other defined prioritisation criteria			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	commence developme strategic Planning Wo that workshop. The pl cycle, and across the e accordance with the V Framework. Undertak to develop a listing to	ent of a 10 year asset replace rkshop on 16th March 2017 anning tool shall be completextended ten-year planning (AGO recommendations regue a gap analysis of GORCC's populate the new asset rep	a priority for coastal asset management and shall ement planning tool immediately following the Board's . This issue is one of four priorities being addressed at red in time to incorporate into 2019/2020 FY budget horizon. The planning tool shall be developed in arding the State's Asset Management Accountability current Asset register and incorporate relevant assets accement program. Current reports prepared by GORCC ontained shall be used to identify and prioritise assets	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-20	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets	
Recommendation #5	Assess the risks that coastal inundation and erosion hazards pose to coastal assets, using robust risk assessment practices that consistently apply AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009, including: · documenting the considerations, assessments, analysis and decisions that their assessments involve; · using available information to regularly review risks and monitor changes in risk ratings over time; · introducing triggers and monitoring information into their asset management and/or climate change activities as appropriate, to identify when to implement adaptation measures or revise their risk treatment approaches			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	1. Review the current Visitor Risk Management Framework to ensure compliance with required Standards (to ensure consistency with new work) 2. Complete a risk analysis, in priority order, of assets in revised Asset register and complete an initial prioritisation to assist with development of the long term asset management plan. 3. As is currently completed under the Visitor Risk Framework, develop and implement an annual asset audit program for review of risk status and provide necessary adjustments to asset management plan.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	, ,	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20

# Latrobe Regional Hospital

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care		
Recommendation #1	That health services review processes to improve length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3 ('urgent')				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	Identify barriers to imp	· Review data with Director of Emergency Department and Nurse Unit Manager Emergency Department · Identify barriers to improving length of stay times for Category 3 patients · Identify Strategies and implement action plan - Monitor and evaluate response			
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (948 days to implement)		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care		
Recommendation #2			commitment to improve emergency department educe length of stay for admitted patients		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	Not provided				
Updated action	Commenced work in p	patient flow collaborative (B	CV) Developing whole of hospital solutions for patient		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (948 days to implement)		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care		

Recommendation #3	That health services act to resolve Victorian Emergency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	VAED audit findings are	e monitored and actions clo	osed by the board LRH Audit and Risk Committee.
Updated action	VAED audit recommendations have been actioned, reported to LRH Audit and Risk Committee and actions closed.		
Recommendation status		Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (401 days to implement)

### **Loddon Shire Council**

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #6	Regularly review alignment between economic development strategies and council plans to improve the continuity of longer term initiatives		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Loddon Shire will consider how to structure and review its next Economic Development Strategy and associated actions so that it not only aligns with the current Council Plan, but sets up a mechanism to realign priorities and actions with reviews to the Council Plan and other changes, e.g. regional plans and initiatives. Loddon's current Economic Development Strategy extends to 2019, so these considerations are being noted now in preparation for its review. Loddon Shire has recently purchase corporate planning and reporting software which can support the regular review of economic development strategies.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-19 (603 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #7	Develop comprehensive performance measures for economic development with clearly articulated targets and benchmarks		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	supported, although v between inputs/effort Recommendation 5 re reporting, which shou existing Economic Dev	we note the issue with econor t and outcomes as outlined efers to identification and co ld assist in developing reliab relopment Strategy can be in	sess whether they are being effective in their efforts is omic development is that it is difficult to create a nexus in Recommendation 5 above. We also note that illection of relevant information for planning and ole and relevant performance measures. Loddon Shire's input into the new corporate planning and reporting eporting against performance measures identified in

Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-19 (603 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #8	Monitor and report on	economic development ou	tcomes and clearly link actions to intended outcomes
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	progress on in the sho	rt to mid-term. The new cor	ere will be some actions that will be difficult to report reported porate planning and reporting software supports but immediately for regular monitoring and reporting.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-20

### Major Transport Infrastructure Authority

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program
Recommendation #2		t selection and prioritisation made by government	process for targeted removal of level crossings beyond
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Noted that there are r	no financial commitments be	eyond the LXRP.
Updated action	commitment by the go that the selection and transparent. Undertak level crossings should Planning Study consid using a framework tha available data. The Pla August 2017 and then efficiency as a key prin	prioritisation of recomments prioritisation of recomments are in collaboration with TfV be considered for removal agreed sites across Melbourned was underpinned by a training Study was noted by the again in December 2017. Lynciple. The Site Prioritisation	rioritisation process as follows: Following a and undertook the Planning Study for LXRP2 to ensure ded future level crossings is robust, objective and to the Planning Study thoroughly investigated which as part of a future level crossing removal program. The end selected commuter lines to regional Victoria, asparent and objective assessment, based on readily the Priority Infrastructure Sub-Committee (PISC) in KRP revised the Planning Study to include delivery framework and resulting Program Option was pritisation Framework was publicly released in October
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (352 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program

Recommendation #4	Progressively monitor the progress of achievement of Level Crossing Removal Program outcomes to facilitate timely insight into how the program is progressing towards benefits realisation		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	This is already being in	nplemented, and is required	I under the HVHR Investment Framework.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (352 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program
Recommendation #7	Apply options assessm	ents transparently and cons	sistently
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	LXRA may apply additional information and criteria to the LXRP options assessment framework to provide the best possible advice to Government.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (352 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program
Recommendation #8	Commission an independent evaluation and report on whether the deferred pricing contract structure is cost-effective and has delivered its intended benefits		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	LXRA will commission the independent evaluation at an appropriate juncture in the delivery of the LXRP.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-22
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program
Recommendation #9			nt process before using it to award additional works
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	

Planned action at tabling	The benchmarking tool is already embedded in the procurement of additional works packages under its program alliance procurement arrangements.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status		Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (352 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Managing the Level Crossing Removal Program
Recommendation #10	Evaluate its packaging approach and incorporate lessons learned into future level crossing removals		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Lessons learnt from the LXRP packaging approach will be incorporated into planning work for potential future level crossing removals.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (352 days to implement)

### Maribyrnong City Council

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector
Recommendation #1			olicies and associated resources against the
	their use throughout their	•	IAP2) model, update them as necessary, and promote
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	engagement has been and integrated into Co 2014 policy. Maribyrno dedicated to communi engagement based on IAP2 model and stay u	increasingly recognised as a uncil's engagement process ong City Council is relatively ty engagement. Rather than a framework and staff hand p to date with best practice gement, ensure stakeholde	public participation policy in 2014 the IAP2 model of pest practice and as such has been steadily adopted pest, despite it not having been directly referenced in the unique in its model of a centralised business unit in relying on individual units to take up planning for abook, staff in the centralised unit are trained in the in this unit works with other business units to identify it identification is carried out and consultation is
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #2	That all councils build monitoring, reporting and evaluation activities into their public participation activities			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Whilst not explicitly referenced in the 2014, the subsequent introduction of an online platform and integration with the communications team allows for close monitoring of consultation reach and engagement. Since its adoption in 2015, Council's online engagement platform has achieved more than 20, 000 site visits. Consultation outcomes are routinely reported through the online portal and social media as well as through all council reports.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (205 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #3	That all councils devel	op and document compreh	ensive public participation plans and their outcomes	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The Auditor General's report should acknowledge that different Councils are at different stages of implementing their policies and framework. Maribyrnong City Council's four year policy and framework adopted in 2014 is relatively dated and now due for review. The audit's focus on policies and frameworks therefore is not necessarily reflective of actual practice. The review that will be carried out in 2017 will inform a new policy and framework that will be more reflective of Council's current practice and incorporate other components recommended in this report.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (570 days to implement)	

## Maroondah City Council

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector
Recommendation #1		on for Public Participation (	olicies and associated resources against the IAP2) model, update them as necessary, and promote
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		

Updated action	Council developed a Community Engagement Policy 2015 which was based on the IAP2 principles. This was acknowledged within the VAGO report. Council will periodically review the Policy taking in to account the proposed requirements in the draft Local Government Act currently before the Victorian Parliament.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (22 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector
Recommendation #2	That all councils build i activities	monitoring, reporting and e	evaluation activities into their public participation
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	As part of Council's Policy development, a Toolkit was developed to support Council officers in their planning, delivery and evaluation of activity. As identified in the VAGO Audit report (Figure 2B) Council's Policy and Toolkit provides support in relation to monitoring, evaluation and review.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (22 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector
Recommendation #3	That all councils develo	op and document compreh	ensive public participation plans and their outcomes
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	As part of Council's Policy development, a Toolkit was developed to support Council officers in their planning, delivery and evaluation of activity. As identified in the VAGO Audit report (Figure 2D) Council's Policy and Toolkit provides support in relation to comprehensive planning and the provides templates to assist Council staff in their planning.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (22 days to implement)

### Melbourne Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services re ('urgent')	eview processes to improve	length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Implementation of: 1. Observation Medicine Boarder Guidelines 2. Keep Times Real Review 3. Flex Bed Model 4. Greenlight to Subacute 5. Criteria led discharge 6. Daily Huddle 7. Length of Stay Reduction project 8. HITH Utilisation Review 9. Trauma Services weekend cover 10. Management of border movement out of SSU/BAU 11. Review demonstrated that the 'bed ready time' was an efficient method of bed allocation. 12. Establishment of Flex Cubicle Model to create early capacity in ED 13. 27% increase in short stay unit admissions		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20
A 12		A 19	-m: 1-m: 1-m: 10 ::
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2			commitment to improve emergency department educe length of stay for admitted patients
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	1. Observation Medicine Boarder Guidelines 2. Keep Times Real Review 3. Flex Bed Model 4. Greenlight to Subacute 5. Criteria Led Discharge 6. Daily Huddle 7. Length of Stay Reduction project 8. HITH Utilisation Review 9. Trauma Services weekend cover 10. Management of border movement out of SSU/BAU 11. Review demonstrated that the 'bed ready time' was an efficient method of bed allocation. 12. Establishment of Flex Bed Model to create early capacity in ED 13. 27% increase in short stay unit admissions 14. Re-established the Patient Flow Committee 15. Weekly long stay patient ward round 16. Use of data to drive performance 17. Implementation of seasonal strategies (ie Winter Bed Strategy opening of 2 West) 18. Daily review of data with NUMs		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20
Audit year	2045 4=	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:
Audit year	2016–17	Adult hame	Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services act to resolve Victorian Emergency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	1. Enhanced audit trail log to be delivered in V2.37 upgrade of Symphony -EMIS vendor of Symphony unable to deliver a workable upgrade after 12 months. Decision to replace Symphony with another product which will include a full audit trail. Expected implementation Q1 2019 complete 2. Formalise data integrity requirements through overarching policy and procedures - Overarching policy and procedures implemented in October 2017 - complete 3. Enhanced user access report will be delivered with V2.37 upgrade - see 1 above. Enhanced user access reports will be available in the software that will		

	replace Symphony in 2019 - complete. 4. Password expiry every 30 days - password expiry currently every 90 days - this will remain at 90 days - complete. 5. Timely detection of time stamp errors - these are corrected daily - complete 6. Process for re-submission of VEMD data has been formally documented			
Recommendation status		Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (978 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency	
Recommendation #11	Formalise governance policies to guide decision-makers when allocating theatre resources between emergency and elective surgery and between surgical specialities			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	A Melbourne Health draft procedure has been completed regarding the allocation of theatre time between emergency and elective surgery. The following actions has occurred: • Review of the theatre template occurred 2018 in preparation for new capacity to come on line 2020 • Principles have been developed that guide decision making on theatre allocations that uses data to assess demand and complexity.			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	

### Melbourne Water

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Monitoring Victoria's Water Resources
Recommendation #1	and the Environment I water quality monitor establishing a cross-ag programs for the region long-term water qualithat facilitate the effect supporting data collect exploring the feasibility coordinates the publis	Protection Authority Victoria ing programs across the Por gency committee to coordina on · developing an overarchi ty monitoring in the Port Ph ctive and efficient sharing of tion, collation and analysis t y and options of developing	r and Planning, in conjunction with Melbourne Water a, lead action to improve the governance of long-term the Phillip and Western Port catchment region by:  ate and oversee long-term water quality monitoring my monitoring, evaluation and reporting framework for illip and Western Port region · developing agreements for data · reviewing the quality assurance processes to ensure consistency with better practice principles · g a publicly accessible report card system that chemical, biological and recreational water quality
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action			/P (as the lead) to coordinate the development and identified in the reported action.
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	The Victorian Government ICT Dashboard
Recommendation #6	Improve records management practices for ICT projects, giving particular attention to capturing and recording key project documents which show evidence of decisions and approvals		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Melbourne Water accepts the recommendation and will review its ICT record management practices with particular attention to retention of key project decision documentation to ensure evidence of decisions and approvals for ICT projects is retained.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	· ·	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Oct-18 (103 days to implement)

# Melton City Council

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
Recommendation #6	Regularly review alignment between economic development strategies and council plans to improve the continuity of longer term initiatives			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	To date, consecutive Melton City Council Plans have been consistent in their economic development focus, primarily targeting local employment, innovation, business investment and tourism. This allows confidence that subordinate economic development strategies have long term alignment and currency as purposefully designed. Internal reviews to ensure the strategic alignment of Council activity currently occur periodically, and will continue to do so as recommended.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-18 (0 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
riadic year	2017—18		2000 Government and 200101110 Development	
Recommendation #7	Develop comprehensive performance measures for economic development with clearly articulated targets and benchmarks			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	and actions, but can c develop the range of a	ontinuously improve target applicable metrics and measure.	ors across a range of economic development strategies s and benchmarking. Council will work to further sures of success accordingly. This work will be change over time, and as new initiatives and actions are	

Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (450 days to implement)
Audit year	2017—18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development
Recommendation #8	Monitor and report on	economic development ou	stcomes and clearly link actions to intended outcomes
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The single most difficult and expensive challenge to Economic Development teams is reporting on outcomes of Council investment. Understanding the extent to which a Council influenced micro or macro-economic outcomes is extremely difficult to accurately report. Most readily available economic statistics are relatively blunt measures, comprised a multitude of input factors, and usually limited in application to a municipal or localised region. Nonetheless, Council will commit additional resources to fulfil this ambition. Certainly, linking actions to intended outcomes is an easier task, and Council currently makes every effort at Council Plan and Economic Development strategy level to achieve this.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20

# Mercy Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services re ('urgent')	eview processes to improve	length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	and the Patient Flow P response. In both important for management of overhead announcing within the department agreement of timefrant Medical and Surgical p	rartnership (2018). Actions to rovement programs WMH hof ED patients. Actions to im Category 3 when triaged - Et to raise all ED clinical staff nes and process for assessm	cipant in the Emergency Access Collaborative (2017) that have been taken to improve whole of hospital has had project focuses for whole of hospital response aprove Cat 3 length of stay include: - Implementation of Engagement of ED staff in a 'focus on flow' campaign awareness of the waiting times - Review and ment and acceptance of admission referrals for General eved pathways for SSU admissions, including admission anditions.
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #2	That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Patient Flow Partnersh whole of hospital enga patient flow and acces including an escalation System (DOS), which is of data and performan report of the previous	nip (2018). Actions taken to agement. Specific actions taken to a redesign of admission act pathway for when barriers currently being reviewed ace, now a standing item for days ED NEAT, overall and implement changed medical	in the Emergency Access Collaborative (2017) and the improve patient flow included a significant focus on a ken included: - Engagement of Senior Medical staff in acceptance processes between ED and inpatient teams, a experienced Introduction of a Daily Operating and further developed standardized communications a staff and manager forums introduction of a daily for each of 4 the 4 phases of the admission process staff model - commenced work on a targeted	
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #3	That health services ac	ct to resolve Victorian Emer	gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Mercy Health underwent a VEMD Data Integrity Audit in 2016-17. Actions have been undertaken to address the Recommendations from the Audit. The Mercy Health Finance Audit and Risk Committee oversee governance of the audit and the actions from Recommendations. There is annual review of the progress of the actions. Actions taken include: - improved governance processes, including data management with error reports being submitted to the Medical Sub-Acute and Palliative Care Program Director prior to submissions Data on VEMD types of errors reported at program operational meetings orientation and procedure review and update for inclusion of data integrity requirements processes reviewed for the management and updating of the VEMD Library - actions taken to improve access and security of EDIS system			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/	Feb-20	

### Mildura Base Hospital

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:
			Emergency Care

due for implementation

Recommendation #1	That health services review processes to improve length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3 ('urgent')			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Business intelligence reports have been developed to inform hospital management and ED on time spent in each area on the ED episode being presentation to Triage, Triage to Seen by, Seen by to write up/discharge & write to admission. Access and flow Working party has been developed to focus on improving wait times in ED and time from ED presentation to ward or home.			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-20	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #2	That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action		1 Wards have electronic Jou Allied health focus for impro	urney boards identify long stay patients to provide oved LOS	
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-20	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #3	That health services a	ct to resolve Victorian Emer	gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Increased data integrity officer EFT and now produce daily data integrity reports and errors sent to ward clerk			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-20	

### Mitchell Shire Council

Recommendation #1	That all councils assess International Association	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector			
l de la companya de	International Association					
	their use throughout th	on for Public Participation (	That all councils assess their public participation policies and associated resources against the International Association for Public Participation (IAP2) model, update them as necessary, and promote their use throughout the council			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain				
Planned action at tabling	Not provided					
ā	Timelines for the formal adoption of the framework and toolkit have been extended. Framework was adopted at the November Council meeting. Toolkit with templated engagement plans and evaluation will be updated after that.					
Recommendation status		Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-21			
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector			
	That all councils build monitoring, reporting and evaluation activities into their public participation activities					
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain				
Planned action at tabling	Not provided					
l de la companya de l	There has been a greater focus on these areas in larger scale and more complex projects. The guidance has been strengthened in the new engagement framework and further training will be provided to staff as part of the toolkit development.					
Recommendation status	1	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-21			
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector			
Recommendation #3	That all councils develop and document comprehensive public participation plans and their outcomes					
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain				
Planned action at tabling	Not provided					
· F	As per previous items, the formalisation of our approach has been delayed due to staff vacancies. Engagement plans have been developed for larger scale and more complex projects. This includes reporting back to participants on outcomes and how their input contributed to any changes. This will be strengthened with the adoption of our updated framework, the development of associated toolkits and the roll out of staff training.					

Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/	Feb-21
		due for implementation	

### Monash Health

IVIOITASTI FICALLII				
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #1	That health services re ('urgent')	eview processes to improve	length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	The Emergency Stream redesign is one element of the Monash Health Transforming Care program currently being implemented across the entire organisation. Key initiatives of this redesign includes: - Welcoming and streaming at triage to allocate patients to the most appropriate care stream - Up-front senior decision making of patients within 30 minutes - Behavioural health - Combined care by Emergency Department clinicians and mental health staff - Short stay unit - Establishment of clear admission and discharge criteria - Strengthening partnerships with inpatient units			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (978 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #2	That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	hospital commitment known as Transformir	to providing the best possib	vice transformation that demonstrates a whole of ole care and experience for consumers. The program, 5 and is focussed on improving patient flow across the s from in-patient wards.	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (1131 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #3	That health services a	ct to resolve Victorian Emer	gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	regular audits surroun	ding VEMD data. These assu	to conduct internal audits on data integrity, including urances will provide Monash Health with greater ations received from Department audits.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-18 (552 days to implement)

### **Moreland City Council**

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Local Government Service Delivery: Recreational Facilities
Recommendation #1		ve aquatic recreation centre the achievement of council	e monitoring, reporting and evaluation activities so that objectives and outcomes.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	, and the second	model. The Municipal Hea	der of 3 year strategic plan with a strong focus on lth and Well being plan also formed a strong piece of
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (1195 days to implement)

### Mornington Peninsula Shire Council

2017-18

Audit year

Recommendation #4	Address the gaps in their asset management practices against Victoria's Asset Management Accountability Framework requirements and guidance and strategically target their asset funding,					
	including, where releva	ant: · identifying all the asse	ets they are responsible for; $\cdot$ using information or	n asset		
			s to help target their asset management priorities	and		
	funding decisions, in co	onjunction with other define	ned prioritisation criteria			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain				
		,				
Planned action at tabling	The MPSC foreshore risk assessment project conducted in 2014-15 identified a large number of coastal					
	assets with spatial and attribute data collected. Following this assessment, it has been observed that a number of coastal protection assets (e.g. rock revetments, seawalls etc) remain unaccounted for and as such, MPSC will proactively conduct a gap analysis to audit coastal protection assets along the coastline within Shire, and proactively collaborate with State authorities and Committees of Management and to					
	· ·	share coastal protection asset information. The gap analysis is to be a high-level assessment conducted as a desktop audit, with on-site verification performed as required. The level of information to be captured will be limited to spatial and attribute data, and on-site photographic imagery (where sufficient pedestrian access is available). The newly captured data, coupled with existing data in the Shire's asset				
	pedestrian access is av					
	management system, v	will serve as the basis for fu	uture discussions around clarification of responsib	ilities,		
	aiding long and short-t	erm asset planning, and for	or the formation and implementation of coastal			

**Audit name** 

Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets

protection asset risk migration strategies. THe analysis is anticipated to be completed by 30 June 2018. MPSC also acknowledges the new Marine and Coastal Act is being developed and is expected to address further gaps, and assist in developing regional and strategic partnerships to manage ageing coastal infrastructure now and into the future. **Updated action** Not provided Date implemented/ Recommendation status In progress Dec-20 due for implementation **Audit year Audit name** Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets 2017-18 Recommendation #5 Assess the risks that coastal inundation and erosion hazards pose to coastal assets, using robust risk assessment practices that consistently apply AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009, including: · documenting the considerations, assessments, analysis and decisions that their assessments involve; · using available information to regularly review risks and monitor changes in risk ratings over time; · introducing triggers and monitoring information into their asset management and/or climate change activities as appropriate, to identify when to implement adaptation measures or revise their risk treatment approaches Accept recommendation If no, explain Planned action at tabling MPSC notes that the responsibility for recommendation 5 has been acknowledged by DELWP as a statewide issue (not local) and as such it is the driving body in partnership with Melbourne Water for development of the Port Phillip Bay Coastal Hazard Assessment (PPB-CHA). MPSC has a strong interest in working through ways to better assess risks to assets posed by coastal inundation or erosion hazards and as such a senior MPSC officer has accepted a position on the Project Control Board. Following the outcome of the assessment, which includes the mapping of inundation areas in Port Phillip Bay, it is anticipated that the information will inform planning decisions and on-ground management options. Once the PPB-CHA is finalised the MPSC may consider the possibility of introducing a system of risk assessment. This may include analysis, documentation and review of coastal inundation and erosion hazards. **Updated action** Not provided Date implemented/ **Recommendation status** In progress Dec-20 due for implementation **Audit year Audit name Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets** 2017-18 **Recommendation #6** Assess climate change risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards across their coastal asset portfolios If no, explain Accept recommendation Yes Planned action at tabling Please refer to the MPSC response to recommendation 5. In addition MPSC is building capability within its adopted risk management framework to assess climate change risk, with controls and treatments to be developed based on best practice, and global alignment to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate

Change framework. Science based targets will be developed in line with the global framework for

	,	mitigation. This capability will employ an organisational approach to climate change risk with alignment to the objectives of the Council Plan 2017-2021.		
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation stat	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (429 days to implement)	

#### Murrindindi Shire Council

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #1	That all councils assess their public participation policies and associated resources against the International Association for Public Participation (IAP2) model, update them as necessary, and promote their use throughout the council			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Council has allocated funds in the 2018/19 budget to appoint a community engagement officer to assist in strengthening Council's Community participation policies and practices in line with the audit recommendations.			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #2	That all councils build activities	monitoring, reporting and e	evaluation activities into their public participation	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action		nonitoring, reporting and ev	t to appoint a community engagement officer to assist valuation of Council's Community participation practices	
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Public Participation and Community Engagement: Local Government Sector	
Recommendation #3	That all councils develo	op and document compreh	ensive public participation plans and their outcomes	

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action		documentation of Commun	to appoint a community engagement officer to assist ity participation and engagement plans and outcomes
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20

# National Gallery of Victoria

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Implementing the Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality Framework		
Recommendation #1	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport & Resources, Museum Victoria, Arts Centre Melbourne and the National Gallery of Victoria review and revise their management of the receipt and provision of gifts, benefits and hospitality to better understand and scrutinise these activities.				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling		NGV will review and revise its management of the receipt and provision of gifts, benefits and hospitality to provide for better understanding and scrutiny.			
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-17 (478 days to implement)		
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Implementing the Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality		
,	2013-10		Framework		
Recommendation #2	Centre Melbourne and gifts, benefits and hos committee reports on emerging risks, and re registers to audit com existing controls and a	d the National Gallery of Vic pitality activities by:[lb]- int the receipt and provision of commend how to address t mittees with reports that a any additional required miti	Jobs, Transport and Resources, Museum Victoria, Arts storia review and revise how they monitor and report on roducing regular management and audit and risk of gifts, benefits and hospitality to identify trends and schese [lb]- accompanying the annual tabling of gift dequately inform about the risks, the effectiveness of gation activities[lb]- using the annual gift register existing gifts, benefits and hospitality policies.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	including its reports, r	egister and policy. NGV will	eports on gifts, benefits and hospitality activities, also take into account any changes needed to align the Benefits and Hospitality Policy.		
Updated action	Not provided				

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-17 (478 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Implementing the Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality Framework
Recommendation #4	Centre Melbourne and receipt and provision of evaluating the risks to evidence-based treatn demonstrating the ext	I the National Gallery of Vic of gifts, benefits and hospits their impartiality, integrity nents to address these risks ent to which they have deli	Jobs, Transport and Resources, Museum Victoria, Arts storia review and revise their management of the ality to effectively manage the risks by: · identifying and and reputation · developing and implementing where existing controls are inadequate · vered on the minimum requirements and its and hospitality framework.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	to better manage the	~	e receipt and provision of gifts, benefits and hospitality ive identification and evaluation of risks and if required.
Updated action		~	f the receipt and provision of gifts, benefits and Policy to align with the current VPSC GBH Framework.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-17 (419 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Implementing the Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality Framework
Recommendation #6	That the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources, Museum Victoria, Arts Centre Melbourne and the National Gallery of Victoria: · review and improve the way they consult on and communicate gifts, benefits and hospitality activities and policies · measure how successful communication and training have been in fully informing staff and stakeholders about intended gifts, benefits and hospitality behaviours and in achieving the desired outcomes.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	NGV will review its tra hospitality.	ining and communication fo	or staff and stakeholders regarding gifts, benefits
Updated action	of its compliance with feasibility of including	the GBH Framework which specific questions within its	staff and stakeholders, and undertook an internal audit subsequently recommended the NGV look into the sonline training system to measure the success of its relevant questions within its online training system.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-19 (1422 days to implement)

### Northern Health

Northernmealth			
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services re ('urgent')	view processes to improve	length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2			commitment to improve emergency department educe length of stay for admitted patients
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services ac	t to resolve Victorian Emer	l gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Northern Health has a formal External Audit Recommendations Progress Log in place to track recommendations arising from: - Victorian Auditor General's Office (VAGO) annual external audits of the Financial Accounts; - VAGO performance audits of Victorian health services; and - Data integrity audits commissioned by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) of the following data extracts: the Victorian Admitted Episodes Data (VAED), the Elective Surgery Information System (ESIS), the Victorian Emergency Minimum Dataset (VEMD) and the Victorian Integrated Non-Admitted Health (VINAH) dataset. In response to this VAGO recommendation Northern Health reviewed the rigour of our External Audit Progress Log reporting to ensure all DHHS data integrity audit findings, including those relating to the VEMD, are addressed in timely way.		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-17 (157 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #15	That Northern Health undertaking an analysi		l ment the HealthSMART clinical ICT system by	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling			MART Clinical system. The various options are currently ess case due for release later in the year.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Nov-17 (161 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #16	That Northern Health prepare an ICT strategic plan that sets out its direction for clinical ICT			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	The ICT Strategic Plan 2016-2019 was endorsed by the NH ICT Steering Committee in Apr 17 and is scheduled for Board approval in May 2017 meeting.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (8 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	ICT Strategic Planning in the Health Sector	
Recommendation #17		on and communications ted	l tegic plans to ensure they are in line with Digitising chnology will enable person-centred health and	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	_	2016-2019 was endorsed b oproval in May 2017 meetir	y the NH ICT Steering Committee in Apr 17 and is ng.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-17 (8 days to implement)	

### Parks Victoria

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets			
Recommendation #4	Address the gaps in their asset management practices against Victoria's Asset Management Accountability Framework requirements and guidance and strategically target their asset funding, including, where relevant: · identifying all the assets they are responsible for; · using information on asset risks from coastal inundation and erosion hazards to help target their asset management priorities and funding decisions, in conjunction with other defined prioritisation criteria					
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain				
Planned action at tabling	Implementing this plar	Parks Victoria has a road map/ continuous improvement plan to achieving AMAF compliance.  Implementing this plan will ensure that asset funding and management of assets (including risk) will be more strategically targeted to mitigate risk and provide best value for Victoria.				
Updated action	Not provided					
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (429 days to implement)			
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Protecting Victoria's Coastal Assets			
Recommendation #5	Assess the risks that coastal inundation and erosion hazards pose to coastal assets, using robust risk assessment practices that consistently apply AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009, including: · documenting the considerations, assessments, analysis and decisions that their assessments involve; · using available information to regularly review risks and monitor changes in risk ratings over time; · introducing triggers and monitoring information into their asset management and/or climate change activities as appropriate, to identify when to implement adaptation measures or revise their risk treatment approaches					
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain				
Planned action at tabling	Parks Victoria will develop a strategic asset management plan and portfolio asset risk plans as part of the implementation of the road map for achieving AMAF compliance. These plans are for all Parks Victoria assets, including those located in the coastal environment. Under the AMAF guidelines, assets need to be proactively managed as a whole of life approach.					
Updated action	Not provided					
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-20			

### Public Records Office of Victoria

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records
Recommendation #5	, and the second	•	y on each of its powers and duties, but with particular  12: the requirement to 'assist public officers' to apply

	Public Record Office Victoria's standards-and what specifically would satisfy this requirement · section 13A: Public Record Office Victoria's powers of inspection of records management programs		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	and s13A In regard to I	ts scope and specific nature	Act 1973 s12 on the meaning of 'assist public officers' e within the next 6 months. It should be noted that if ce may no longer be necessary.
Updated action	21/8/2017. In summar advice - PROV's curren Keeper does not have has power to inspect a conservation of record anticipates a physical i management 13A 'st requirement for comp	y - s12 'assist' requires the t practice is sufficient to mo statutory authority to comp public officer's program of is - 13A the Keeper is able to inspection of 'storage arrangorage' includes storage arralgiance by the agency to be of the storage of the same of the sam	the received on 21/7/2017. Further clarification on a PROV to support public officers who have requested eet the requirements to assist public offices - The public offices to supply evidence - 13A the Keeper if records management and is not limited to storage and to enter a public office where records are stored. This gements' and carrying out of the program of records angements for digital records - s13 There is no demonstrated - s13(b) The phrase 'advice and inply responding to request
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records
Recommendation #6	That PROV implement	VAGO's 2008 recommenda	tion to introduce competency-based training
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	implemented and arra module developed and	ngements made for ceasing I promoted 3) Agency guida n 4) Research undertaken a	Training platform selected, procured and g ELMO relationship 2) Recordkeeping awareness ance and system administration procedures developed and plan developed for additional training modules, in
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Public Sector Records
Recommendation #7		hole-of-government inforn	ment for a records manager 'community of practice' nation management group-and if the demand is
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		

Updated action	the Records Managem opportunities to host a in a more intimate sett maintenance of sector have a PROV represent recordkeeping network	ent Network as PROV's printed in the second second in the	ommunities of Practice. Recommendations: 1. Maintain mary Community of Practice, but explore further as that promote greater interaction between members assions / panels). 2. Encourage the establishment and that have a focus on record keeping and, where feasible, wither opportunities to engage with already established associations and facilitate PROV's participation in them.	
Recommendation status	4. Put in place a process to enable more formal capture and reporting of information back to PROV f recordkeeping CoPs (and other networking activities).  Almost complete  Date implemented/  Not provided			

due for implementation

### Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care		
Recommendation #1	That health services review processes to improve length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3 ('urgent')				
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	The Eye and Ear has implemented a new model of emergency care associated with the move into the recently commissioned Emergency Department developed as a result of the Eye and Ear redevelopment project. The Eye and Ear is currently meeting state targets for Category 3 patients. In addition, in future years, the redevelopment project will deliver a 4 bed short stay unit which will assist with continued improvement in performance.				
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-16 (0 days to implement)		
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care		
Recommendation #2		·	commitment to improve emergency department educe length of stay for admitted patients		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain			
Planned action at tabling	The Eye and Ear has implemented a whole of hospital approach to balance emergency department workload with access for admitted patients. The Eye and Ear currently meets state performance targets for emergency performance.				
Updated action	Not provided				
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-22		

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services ac	t to resolve Victorian Emer	gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Department of Health Emergency Minimum I	and Human Services (DHHS	25 February 2015 by KPMG, on behalf of the Victorian ), as part of the state-wide audits of the Victorian recommendations were made and these have been Audit Committee.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-16 (0 days to implement)

### South Gippsland Shire Council

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Local Government Service Delivery: Recreational Facilities
Recommendation #1	· ·	ve aquatic recreation centre the achievement of council	e monitoring, reporting and evaluation activities so that objectives and outcomes.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Wellbeing Plan (currer feedback from our aqu Council's revised Muni the deliverables in the	ntly under review) to form a uatic facilities. (October 201 icipal Public Health and Wel existing aquatic facilities co	bent in the next revision of its Municipal Public Health & basis for the evaluation of performance data and 7) To improve connectivity with the outcomes of lbeing Plan, Council will negotiate an amendment to ontract that relates to service provision (and ensure any aquatic facilities include the amendment). (date not
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided

### Southern Grampians Shire Council

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
Recommendation #6	Regularly review alignment between economic development strategies and council plans to improve the continuity of longer term initiatives			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	Southern Grampians Shire Council's Council Plan has been informed by the Council's adopted Economic Development strategy and progress on strategies is reviewed and reported on regularly.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-18 (0 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
Recommendation #7	Develop comprehensive targets and benchmark		or economic development with clearly articulated	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Council currently produces an economic scorecard annually. This is developed using statistics from a variety of State and Federal sources.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-18 (115 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Local Government and Economic Development	
Recommendation #8	Monitor and report on	economic development ou	tcomes and clearly link actions to intended outcomes	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Council reviews its performance measures annually. As noted in Council's submission to the draft report, economic indicators are not necessarily true indicators of Council's performance but caused by external factors. Southern Grampians Shire Council's strategies and targets will be established in this context.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-21	

### Southwest Healthcare

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services re ('urgent')	view processes to improve	length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		

Updated action	The response to the recommendation relating to patient flow has very much been combined with this recommendation and as such a hospital wide holistic approach has been adopted. In addition to the increased utilisation of the Emergency Observation Unit, the daily patient flow meeting and the new medical model an improved data set has been developed. This provides an up to date picture of compliance with the NEAT targets and has assisted staff identify pressure points.			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #2		That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	project lead was engage that flowed from the va- medical model to suppost staff in ED. The largest senior staff to focus so	ged and detailed work plan work include increased utilis port inpatient flow, increase c change has been the imple blely on inpatient and ED pr	n project to respond to this recommendation. A specific is developed and implemented. Some of the initiatives sation of the Emergency Observation Unit, a new in registrar workforce to increase access to medical ementation of a daily meeting involving all units and essure points and assist patient flow decision making. SCV and a number of rural agencies have visited to	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jul-19 (978 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care	
Recommendation #3	That health services a	ct to resolve Victorian Emer	gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	recommendations we		Committee Structure and ensured all Committee. A data register was established and all audit through this committee.	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb-17 (98 days to implement)	

### St Vincent's Health Melbourne

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Victorian Public Hospital Operating Theatre Efficiency
Recommendation #11	~	policies to guide decision-me surgery and between surg	nakers when allocating theatre resources between gical specialities
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	efficiency: o Introducti System (CEO and Exect governance structure - activity planning cycle o Standardisation of Th improve accuracy of re elective and emergence management system to	on of daily and weekly perfutive review weekly) o Estall-incorporated into the Acui-including the allocation of neatre time stamp definition exporting and efficiency means the transfer of the acus o	the past 3 years in regard to maximising theatre ormance review integrated into Daily Management olished monthly Theatre performance review and te Services directorate meeting o Evolved the annual of Surgical WIES for improved efficiency and new activity ins in PAS in collaboration with key stakeholders to sures (DHHS recommendation 1) o Introduction of a reporting o Implementation of an emergency theatre less for patients awaiting emergency surgery o give sessions wherever possible to reduce out of hours
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (226 days to implement)

### State Revenue Office

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Delivering Services to Citizens and Consumers via Devices of Personal Choice: Phase 2
Recommendation #2	Transport Victoria, Sta	te Revenue Office and VicRo	es, Department of Justice and Regulation, Public oads, identify and prioritise which service transactions while also considering alternative, non-digital service
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	identifying and prioriting non-digital delivery.[lb	sing transactions suitable fo ]This report is now being re	veloped which includes 76 recommendations including or digital transitions as well as those more suitable to eviewed by our Strategic Development Division to sation, governance arrangements and funding sources.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-16 (86 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Delivering Services to Citizens and Consumers via Devices of Personal Choice: Phase 2

Recommendation #3	That the Donartment	of Hoolth and Human Comic	es Department of Justice and Possilation Bublic
Recommendation #5	That the Department of Health and Human Services, Department of Justice and Regulation, Public Transport Victoria, State Revenue Office and VicRoads enhance the end-to-end digital service delivery by: resolving any legacy system issues and/or integrating supporting information technology systems with front-end digital technologies · streamlining back-office processes to minimise manual processing interventions.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	In addition to the digital strategy the SRO also has a long running Sustainable Revenue Management System program supported by ERSC funding through to 30 June 2019. The primary aim of this program is to sustain our core revenue management systems through to 2020 and beyond through a series of incremental upgrades as opposed to a high risk full systems replacement. There are a number of projects planned for delivery over the next 4 years including tax line specific renovations whose scope will include the streamlining of back end processes, increased automation of routine tasks as well as supporting integration with front end digital technologies. A Land Tax renovation project is currently in flight. This project will streamline and automate a number of back end processes associated with land ownership and usage. It will also include a customer self-service portal to allow customers to better interact and transact with us digitally.  Not provided		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-20
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Delivering Services to Citizens and Consumers via
			Devices of Personal Choice: Phase 2
Recommendation #5		te Revenue Office and VicRe	es, Department of Justice and Regulation, Public oads, develop baseline performance data targets for
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	As noted in Section 2.4 of the audit report, the SRO has already developed a Transactions Catalogue which contains some baseline data relevant to digital service delivery.[lb]This data includes information on transactional volumes, processing times and costs which is updated regularly.[lb]Performance targets will be set during the initiation stages of relevant projects.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (786 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Delivering Services to Citizens and Consumers via Devices of Personal Choice: Phase 2
Recommendation #6	Transport Victoria, Sta		es, Department of Justice and Regulation, Public oads develop digital service delivery performance

Planned action at tabling	order to processes trad services. Also as recond for implementation in strategy is subject to fit the Transaction Catalo	ck progress with respect to nmended, the transactions the recently developed Dig unding being made availabl	t the Transactions Catalogue will be maintained in further improving the digital delivery of the associated catalogue has been used to inform and prioritise items ital Strategy for the SRO. The implementation of this e over the next several years.[lb]The annual update of mparing prior year's data as well as assessing the
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (238 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Delivering Services to Citizens and Consumers via Devices of Personal Choice: Phase 2
Recommendation #7	Transport Victoria, Sta		es, Department of Justice and Regulation, Public oads identify and implement strategies, including using I service delivery.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The SRO already has a number of existing strategies to promote digital service delivery. These include social media and digital platforms including YouTube, webinars and the website as well as monitoring social media chatter. It also uses stakeholder and liaison groups, seminars, speaking engagement and subscription lists and more recently SMS messaging to promote its digital services. [lb] When launching any new digital services, specific strategies are developed and implemented which would include some or all of the above. [lb] As part of the implementation of the digital strategy we will consider and evaluate existing and additional platforms including social media.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-16 (238 days to implement)

#### Swan Hill District Health

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services re ('urgent')	view processes to improve	length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Improved Medical Lead communication to ens		aking. Decreased reliance on junior staff Improved

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-19 (1040 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #2			commitment to improve emergency department reduce length of stay for admitted patients
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action		program 'Hard wiring exce	Illence' in late 2016. This will assist with management rs
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-19 (1040 days to implement)
Audit year	2016—17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services a	ct to resolve Victorian Emer	gency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	No response		
Updated action	integrity guidelines for	r data security and enhanci	f new auditing tool implementation of the DHHS data ng patient documentation privacy. Review of Terms Of that the correct data and monitoring was being
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided

### University of Melbourne

Audit year	2015—16	Audit name	Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2
Recommendation #1	That agencies and enti- evaluation of benefits		ect cost - from inception to completion through to
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous		

improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  The Total Project Cost (TPC) is required to be documented in all new project proposals submitted to the appropriate Governance Group for approval and funding. The TPC includes contingency, in line with guidance provided by Chancellery Finance. Proposals identify the cost to deliver the project as well as ongoing operational costs to maintain the asset/s. This total cost is also entered in to the Project Management System for full financial tracing and reporting from inception to completion.  Complete  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Dec-18 (997 days to implement)  Audit year  2015—16  Audit name  Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2  That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Dedated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
appropriate Governance Group for approval and funding. The TPC includes contingency, in line with guidance provided by Chancellery Finance. Proposals identify the cost to deliver the project as well as ongoing operational costs to maintain the asset/s. This total cost is also entered in to the Project Management System for full financial tracing and reporting from inception to completion.  Complete  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Dec-18 (997 days to implement)  Initiatives - Phase 2  That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Planned action at tabling  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Dedated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
guidance provided by Chancellery Finance. Proposals identify the cost to deliver the project as well as ongoing operational costs to maintain the asset/s. This total cost is also entered in to the Project Management System for full financial tracing and reporting from inception to completion.  Complete  Date implemented/ due for implementation  Dec-18 (997 days to implement)  Initiatives - Phase 2  That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Planned action at tabling  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Decate the project as well as well as services and reporting in the project as well as
ongoing operational costs to maintain the asset/s. This total cost is also entered in to the Project Management System for full financial tracing and reporting from inception to completion.  Complete  Date implemented/due for implementation  Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2  That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
Audit year  2015—16  Audit name  Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2  That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Planned action at tabling  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Dedated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
Audit year  2015—16  Audit name  Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2  That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Updated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
Audit year  2015—16  Audit name  Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2  That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Updated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Updated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
That agencies and entities ensure project status reporting is regular, reliable and easy to follow, making agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Updated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Updated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
agency decision-makers aware of total project cost to date against planned milestones and forecast cost to completion.  Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Updated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
Planned action at tabling As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.  Updated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.   Jpdated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further enhances its approach to such processes.   **Jpdated action**  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
enhances its approach to such processes.  Jpdated action  For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
Jpdated action For all projects managed within Infrastructure Services (University Services), status reporting is
undertaken using the University's Project Management System. Status reports presented out of the
system include key information and metrics that supports Project Managers, Governance Groups, and Leadership decision making. PowerBI has also been implemented to further assist with the development
of Dashboards and other detailed views of Project performance, financials, and information to further
enhance reporting and informed decision making.
Recommendation status Complete Date implemented/ Jan-17 (298 days to implement)
due for implementation
Audit year 2015–16 Audit name Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and
Audit year 2015–16 Audit name Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2
That agencies and entities ensure that they have the appropriate governance arrangements in place
throughout the life of their ICT projects.
Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain
Accept recommendation Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous
Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further
Accept recommendation Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous
Accept recommendation  Yes  If no, explain  Planned action at tabling  As advised, the University has, since the commencement of the project, reviewed and significantly enhanced its ICT enabled project management processes. This is part of a commitment to continuous improvement and the University will take into account those recommendations relevant to it as it further

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jan-17 (298 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and Initiatives - Phase 2
Recommendation #4	preparing a document updated throughout tl according to planned t	ed benefits-management p he life of the project - condu	nt focus on the realisation of expected benefits by: - lan that is comprehensive, measurable and regularly ucting benefits and post-implementation reviews learnings in corporate project planning frameworks and e bodies.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	enhanced its ICT enab	led project management pr University will take into acc	cement of the project, reviewed and significantly ocesses. This is part of a commitment to continuous count those recommendations relevant to it as it further
Updated action	management plans the benefits and post-impechanism to capture processes, and by key on all projects and pro	at are comprehensive, meast plementation reviews are co elearning and creating insig governance bodies. Post Im	ates: · preparation of documented benefits- surable, and updated throughout the life of the project. conducted according to planned time-frames. · a hts for use within project planning frameworks, aplementation Reviews are expected to be undertaken the Project Management System have a Lessons Learnt are and manage lessons.
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (814 days to implement)
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Digital Dashboard: Status Review of ICT Projects and
Recommendation #5	-		Initiatives - Phase 2 ance documentation in the planning, management and AGO's July 2008 better practice guide Investing Smarter
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	of development and re and business case dev consulted. Governance the business from curr impact analysis and co	evision. Consideration is givelopment. Subject matter elegroups play a key role in trent to future state. Through insideration is given to issue agreed criteria for go-live of	anagement and delivery of projects is in various stages en to different viable options during project proposal xperts, operational managers and key stakeholders are esting the achievability of the project and transitioning h our Change processes Projects undertake stakeholder es and risks across the project lifecycle. Project and test decisions. Operational readiness is assessed and agreed

Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/	Jun-20
		due for implementation	

#### V/Line

V/Line				
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Managing and Reporting on the Performance and Cost of Capital Projects	
Recommendation #2	That agencies implement a documented and consistent approach to verify that they have adequately addressed the requirements of the Department of Treasury and Finance's Investment Lifecycle and High Value/High Risk Guidelines for government-funded capital projects.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-21	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Assessing Benefits from the Regional Rail Link Project	
Recommendation #13	V/Line in conjunction with DEDJTR assess growth in patronage and define future service requirements with a particular focus on the capacity challenges that are emerging along the RRL route and at Southern Cross Station.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	V/Line will work in conjunction with DEDJTR to support the actions that have been detailed in response to this recommendation. As the operator across the RRL, V/Line will provide its subject matter expertise to support analysis of future service requirements, capacity constraints and operational requirements both along RRL and Southern Cross.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (387 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	V/Line Passenger Services	
Recommendation #1	That V/Line strengther	n its monitoring processes f	or measuring on-time running of trains and coaches	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	providing real time dat integrated operations train and coach runnin	ta to our Operations Centre centre which acts as the da g. This project is focused o	trains. This information is being used to assist in e. V/Line is also undertaking a project to modernize its y of operations monitoring team to support on time n optimizing operating performance for the day of ands of a growing regional network. Stage 1 is focused	

	on improvement of operations of the centre. Further stages will be subject to development of business cases including capital funding. V/Line is currently undertaking trials of GPS technology working with coach operators. Subsequent to the trial it is expected that funding will be sought for implementation of GPS tracking which will enable V/Line to monitor coaches in real time.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Apr-18 (235 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	V/Line Passenger Services
Recommendation #2	performance informat	ion between service groups	parately analyse and report operational and financial s-commuter rail, peri-urban, country long-distance, and better understand performance in separate parts of the
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
	recommended groupir financial allocation ass manner to collect and effectiveness; and * wi initial basis for the revi	ngs. As part of this review V umptions to define the serv analyse the data to determ ill use the cost efficiency mo iew. This review will be don	velopment of financial performance reporting by the /Line will: * liaise with stakeholders to develop a set of vice groups identified * consider the most effective ine its financial and operational performance easures included in Appendix E of the Report as the lie utilizing existing systems and processes. V/Line ss commuter, long distance, freight, and coach services.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-18 (296 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	V/Line Passenger Services
		•	cial performance benchmarking by service group with long-term plan to improve efficiency
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
	operational and finance meaningful effectivene	ial benchmarking. The focu	mendation 2, V/Line will endeavour to undertake peer s will be on determining whether there can be settled group to enable comparison to other railways in other cy will be devised.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (479 days to implement)

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	V/Line Passenger Services	
Recommendation #4	That V/Line use community service obligations to model and understand how they influence performance			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Victoria supports regional procurer of services performance of its con	onal economic growth acros . In responding to this recor nmunity obligations as outli	meeting community needs. Our presence in regional s areas including service provision, employment and as mmendation V/Line will identify the impact on ined under the Transport Integration Act. Included in ce frequency and network capacity and impacts into	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (388 days to implement)	

### Victoria Police

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Public Safety on Victoria's Train System	
Recommendation #1	That the Department of Justice and Regulation and Victoria Police evaluate the protective services officers program once full deployment has occurred, with a focus on demonstrating the achievement of objectives.			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	around the outcomes	of these reviews and evaluane audits findings in relation	e improvements to its service delivery model based itions, combined with internally developed measures. In to the challenges presented in relation to the	
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-19 (1162 days to implement)	

Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Public Safety on Victoria's Train System
Recommendation #2			develop and implement a strategy to address the lack by initiatives on night-time trains, and monitor its
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		

Updated action	Public Transport Personal Safety Executive Committee (incl VicPol and PTV) established and meeting regularly. Phase 1 - Safety You Can See campaign delivered on trains, Phase 2 (Safety You Can See on trams/buses) anticipated for Q3 18-19FY but subject to funding.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-18 (1011 days to implement)	
Audituran		Audit name	Dublic Cafety on Victorials Train Cyston	
Audit year	2015–16	Audit name	Public Safety on Victoria's Train System	
Recommendation #3			onitoring framework for the protective services officers ata to inform future decisions to improve effectiveness	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling			x will be put in place by 31 December, 2016 and will be nd efficiency of the PSO program.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Jun-19 (1193 days to implement)	
Audit year	2045 46	Audit name	Public Safety on Victoria's Train System	
Addit year	2015–16	Addit Hairie	rubile safety off victoria's fram system	
Recommendation #4		k for strategic cross-agency	d Regulation and Public Transport Victoria formalise a coordination on personal safety and security on the	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Regulation, Public Trar	nsport Victoria and the Dep	d will, work with the Department of Justice and artment of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and essentatives from coordination on personal safety and	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-16 (281 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Managing Community Corrections Orders	
Recommendation #13		offenders on community c	sm, in coordination with Corrections Victoria, to orrection orders, particularly offenders who present a	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		

Planned action at tabling	Not provided			
Updated action	Victoria Police have implemented a new Offender Management Process which incudes scanning, prioritising and managing POIs which will compliment the technologies being developed through the BlueConnect upgrades within Victoria Police. The technical interface between Corrections Victoria and Victoria Police are in the final stages of development and sign off.			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	May-19 (812 days to implement)	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Regulating Gambling and Liquor	
Recommendation #13		ria Police develop a compre rely target harms associated	hensive collaborative enforcement strategy to more I with licensed premises	
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Victoria Police supports this recommendation in principle, noting that initial work will focus on scoping a collaborative enforcement strategy. Victoria Police and the VCGLR have commenced this work and currently have an information sharing Memorandum of Understanding in place to support intelligence led and targeted operations. Victoria Police will revisit this work with the VCGLR and scope a collaborative enforcement strategy, which will include improving risk-based approaches and focusing effort on the most productive enforcement activities, including joint activities where appropriate. I note that the Review of the Liquor Control Reform Act 1998 may provide a future opportunity for reform that assists enforcement activities on licensed premises, including enhancements to laws regarding supply of intoxicated persons and responses to drugs on premises.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-19 (935 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #1	Appoint a team of suitably qualified and experienced professionals to form a collaborative disaster recovery working group to: · provide advice and technical support; · share lessons learnt based on disaster recovery tests and exercises; · coordinate disaster recovery requirements for resources shared between agencies; · identify, develop, implement and manage initiatives that may impact multiple agencies; · coordinate funding requests to ensure critical investments and requirements are prioritised			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	IT Service Provider is a	appointing a suitably qualifie	ed Disaster Recovery manager.	
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (276 days to implement)	

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #2	Perform a gap analysis on their disaster recovery requirements and resource capabilities to determine the extent of the capability investment that will be required			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	A Business Impact Assessment (BIA) of enterprise capabilities is 90% complete. Upon completion, the BIA findings will be fully embedded within Victoria Police's Enterprise Risk Framework and Business Continuity Reform Program.			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (276 days to implement)	
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning	
Recommendation #3	Develop disaster recovery plans for the systems that support critical business functions and test these plans according to the disaster recovery test program			
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	A Disaster Recovery plan and test program to enhance capability of all critical systems will be completed by the end of 2018 in preparation for VPC Data Centre site relocation. A full site failover for the LEAP mainframe and application has been successfully conducted. This result proves that VP can recover its most critical application from an event in less than 2 hours (within business expectations). (Completed after audit closure)			
Updated action	Not provided			
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-20	

A	udit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
R	ecommendation #4		,	veloped frameworks, policies, standards and s needed; · specific disaster recovery systems
A	ccept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
P	lanned action at tabling		· · ·	ring the development of the Program Disaster Recovery R) plans and subsequent DR testing program.
u	pdated action	Not provided		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (276 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #5	becoming obsolete, th manage when they be development of long-t	ose that will soon have insucome obsolete; · enable str	esses to: · identify and manage systems at risk of afficient support or those that will be difficult to ategic planning, life-cycle optimisation and the em life-cycle support; · provide executive with ons to be made.
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		e to implement a lifecycle p aster Recovery technical de	orogram to mitigate obsolescence of all critical IT ficit.
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Sep-18 (276 days to implement)
Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	ICT Disaster Recovery Planning
Recommendation #6	Set up disaster recovery frameworks to provide guidelines and minimum standards for ICT disaster recovery planning, including: · developing a strategy to establish the minimum levels of readiness and appropriate governance oversight; · establishing the requirements, frequency and format of disaster recovery tests based on systems' criticality; · establishing policies, standards and procedures for a consistent approach.		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	A Disaster Recovery policy/framework and test strategy has been completed and is pending endorsement. Technical design patterns have been drafted to provide consistent repeatable and robust solutions to achieve Disaster Recovery requirements and will be finalised by Dec 2017.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-17 (2 days to implement)

### Victorian Fisheries Authority

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Follow-up of selected 2012-13 and 2013-14
			Performance Audits: Managing Victoria's Native Forest
			Timber Resources
Recommendation #1	Prioritise the timely de	evelopment of a harvest stra	ategy and engage with fisheries' stakeholders to collect
	robust and scientific d	ata	

Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Under the Freshwater Fisheries Management Plan the VFA is committed to systematically collecting fish population health and recreational fishing information that will enable the development of harvest strategies for priority freshwater fisheries. One of the first subcommittees to be formed under the Implementation Committee for the Freshwater Fisheries Management Plan will focus on harvest strategy development in partnership with key stakeholders. Developing harvest strategies for recreational fisheries is a challenging task and one that has been rarely achieved in Australia and worldwide. VFA will be leading fisheries management in this regard.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	In progress	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-23

## Victorian Planning Authority

Audit year	2017–18	Audit name	Effectively Planning for Population Growth
Recommendation #7	Implement the Plan Melbourne 2017-2050 action to 'prepare a sequencing strategy for precinct structure plans in growth areas for the orderly and coordinated release of land and the alignment of infrastructure plans to deliver basic community facilities with these staged land-release plans'		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The VPA, in collaboration with key state and local government agencies, will develop a sequencing approach as outlined in the Plan Melbourne Implementation Plan. This will also build on the work under Recommendations 1 and 2. The outcomes of this action will be considered as part of Plan Melbourne's overall monitoring and reporting framework.		
Updated action	Not provided		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Dec-19 (830 days to implement)

### Victorian Public Sector Commission

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Board Performance
Recommendation #2	That the VPSC develop assessments	guidance for boards on the	e activities they should examine in their independent
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	Consulted with and developed guidance for public boards, which will be supported by a communications plan targeting boards and departments.		

Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-19 (659 days to implement)
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Victorian Public Sector Commission
Recommendation #1	That the VPSC undertake strategic and annual planning activities that comply with its statutory obligations		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling		annual and strategic planniconsultation with the Advisor	ing for the 2017-18 year, in compliance with statutory ory Board later this year.
Updated action	The VPSC Strategic Plan to 2020 and Annual Plan 2018/19 were signed off by the Premier in May 2018. Planning for the 2019/20 Annual Plan will begin early in 2019.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Victorian Public Sector Commission
Recommendation #2	That the VPSC develop and implement a performance measurement system that demonstrates the impact of the activities it undertakes to achieve its statutory objectives		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	The VPSC has worked with DPC and internal stakeholders to develop its performance measurement framework, which will be rolled out in Q1 2019. The framework is firmly grounded in the VPSC's Strategic and Annual Plans.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Aug-19 (784 days to implement)
		A	
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Effectiveness of the Victorian Public Sector Commission
Recommendation #3	That the VPSC implement its planned improvements to data storage, management and use		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	VPSC will continue work on its Data Strategy and planned improvements in 2017/18, including the replacement of the Workforce Analysis and Collection tool.		
Updated action	The introduction of new workforce data collection and analysis system, using the Salesforce platform was completed in October 2018. Work on other operational and governance data needs continues, and will be supported by the introduction of a formalised internal digital governance process that will take a		

	whole-of-enterprise approach to data, systems and other digital work. The VPSC has also worked closely with DPC's Centre for Data Insights to build our data analytics capabilities.		
Recommendation status	•	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Not provided

### Wimmera Health Care Group

Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #1	That health services re ('urgent')	view processes to improve	length-of-stay times for patients in triage category 3
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	to the issue. Mapped t services until Discharge Patient Stream Cat 4 & Fast Track Room requi Clinical Handover betw Practitioner Candidate June 2018 - still progre	the patient journey from ene or Subacute or Residentia 15's - July 2018 - identified lived. Implementation of Televeen ED and In-patient Unither implemented Oct 2018 Tournessing to full implementation and to review Patient in ED	patient Fflow and taken an organisation wide approach try to ED - patients discharge from ED. ED to In-patient II - identify all paths to Home. Review of the Fast Track ittle impact on overall flow. Did identify - a allocated ehealth to Nhill - project still in early phase. Review of its - identified issues around Communication Nurse riage training and competency testing implemented in Increase training of Critical Care Nurses in 2018 following AM handover Director of Emergency
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20

	Emergency Care		
Recommendation #2	That health services develop a whole-of-hospital commitment to improve emergency department patient flow into and out of in-patient wards, to reduce length of stay for admitted patients		
Accept recommendation	Yes If no, explain		
Planned action at tabling	Not provided		
Updated action	The organisation has reviewed their approach to patient flow and taken an organisation wide approach to the issue. Mapped the patient journey from entry to ED - patients discharge from ED. ED to In-patient services until Discharge or Subacute or Residential - identify all paths to Home. Review of Clinical Handover between ED and In-patient Units - identified issues around Communication Implementation of A & D Co-ordinator position in Subacute. Implementation of Older Person NP - candidate Sub-acute Family board round weekly in Subacute - goals - planned discharge Increase training of Critical Care Nurses in 2018 Bi-weekly Complex Care meeting - structure change - Multidisciplinary including Medical		

Audit name

2016-17

Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services:

Audit year

	Senior Medical Consultant to review Patient in ED following AM handover One team to do Daily Medical round in Subacute		
Recommendation status	Almost complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Mar-20
Audit year	2016–17	Audit name	Efficiency and Effectiveness of Hospital Services: Emergency Care
Recommendation #3	That health services act to resolve Victorian Emergency Minimum Dataset audit findings in a timely way		
Accept recommendation	Yes	If no, explain	
Planned action at tabling	The one high priority recommendation still to be actioned under IT security is 'Unique user logins should be consistently applied for iPM system access, and user access reviews should be strengthened'. This recommendation is due to be completed with the implementation of the Digital Medical Record BOSSnet project in February 2017.		
Updated action	The IT department investigate the issues in relation to team members signing into the computer under a generic log in. The risk was identified and strategies discussed before implementing a individual sign in process within the emergency department.		
Recommendation status	Complete	Date implemented/ due for implementation	Feb—17 (98 days to implement)